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ABSTRACT

Analysis of the Pass Cavallo Shipwreck Assemblage,
Matagorda Bay, Texas. (May 2004)
Amy Anne Borgens, B.A., Purdue University
Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Donny Hamilton

A survey conducted in February of 1998 located an anomaly originally believed to be the remains of *L’Aimable*. *L’Aimable* was one of four ships utilized by Rene-Robert Cavelier, Sieur de La Salle, for his voyage to colonize the Gulf Coast in 1684. The anomaly, a wrecked vessel with a heavy iron signature, was located outside the entrance to the historic pass into Matagorda Bay, Texas. Artifacts were extracted from the wreck site to aid in the identification of the vessel, which was subsequently determined to be more recent in origin. A preliminary examination of the artifacts indicates that the shipwreck dates to the first half of the 19th century.

The survey recovered over two hundred artifacts. The assemblage of artifacts includes over 80 lead shot, over 40 examples of brass firearm furniture, over 15 firearm fragments, several pieces of copper sheathing, and iron bar stock. Almost two-thirds of the material is associated with small arms. The majority of the identifiable firearms are military arms of three patterns: the British Short Land Pattern, the British India Pattern, and the Model 1757 Spanish musket.

Historical research has determined that these arms were circulating in Texas, New Orleans, and Mexico, as early as 1815. The British pattern arms were both
purchased for the Mexican army in the 1820s and used by the British Infantry in the Battle of New Orleans in 1815. The 1757 Spanish musket was used chiefly by Spanish expeditionary forces in North America in the late 18th century.

Evidence garnered from the artifacts suggests that the firearms were shipboard cargo onboard a small, wood-hulled sailing vessel that wrecked between the years 1815 and 1845. Archival and historical research isolated nine wreck candidates for this period. Historical research and artifact analysis suggest the *Hannah Elizabeth* as the primary candidate for this wreck site. The *Hannah Elizabeth* was a small merchant schooner from New Orleans laden with a munitions cargo for Texas troops stationed at Goliad. The vessel wrecked at the entrance of the historic Pass Cavallo while evading capture from a Mexican brig-of-war in November of 1835.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In the spring of 1998, a collection of artifacts was recovered from a shipwreck off of the Texas coast near Pass Cavallo, the historic entrance to Matagorda Bay. This area experienced an increase in maritime traffic in the 18th century and, during the mid-19th century, was considered to be one of the four greatest ports in Texas and the second best natural pass on the Texas coast.1

Almost 200 artifacts were collected in two surveys of the site conducted in the spring and summer of 1998. The variety and number of arms materials recovered suggest the vessel was a gunrunner or military supply vessels for one or more of the presidios in south-east Texas. Three principal arms types, of known military patterns, were identified from the survey artifacts.

The wreck lies in Pass Cavallo, an access route to the ports in Matagorda and Lavaca Bays. These bays, in the 18th century, were significant in supplying both the mainland and inland settlements. The nearest fortified settlement, the presidio at La Bahía, was founded in 1722 on the former site of La Salle’s Fort St. Louis.2 The presidio was reestablished at Goliad in 1759.

Between the years 1782 and 1810, Matagorda Bay experienced an increase in

---
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maritime traffic. Ships so regularly called upon the ports at San Bernardo and Matagorda that native tribes would often lie in wait along the shorelines for ships in distress. At the peak of its growth in 1796, La Bahía had 1138 residents. In the years following 1796 the population at La Bahía declined steadily due to conflicts with indigenous tribes and a lack of irrigation.

THE SURVEY

The wreck was discovered during a survey conducted by National Underwater and Marine Agency (NUMA) in the spring of 1998. A combined aerial and magnetometer survey was conducted of the Pass Cavallo area from December 1997 to August of 1999 in an attempt to locate the wreck of the French ship *L’Aimable*. The survey area covered 4.81 nautical miles north to south and 2.12 nautical miles east to west. The investigations located 66 potential targets, of which 18 were identified to be possible wreck sites. Ten of these sites are tentatively identified as 20th-century wrecks, five from the 19th century, two from the 18th century, and one could not be identified due to its depth under twenty-six feet of sediment. The wreck site which is the focus of this study, 41CL92, was isolated at POC 4 (Port O’Conner target 4) in February of 1998.

Two artifacts, encased in concretions, were recovered for analysis and identification. These artifacts were x-rayed at the Conservation Research Laboratory
(CRL) at Texas A&M University. The identification of the concretions as a flintlock musket fragment and pistol encouraged a second survey during the summer of 1998.

A second site, Target 3, was located nearby and may be associated with the current shipwreck. Target 3 has evidence of a possible capstan and flat iron bar stock.\(^8\) The distance between the two sites is approximately 211 meters.\(^9\) This site has not been revisited and its connection to Target 4 is still unknown.

Personnel from the Texas Historical Commission (THC) and the Southwest Underwater Archaeological Society (SUAS) conducted a third survey of the site in the August of 2001 (fig. 1). The objective of the survey was to check the condition of the site, which had lain exposed on the ocean floor. The location of the wreck site is within
a region constantly influenced by storm patterns and ocean currents. As of August of 2002, the site is no longer exposed and is buried under approximately four to six feet of sediment.

MODERN AND HISTORIC MATAGORDA SHORELINES

Through comparisons with aerial photos, old maps and recent charts, researchers involved in the 1997-1999 survey ascertained the relative location of the historic shoreline against the modern landscape. The eastern end of Matagorda Island has changed little in the last 300 years, whereas the southeastern tip of Matagorda Island has eroded substantially. The changes in the shoreline on the southwestern tip of Matagorda island vary from a few hundred feet in some areas to as much as 1000 feet westward in other areas. The channel into Matagorda Bay is hypothesized to have changed little from 1685 until after 1965. The channel width during this time appears to have been approximately 600 meters (1,968 feet). Over time the sand around Pelican Island accreted, connecting it to the eastern tip of Matagorda Island.

A study conducted in 1976 determined that the Matagorda area shoreline has chiefly been in an erosional state since 1846. According to this study the yearly erosional average was about 11 feet, with the total land loss for the 100 year period (1856-1956) being about 1,575 acres. A series of storms in the late 1800s caused a
tremendous amount of erosion at the north end of Matagorda Island. Erosion in this area varied from 600 to 1,300 feet and was responsible for the final demise of Fort Esperanza as well as some local housing. Construction of a jetty was proposed to protect the island from further erosional damage. Though 1,325 feet of the jetty was completed by 1882, a storm in 1886 damaged part of the jetty and destroyed the town of Indianola, the
only major port in Matagorda Bay. With the destruction of the city, commercial shipping interests greatly declined thus negating the continued construction of the jetty. Renewed interests in the area forced the dredging of the pass in 1949 wherein the pass was widened to 135 feet (bottom width) and deepened to 17 feet.\textsuperscript{14}

Difficulty in controlling the physical characteristics of the Pass led to the creation of the Matagorda ship channel that commenced in 1962 and concluded in 1966.\textsuperscript{15} At the time of these studies, the dredging of a ship channel in the Matagorda Peninsula (in 1965) had not greatly altered the shoreline for inclusion in the study, though it was observed that the Pass had begun to shoal.\textsuperscript{16} It is apparent, even from modern maps, that the creation of the ship channel in the Matagorda Peninsula has altered the shoreline, especially on the eastern perimeter of Matagorda Island.

The change in the shoreline, and the location of the site compared to the modern and historic map interpretations are reflected in figure 2. This map only illustrates shoreline changes that occurred in the areas adjacent to Pass Cavallo. It is immediately apparent that the creation of the ship channel has dramatically altered the Matagorda area coastline. The southeast corner of Matagorda Island has eroded away substantially as the northeast corner has accreted into Pelican Island. Matagorda Peninsula has accreted westward. The continuation of the coastal changes at Matagorda Island and Peninsula should eventually cause the historic pass to vanish altogether. The location of the wreck, historically, was approximately \( \frac{3}{4} \) of a mile southeast of the southwest corner of Matagorda Island.
THE WRECK SITE

The surveyed site lies on the ocean floor, at a depth of approximately six and a half meters. When initially located, the site was exposed unlike many other sites found in this area.\(^{17}\) Since the discovery of the wreck and the second survey, a subsequent visit to the site in September of 2001 has shown that the remnants of the vessel are buried under two to four feet of sediment. A total of ten datum points were established around the wreckage. The outlying areas were visually surveyed which determined that the entirety of the shipwreck was located within the perimeter of the datum points.\(^{18}\) The site perimeter is relatively small, being only about 52 feet (16 meter, the distance between datums 1 and 5) at its greatest length with an ‘east’ to ‘west’ directional emphasis.

The sand underlying the wreck was prodded to locate possible hull remains, if any, which may have been buried under the artifacts. This search yielded no apparent structural hull remains, however, a fragment of planking was found still attached to its copper sheathing (artifact 14925).

Artifacts were collected from within a half-meter radius of each of the established datum points (fig. 3).\(^{19}\) The distribution of the artifacts does not appear to demonstrate any sort of pattern. Most of the artifacts collected were located around datums 1, 2, and 3. The two rigging elements were recovered on the ‘west’ side of the wreckage, at datums 1 and 2. The assorted firearm pieces were dispersed all around the wreck site, not appearing to have been congested in any single area.
Figure 3. Site Map. Rough map of the wreck site with the established datum points. Map also shows general distribution of artifacts. Artifact distribution information added by A. Borgens. *Map provided courtesy of the Texas Historical Commission.*

- Bar shot, ramrod pipe, India Pattern musket fragment, India barrel fragment, British barrel fragment, ballast stones, sabber fragment, dunnage, eye hook, and thimble, 2 India Pattern butt plates, 1 Royal Foresters' carbine butt plate.
- India Pattern musket fragment, 12 pounder cannon ball.
- 3 trigger guards, 3印度 Pattern musket fragments, dunnage, sheathing tacks, eye hook, rope fragments, 2 Short Land Pattern trigger guards, 2 sabber blades, ramrod worm, nails, flat iron, ballast, Spanish 1757 trigger guard, India Pattern barrel fragment, Spanish 1757 barrel fragment, Short Land Pattern butt plate, lead shot, unworked flint, Short Land Pattern musket, 4 India Pattern trigger guards, bird shot, dunnage, 1 pin, single rod, eye bolt, hook and eye fastener, 1 trigger guard of unknown pattern.
- Hull fasteners, India trigger guard fragment, 2 British 'Brown Bess' bayonets, lead shot, India Pattern butt plates, India Pattern trigger guard, trigger guard of unknown pattern, cannon balls, sheathing fragments and a bone tool handle.
- Pig iron protruding from sand, Short Land Pattern trigger guard, nails, sling swivel, Short Land Pattern musket fragment, India Pattern musket fragment, ballast.
- "Bundle" of flat iron, Hull planks w/ copper sheathing.
- 2 India Pattern trigger guard and 1 Spanish 1757 trigger guards.
- British bayonet, India Pattern barrel fragment, British barrel fragments, 2 unknown barrel fragments.
- Flat iron crossed pieces, British trigger guard fragment, lead shot.
The artifacts recovered during the survey were examined to provide a date and/or identification for the wreck. Many of these artifacts were encrusted conglomerations of firearm pieces. Trigger guards, lockplates, locks, barrels, ramrod fittings, and sideplates were sometimes all contained within a single concretion. These pieces often did not comprise a single weapon, but were rather parts from multiple firearms. If these composite artifacts are considered in terms of the number of firearm parts they encompass, then the majority of artifacts recovered from the Pass Cavallo shipwreck are firearms or weaponry. Other artifacts recovered from the wreck include stone and iron ballast, iron bar stock, rigging implements, cannonballs, and lead shot.
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CHAPTER II
PROCUREMENT AND USE OF ARMS IN TEXAS

The assortment of small arms from the Pass Cavallo wreck represents a range of manufacturing dates and origins. All of the identifiable weapons collected from the wreck site, with one exception, were produced in Spain and Britain. The earliest dateable firearm from the artifact collection is the Spanish military longarm, the Model 1757 military musket. This weapon was continuously manufactured, with few changes, until the reversion to the miquelet lock in 1791.

The assortment of British arms represents two primary patterns: the Short Land Pattern, produced in the second half of the 18th century, and the India Pattern, produced at the end of the 18th century and into the early 19th century. British and Spanish arms acquired during the 18th century were all still in use in Mexico during the Mexican-American War in 1846. The firearms represented by those from the Pass Cavallo wreck were collectively manufactured over a period of 75 years and in many cases individual arms were in use for an equal or longer duration. To find the most probable period for the use of the arms in Mexico and Texas, an overview of the supply of arms to these regions is henceforth provided.
ARMS IN NEW SPAIN

The arrival of arms in New Spain was necessitated by the establishment of the presidio system and the need for protection from the hostile frontier environment. The Spanish frontier soldier, occupying the presidio, originally relied heavily on shields and edged weaponry: primarily the lance and a short sword called *espada ancha*.¹ These soldiers were outfitted in heavy leather jackets, which acted as protection against projected arrows.²

The introduction of firearms into northern New Spain was slow and not without trepidation.³ The reliance on the traditional edged weapons was commonplace as the firearms were often unusable due to neglect and poor maintenance.

Attempts to reform and improve the frontier military are exemplified in the regulations of 1772. In addition to the establishment of military procedures in New Spain, was the adoption of specific standardized arms. According to Title Four of the Regulations, each presidio soldier was to be armed with a broad sword, lance, shield, musket, and pistols. The regulation musket was to have a 38 ½ inches (97.44 centimeters) barrel of .66 caliber with a Spanish-style (miquelet) lock.⁴ The pistol was to have a barrel not exceeding 10 inches (20.5 centimeters) in length, of .66 caliber, and was to also be equipped with a Spanish-style lock.⁵ According to Faulk, despite the regulation’s insistence on weapons with Spanish locks, weapons of French and British manufacture were commonplace.⁶

The regulations were stated on paper, but not necessarily carried out in practice.
The weapons described in the regulations were only just arriving in Sonora in 1780 and by the 1790s many presidios still had not received the new model. Despite appearances, the presidio soldier was often under-equipped, ill trained, and impoverished. In 1780, Commandant General Croix stated in a decree that soldiers were selling their rifles and pistols in order to supplement for goods they had been cheated on.8

The predominant firearm used by the presidio soldier was the Spanish escopeta. There were many variations in barrel length and stock design but frequently this weapon was a smoothbore muzzle-loading musket or carbine with a Catalan stock and a Spanish (miquelet) lock.9 The Catalan or trabucos was the name for an escopeta with a miquelet lock, a stock with a hook at the bottom, and a gracefully tapering barrel.10 Another variation of the escopeta was equipped with a special lock manufactured in Madrid. This type of lock appeared French in design but its internal mechanism was closer to the Spanish style lock.11 The production of this lock was so closely associated with its production in Madrid that it was termed the Madrid lock. Escopetas with Madrid locks were also different from the trabucos as they had flat heavily fluted stocks.12 Invariably the escopetas could be manufactured in a variety of styles and sizes. Another description of the escopetas used in New Spain describes this weapon as a .69 caliber, 54 ½ inch long firearm, with an octagonal barrel weighing 7 pounds, and with brass furniture. The flintlock lockplate on this firearm measured 5 ½ by 1 ½ inches.13

Many of the regiments in New Spain were armed with the Model 1757 and 1791 military muskets. Spanish expeditionary forces, colonial regiments, and militia in
Mexico, Louisiana and Florida were equipped with these longarms. The 1757 musket was an almost direct copy of the French Model 1756 military musket. The Model 1757 longarm was a .69 caliber weapon with an overall length of 59 ¼ inches. The barrel was octagon in shape at the breech but tapered to round. The flat lockplate was French in design with a ring jaw screw. The lockplate on the musket measured 6 ½ by 1 ¾ inches.

French and British weapons were also purchased by the Spanish government for the soldiers in New Spain. An example of an English military carbine of about 1812 recovered in Arizona has a short smoothbore barrel measuring 22 inches in length with a caliber of .80. The flintlock is stamped with the mark of the Tower of London (TOWER). In addition to the soldiers recruited for the presidios, most of who were of native decent, on occasion Spain also sent expeditionary troops to New Spain. The Spanish company of Catalanian volunteers, comprised of officers and 100 men, was sent to Northern New Spain in 1767. By the end of the 18th century, these troops had withdrawn from California and relocated to Mexico. The first company of Catalanian volunteers disbanded in 1810 following the first Mexican revolution. The Catalanian volunteers were affected by shortages in military arms. The new arms mandated in the 1772 Regulations were only just being delivered, on the frigates Princes and Aranzazu, in March of 1790. The new muskets and bayonets were supplied on the condition that the older arms be returned for repairs. Weapons for the presidio soldiers in New Spain were stored in four arsenals: San Blas, Arispe, Chihuahua, and San Luis Potosi.
It is likely that many of the Spanish expeditionary soldiers could have been carrying British military arms. The Spanish army was ill-equipped to resist the French invasion of Spain during the Peninsular War of 1808-1814. Great Britain supplied aid to Spain in the form of military weapons and uniforms. During the period from May 1808 to May 1809 alone, Great Britain supplied Spain with, among other items, 200,277 muskets, 61,391 swords, 79,000 pikes, and 921,000 uniforms. Military arms and edged weapons collected during Peninsular military campaigns could have been carried to New Spain through the deployment of expeditionary forces in the early 1800s.

In the first two decades of the 19th century the Native American threat in New Spain was exacerbated by foreign intrusions in the form of filibuster expeditions and an internal revolt. A coup organized by Juan Bautista de las Casas in January of 1811 resulted in a series of raids in San Antonio, Nacogdoches, and La Bahia. Las Casas was arrested and later executed after 400 Mexican troops surrounded and captured him at the governor’s mansion in San Antonio. In January of 1812 more Spanish troops arrived in Veracruz to suppress a civil uprising lead by José María Morelos y Pavón.

Filibustering expeditions in 1812-1813 led by Bernardo Gutiérrez de la Lara and Augustus Magee inspired several military encounters with the Spanish military, principally at Salcado River and at the battle of Medina, in Texas. Another filibuster expedition in 1817, this time organized by Henry Perry and Xavier Mina, in cooperation with the pirate Louis Aury, focused on the regions of Texas and Soto de Marina, Mexico.
The increased military activity in Texas brought with it increased requests for arms supplies. Antonio Martínez, the last Spanish governor of the province of Texas, constantly requested munitions as he closely watched the Native American and filibuster movements. Even a cursory perusal of the letters written by Martínez demonstrates the phenomenal lack of equipment and sundry supplies encountered in the presidios of the northern frontier. Martínez made repeated requests for iron, arms, and clothing, even stating that some men are “absolutely unclothed”.32 One of Martínez’s earliest observations of the troop’s condition, stated in a letter written four days after assuming the position of provincial governor, described the men as “not really soldiers, since they are afoot without supplies . . . so that not only do they suffer from lack of food but their families likewise go hungry”.33 The inability of the Spanish government to keep the presidio soldiers adequately supplied led to frequent desertions. In June of 1820, 311 men were reported to have disserted the campaign division.34

A few arms were acquired from the defeat of Perry’s expedition in June of 1817, but the requests for weapons went unabated.35 Three months later, in September of 1817, Martinez was notified of Arredondo's remittance of 100 new English weapons with 30,000 shot, and 1,200 flints.36 This shipment of supplies was acquired from the stores at the Rio Grande and arrived on September 20th, though Martinez was shorted 90 cartridges.37 In January of 1818, an additional 100 guns (specifics not indicated) were sent from the Rio Grande though they were not new nor in good condition, as advertised.38

Occasionally firearms were gained from encounters with the native tribes. These
arms often were not usable to the presidio soldier, due to their smaller caliber size, and were in many cases redistributed to the local residents.\textsuperscript{39}

**NATIVE AMERICAN TRADE GUNS IN NEW SPAIN**

The dependency on the traditional weapons, combined with ineffectual training, and the poor condition of arms often left the frontier soldier at the disposal of the Native tribes.\textsuperscript{40} Since the Native American tribes were supplied with superior arms from traders, they were frequently much better armed than the presidio soldier. Prior to 1763 and the defeat of the French in North America, trade guns were French in manufacture, however after 1763, British traders supplied the trade arms.\textsuperscript{41}

The Spanish supplied the Native Americans with Spanish arms in 1786 under deceitful auspices. It was believed the tribes, unfamiliar with the Spanish trade guns, would not be as effective with the arms. The supply of munitions, in addition to maintenance of the arms, could only be acquired from the Spanish. Spain was determined not to provide these services once the arms were traded. This did not work in practice, as the tribes refused the arms. By the 1790s, Spain traded weapons of English manufacture.\textsuperscript{42}

The Spanish government issued permits to traders such as The Company of Explorers of Upper Missouri (in 1795) and Auguste Choteau for the establishment of trading posts in New Spain.\textsuperscript{43} Surplus Brown Bess carbines and muskets were
frequently sold and used by the Spanish.\textsuperscript{44} According to Hanson, these firearms furnished much of the supply for the Southwest.\textsuperscript{45} He feels that it is also unlikely the traders of New Spain would contract for English “Northwest guns” which were manufactured for trade in the New World. These weapons were new and thus were governed by import restrictions and would have sold best where there was a ready market.\textsuperscript{46}

Firearms produced for trade with the North America tribes were very distinct in character, often decorated with serpentine sideplates and of a smaller caliber. Trade guns manufactured for distribution in the northern colonies by the British Board of Ordnance also conformed to this more decorative appearance.\textsuperscript{47} They were a departure from similar weapons produced by the Board for British military use.

**MEXICAN ARMS**

Immediately following The Mexican Revolution of 1821, Mexico acquired a quantity of arms from U.S. interests in the early 1820s. Texas governor Trespalacios negotiated a contract with Hawkins & Hanna, in October of 1822, for the purchase of guns, ammunition and clothing in the United States.\textsuperscript{48} These supplies could possibly have been the items shipped on board the American frigate *Fortina*. The *Fortina* departed for Mexico in 1823 with a cargo of artillery, muskets, and naval stores for the Mexican fleet.\textsuperscript{49}
The purchase of new arms for independent Mexico was conceived as part of an initiative to gain financial assistance from England. Dr. Patrick Mackie, an envoy of the British government, arrived in Mexico in July of 1823 in order to establish commercial activity between the two countries. Acting on behalf of Minister (of foreign affairs) Almán, General Guadalupe Victoria requested an advance of £150,000 each month for a year and equipment for 50,000 infantry and 22,000 cavalry.

Concurrent with this development was the offer by a representative of the London House of Barclay, Herring, Richardson, and Company to extend to Mexico a 6% loan. The Mexican government would receive £2,500,000 at the rate of £100,000 a month. Despite Mackie’s attempt to organize the original proposal through the B. A. Goldschmidt & Company, the Barclay proposal was accepted on August 18, 1823. The final redrafted contract was ratified and signed on August 25, 1824. A draft of the contract for the purchase of fusils, carbines, pistols, and swords from Barclay, Herring, Richardson & Company was sent to Bartolome Viogor Richards on December 5, 1823. The ships and arms discussed in the 1823 contract cost nearly £1,400,000.

In 1824, Mexico began to acquire the new arms. Of the arms contracted with Barclay, Herring, Richardson, & Co., 7,500 muskets and 200 swords were to depart from England on July 29, 1824. On August 15, 1824 Michelena was informed of the departure of the ship Prince of Wales from Antwerp (Belgium) for Alvarado (Mexico) laden with 30 boxes of muskets consigned to Ruess and Kirchhoff.

The muskets purchased in the Barclay contract were described as the best that Mexico had yet acquired and that they were comparable to those of the Tower of
London. A similar shipment of the arms received in Columbia was described as excellent. The model and make of these arms is not identified. British, Spanish, and French arms were already present in Mexico as they were purchased for military use during Spanish domination. The superior arms indicated in the contract were most likely surplus military arms or unused discontinued patterns, such as the British Short Land Pattern muskets, British India Pattern muskets, and/or East India Co. Windus Pattern muskets. These patterns were rendered obsolete by the introduction of the New Land Pattern in 1815.

The importance of these early flintlocks was further diminished by the introduction of percussion arms in the 1830s. The British Royal Navy ordered the conversion of flintlock arms to percussion locks in 1832. Even the older Short Land Pattern might be seen as superior to the earlier British pattern firearms being used by some presidio soldiers.

By November of 1817 the Board of Ordnance was offering surplus India Pattern arms for sale to the East India Company. The East India Company refused the offer. Though documentation regarding the sale of Board of Ordnance arms have not been discovered, it is probable that by 1823 these arms were reduced in price and were thus affordable to the economically pressed Mexican government.

The British Short Land Pattern musket was the most generally used military musket until this pattern was superceded by the India Pattern produced in 1791. The East India Company had been producing this particular pattern for the British troops in India since 1771. With the onset of the Napoleonic Wars in 1793, the Board of
Ordnance realized its arms stores were insufficient for the needs of its military. In order to supplement for the shortage of arms, the Board of Ordnance purchased the arms stores of Windus Pattern muskets from the East India Company. To facilitate arms supplies and maintenance, British arms contractors were instructed to manufacture the British military arms to conform to the East India Co. Windus Pattern design. At least 676,800 of these arms were produced by the East India Company and approximately 2,800,000 were manufactured for the British Board of Ordnance. According to Harding, these weapons were the most numerous and widely manufactured British musket ever produced. The India Pattern weapons would become the general firearms of the line regiments from 1815 until the late 1840s.

The India Pattern musket produced by the East India Company (Windus Pattern) was a .76 caliber, smoothbore musket with a rounded ‘hook-shaped’ side plate, step-tapered buttplate, and acorn finial triggerguard of brass. The overall length of the musket was 55 inches with a weight ranging from 9 pounds 6 ounces to about 10 pounds. The flintlock lock had both a swan-neck cock (1771-1812) and ring-neck cock (1813-1818) on a lockplate measuring between 6 7/3 inches by 1 1/10 inches and 6 9/10 inches by 1 ¼ inches.

England shipped a collection of India Pattern arms and Pagent carbines to Mexico in late 1825. As before, Mexico acquired these weapons along with bank loans, in exchange for trading privileges and no taxation on English imports.

An inventory of the small arms used by the Mexican troops in 1827 listed 111,564 muskets, 2,000 rifles, 15,280 carbines, and 8,000 pairs of pistols. H. G.
Ward, the British Charge D’ Affaires in Mexico, reported that the Mexican military of that year consisted of 58,955 men, of whom 32,161 were active.\textsuperscript{72}

In 1829, the Spanish government mobilized an expeditionary army in an attempt to overthrow the newly established Mexican government. The presence of a Spanish fleet off of the Gulf Coast, comprised of fourteen ships,\textsuperscript{73} alarmed the Mexican government. Initially unaware of the intended destination of the Spanish troops, the province of Texas was militarily reinforced in the case of a Spanish invasion.\textsuperscript{74}

Following the defeat of the Spanish army by Santa Anna in 1829, Mexico continued to supply Texas with munitions and supplies at regular intervals. For example, supplies for the troops in Matagorda Bay arrived in March, August, October, December of 1830, and in January of 1831.\textsuperscript{75} The troops in Matagorda Bay were receiving supplies every few months in 1830-31. These supplies were received in Matagorda, Lavaca, Bexar, Goliad, and would have been landed at Matagorda Bay, Copano Bay, and points in Lavaca Bay.

Revolts in Tampico, Tabasco, and the Yucatan would follow in the early 1830s, but the not so distant conflict with colonial Texans would find Mexico militarily unprepared for armed engagement. Both the Mexican government and the provisional Texas government would scramble to locate cheap and readily available firearms for their armies.

By the middle 1830s, munitions factories in Mexico were no longer manufacturing arms.\textsuperscript{76} The Mexican government had to look elsewhere for military arms supplies. Santa Anna was allotted 400,000 pesos, through forced loans, printed
bonds, and cash, for the purchase of arms supplies. As before, Mexico turned to its British suppliers. In 1833 the British Board of Ordnance still had over 440,000 of the India Pattern arms in stock, of which 176,000 were serviceable. The quantities of arms acquired from Great Britain would increase during the 1830s as Mexico recognized the colonial and American threat in Texas.

Zacateca, a wealthy Mexican principality, purchased British India Pattern muskets and British Baker rifles to arm their local militia. In addition to the arms purchased for the military, Santa Anna would also acquire these weapons after stemming a Zacatecan uprising in 1835.

Archaeological evidence and contemporary studies have recognized that these arms, along with the small quantities of the Baker rifle, were very likely the arms purchased by Santa Anna to equip his troops for the Texas campaign. British muskets and/or musket furniture have been recovered from many terrestrial sites associated with the Texas Revolution. Gun parts have been found at the Mexican earthworks at Villita (Alamo siege), from the battlefield at San Jacinto, at La Bahía (Goliad), from the site of the Texas armory of Post West Bernard, and from campsite and trail of Filisola’s retreating Mexican army. A majority of the identifiable British arms collected from these sites are of the India Pattern.

Mexico would continue to purchase arms from Britain following the Texas Revolution, in the years just preceding the Mexican - American War. During this period, in 1842 and 1844, Mexico disputed Texas’ independence and continued to raid Texan presidios. Thousands of arms were purchased by the Mexican government in
the 1840s. D. Juan Manuel Lasqueti and D. Manuel Escandon were contracted for the purchase of 5000 muskets and 3000 terceloas in May of 1842. On July 17, 1844 the Mexican Government negotiated a contract with D. Manuel Escandon, for the purchase of 10,000 British muskets priced at 11 pesos each for delivery to Veracruz. This contract was extended to include an additional 5,000 muskets and 5,000 carbines.

The continued Mexican military presence in Texas forced decisive action from the United States, once Texas was annexed in 1845. The United States victory in the Mexican-American ended Mexico’s claim to Texas. Following the victory, the United States annexed Mexico’s southwest territories, thus creating the United States’ southern continental borders.

TEXAS ARMS

With the onset of the Texas hostilities in 1835, both Mexico and the provisional Texas government would be vying for similar supplies from the same origins and/or distributors. New Orleans would play a pivotal role in the supply of both armies. Not only were both Texas and Mexico recruiting naval seaman from this port, both sides were shipping supplies from New Orleans to strategic locations along the Texas coast. Often sites such as Copano Bay and Lavaca were being used by both armies intermittently as a drop off point for arms and armies.
Initially it appears the Texas volunteer army provided many of their own weapons or acquired them in armed conflicts. From the assault on Anahuac in January of 1835, one of the first military engagements of the Republic battles to follow; the Texans acquired 64 stands of muskets. A stand of muskets referred to the musket and its accompanying bayonet. These muskets were later reported by General Cos of the Mexican army, either truthfully or not, to have been sold. The Texas Provisional Government ordered a supply of muskets from New York, to be sent to Galveston via New Orleans, in August of 1835. In the same month, the supply of arms was inventoried to be approximately 4,000 rifles, a few muskets, and 5 or 6 artillery.

The first arm of the Mexican army, 400 men under General Cos arrived at Copano Bay on September 21, 1836. General Collingsworth and a group of volunteers would take the Mexican presidio at La Bahía in October of 1835 and acquire 150-200 stands of muskets, 100-200 bayonets, and 44 lances. Arms acquired from the capture of the Mexican garrison at Béxar included approximately 500 muskets, 300 carbines, and a 24 pound artillery. At the later battle of Conception, the Mexican army under General Cos was repulsed with the Texans gaining a brass 6-pounder and 30 muskets. A detachment sent to capture the Mexican garrison at Lé Panteclan on the Nueces, returned on November 10, 1835 having captured cannons, arms, and munitions. More arms were captured from an encounter with a force of Mexican army encamped on the bank of the San Miguel; 6 muskets, 2 swords, and 300 horses were seized in the conflict. A number of British muskets were also captured from the Mexican army at the final battle at San Jacinto.
At the onset of the revolution, the arrival of General Cos and the expectation of Santa Anna with 7,000 additional men initiated a steady influx of arms and volunteers into Texas. Volunteers for the Texas army arrived from across North America, including states such as Alabama, Indiana, New York, Kentucky, Georgia, Louisiana, and Tennessee. Often these volunteers came with their own arms. A volunteer regiment of 56 men with 50 first rate U.S. muskets traveled from Alabama to Washington on the Brazos in December of 1835. Eighteen more volunteers arrived from Kentucky with rifles in the same month.

Arms were also purchased for the Texas army. On October 11, 1835, 75 muskets arrived in Quintana, at the mouth of the Brazos River, from New Orleans. More volunteers from New Orleans, along with 70 muskets and 7 field pieces would sail to Brazoria on board the schooner Columbia in 1835. In 1836 McKinney and Williams paid $3,476.28 for 1004 muskets. One hundred rifles were purchased on November 24, 1835 by James Smith. The public stores for the army of Texas were located at the mouth of the Brazos River. The firm of McKinney and Williams was in charge of military stores.

The Texas army also had access to the same India Pattern weapon Mexico was purchasing for their troops. It is assured that hundreds to thousands of British India Pattern muskets were left in New Orleans following the defeat of the British at the Battle of New Orleans in 1815, for it is known that the India Pattern arm was the primary weapon of the over 14,000 British troops engaged in the battle. These may have been the source of some of the arms available for sale in New Orleans in the 1830s and the
three-thousand muskets offered for sale to Texas in 1836. The Texas purchasing agent, Ed Hall, shipped 48 British Tower muskets on the vessel *Good Hope* from New Orleans in 1836.

With the variety of weapons carried into, purchased, and captured for the Texas army, the predominant weapon appears to have been the musket. According to Michael Koury, the average Texan was armed with a musket. Muskets were the firearm of choice, because of the added defense of the bayonet.

At Post West Bernard, the location of the armory for the Republic of Texas, a variety of firearm materials have been recovered. Among the excavated artifacts from Post West Bernard there are parts to Models 1795, 1798, 1808, 1812, and 1816 U. S. manufactured muskets. U. S. military muskets of this period were a derivation of the French military musket manufactured in the States during the American Revolution in 1776. Prior to the War of Independence, muskets manufactured in the colonies were based on English patterns. At the onset of the American Revolution, the French supplied colonial troops with military arms and the colonial arms manufacturers followed suit by producing copies of the predominant French military firearm, the 1763 Charleville musket.

Due to debt acquired during the revolution, Texas would not be able to afford new arms. In 1839, the arsenal was inventoried as having 850 muskets, separate from rifles, sabers, and Jaegers. These arms were left over from the military campaigns of the Texas Revolution. Col. W. H. Dangerfield was sent to purchase new arms and equipment for the
new first Regiment of the Texas army in December of 1838. These muskets, manufactured by Tyron Son & Co. of Philadelphia, were to U. S. army specifications. The Tryon & Son Co. muskets were of the exact same proportions and appearance as the 1827 Model Springfield muskets. George Hockley purchased, for the Texas army, 1,500 stands of muskets, to be delivered monthly beginning in 1840. In addition to the Tyron muskets Hockley also negotiated the purchase of 250 Jenk’s carbines. In the late 1830s the Texas government acquired supplies of Colt revolvers. General Dunlap purchased the Colt revolvers for the army in 1839.

CONCLUSION

Texas had a plethora of arms manufactured in both the United States and Europe. Due to the financial constraints of the regional governments, these arms were often antiquated or newly purchased surplus items. These firearms appear to have most frequently been standardized military patterns. The influx of arms into the region increased in the decade of 1817-1827 with filibustering and Spanish movements, than from 1832-1839 with the conflicts of The Texas Revolution. For the newly established Mexican governments these arms, generally speaking, were old Spanish arms and British surplus muskets. In contrast, Texas arms generally included anything acquired from Mexican troops, out of issue U. S. military muskets, Jenk’s carbines, Jaeger rifles, and Colt pistols.
The arms from the Pass Cavallo shipwreck are surplus British military arms (especially the India Pattern) and old Spanish military muskets. The firearms conform to the types of weapons owned and purchased by the Mexican government between 1824 and 1835 as well as the armies of the Republic of Texas in the early to mid 1830s.
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CHAPTER III
GULF MARITIME ACTIVITY OF THE EARLY NINETEENTH CENTURY

The Texas Gulf Coast has an extensive early maritime history, including the wreck of the Spanish Plate fleet in 1554\(^1\) and the ill-fated expedition by John La Sieur de la Salle in 1684-1686.\(^2\) An expansion in maritime activity would occur in the late 18\(^{th}\) and early 19\(^{th}\) centuries as the Spanish colonized the Texas coast and established the mission/presidio system.

Immigration into Texas increased heavily in the early 19\(^{th}\) century, especially in south-central and southern Texas. The founding of Victoria in 1824,\(^3\) the first major city in the region, influenced immigration and the quantity of maritime traffic into Texas. The influx of immigrants would continue to increase steadily into the late 1820s and early 1830s. In January and February of 1835 alone, 2,000 immigrants arrived at the mouth of the Brazos River.\(^4\)

Throughout this period, maritime commerce in Texas was prosperous and diverse. As immigration increased, so did trade, commerce, and international interest in Texas' commercial potential. General Almonte provided the Mexican government with a written overview of Texas affairs in 1834 and this states that the total dollars in imported goods, exported goods, and contraband trade for that year was $1,400,000.\(^5\) In a report to the British government in 1837, Joseph T. Crawford described the bulk of the monthly Texas trade to be conducted by about 40 vessels averaging approximately 100
tons each. These vessels were almost all of the United States and collectively they transported 48,000 tons a year.⁶

Vessels involved in trade with Mexico and Texas in the early 1800s were most likely registered in New Orleans before continuing onward. Vessels wishing to deliver supplies to the Texas coast, while it was a Mexican possession, often passed through Matamoros, the major Mexican port of the region. Galveston and Matagorda were the primary Texas ports of entry, though most of the navigable river entries along the coast were utilized as needed. The firm of McKinny and Williams, a major mercantile supply company for Texas, was rooted in New England and Texas. Its Texas location at Quintana, near the mouth of the Brazos River, also received a steady stream of maritime traffic.

The major ports along the Texas coastline, during the Mexican period, were in San Bernardo, Matagorda, and Galveston Bays. Galveston was by far the most prosperous and frequented Texas port. In 1842 it accounted for two-thirds of the all the revenues derived from customs.⁷ Matagorda Bay’s role as the major port of the region and a supply depot for La Bahía insured a steady stream of trade vessels. In one week alone ten vessels docked at Matagorda Bay, nine from New Orleans and one from Mobile, Alabama.⁸

Occasionally there was interference in maritime commerce, some caused by pirating and privateering, forced government intervention. During these times, periodically, various Texas ports would be closed to maritime traffic in order for the
Mexican government to more efficiently 'police' the coastline. Galveston, however, almost without exception, always remained open to receive trade.

Military supplies and contraband items, in the years preceding and during the Texas Revolution, had secondary destination points at Lavaca Bay, Linn's Landing, Dimitt's Point, Cox's Point, Velasco, and Copano Bay (fig. 4). As a Mexican Possession, Mexican military supplies were received at coastal locations in Aransas, Copano, Lavaca, and Matagorda Bays from San Carlos, Monclova, San Luis Potosi, Monterrey, and Soto de Marina (fig. 5).

The Texas coastline could be hazardous especially as one tried to access the

shallow bays and rivers. Most maritime accidents occurred in these areas. The Matagorda-Copano area and its passes, account for the majority of all the wrecks that occurred between 1815 and 1845 (see appendix IV). Twenty-three vessels wrecked in this area; this is forty percent of Texas wrecks whose locations are known. Galveston Bay and the surrounding area had 16 wrecked vessels for the same period. Nine vessels wrecked in the Brazos River or Bar. Nine additional vessels wrecked in the Aransas Pass, Nueces River, and Sabine River.

The depth of the passes into Copano, Matagorda and Galveston bays demanded vessels of a shallow draft. Such was the difficulty in negotiating Texas ports, in
1836 a request was made to New Orleans by David G. Burnet, ad interim president of the Texas Republic, that its Texas bound merchant vessels not draw more than seven feet fully burden.\textsuperscript{12} Matagorda Bay and Galveston Bay were reported to be able to take vessels drawing 10 feet during the spring tides and 12 feet at high water.\textsuperscript{13} Copano Bay, an incredibly strategic location during the Texas Revolution, generally had only six to seven feet of water over its entry bar over the bar.\textsuperscript{14}

The entrance into the Brazos River was likewise treacherous. A shifting sandbar at the mouth of the river threatened vessels drawing more than five feet.\textsuperscript{15} In 1830, shipboard passengers sighted four wrecked vessels that failed to make a safe passage.\textsuperscript{16}

Due to the difficulty in accessing the bays and rivers, often the ships conducting trade with Texas were smaller vessels: sloops, two-masted schooners and brigs. Schooners, the lifeblood of the Texas coastal trade, were generally smaller vessels that were well suited for the Texas coast.

United States consular records of Galveston demonstrate the types of vessels engaged in maritime trade in Texas during the early 19\textsuperscript{th} century. From the consular records of U. S. vessels conducting trade in the port, over 365 vessels sailed into the port of Galveston between 1815 and 1845 (see appendix III). The largest quantities of vessels, by type, to use Galveston Port were schooners, brigs and steam vessels (fig. 6). Sixty-two percent of these vessels were schooners, twenty percent were brigs, and eight percent were steam vessels.

In order to navigate through the shallow passes, the vessels had to have shallow drafts. The average draft for all vessels was six feet nine inches. Schooners, overall,
Figure 6. Sailing Vessels in the Gulf of Mexico. a) ship, b) brig-of-war, c) schooner, d) sloop.

averaged 63 feet 6 inches in length, 19 feet 6 inches in beam, 6 feet 4 inches in depth, and averaged 86 tons burden.\textsuperscript{17} Most schooners of this era were 100 tons or less, only a few were registered at as much 150 tons.\textsuperscript{18} Only 3 of the 18 sloops recorded in the consular records between 1815 and 1845 have detailed information regarding vessel size. These measured between 23 and 36 feet in length, 9 to 14 ½ feet in beam and 3 to about 4 ½ feet in depth. The average tonnage for the single-masted sloop at Galveston, during this time, was 24.70 tons.\textsuperscript{19}

Steam vessels, brigs, and ships were the largest vessels to ply the Gulf waters. Collectively these account for 30 percent of the United States vessels recorded at Galveston between 1815 and 1845. Steam vessels were between 96 and 215 feet in length and averaged 200 tons. Ships averaged 388 tons.\textsuperscript{20} Brigs, the second largest number of vessels at Galveston, measured between 61 and 412 feet in length and averaged 150.89 tons.

Vessels manufactured in the United States under contracts with the Texas Navy, though superior to the common trading vessel, indicate the type of vessel sought for use in coastal waters. One ship, two brigs, and three schooners were built in Baltimore for construction and delivery to Texas in 1838 and 1839. As stipulated in the Dawson's contract, the hulls of the vessels were constructed by different shipbuilding firms; the ship by William & George Gardner,\textsuperscript{21} the brigs by J. A. Robb & Company, and the schooners by L. H. Dunkin.\textsuperscript{22} The riggings and fittings were subcontracted to additional specialists.

The ship and brigs were the largest of the vessels, measuring 125 feet and 110
feet respectively between perpendiculars. The schooners are more indicative of the
general size of the vessels sailing the coastal waters. The naval schooners had a 66 foot
long keel and a 21.5 foot breadth of beam. All vessels were to be planked with white
oak and were to be copper sheathed and fastened.

PASS CAVALLO WRECKS

Several ships perished in and around the pass to Matagorda Bay in the early to
mid-19th century. A merchant vessel, carrying sundry items and silks, wrecked in or
near Matagorda Bay in 1818. In addition, the Cannon, carrying immigrants from
Matamoras to Copano Bay, wrecked crossing the bar into Matagorda Bay in 1832.
There are, however, five additional wrecked vessels that were either carrying shipboard
firearms or a cargo of small arms.

Name Unknown, 1817

In 1816 Louis Aury, a privateer headquartered on Galveston Island, assisted the
filibuster Xavier Mina in an attempt to overthrow the Spanish at Soto de Marina,
Mexico. Aury and Mina departed for the Mexican coast on April 7, 1817 with nine
vessels: two frigates (Neptune and Cleopatra), three brigs (Paz, Calyspo, and Dorado),
three schooners (Ellen Tucker, Congreso, and Dolphin), and a sloop (August). Aury
took Mina and his troops as far as Santander River, Mexico than set forth to return to
Galveston Island. Upon his return to Galveston Island, Aury discovered that Lafitte, a privateer who had gained fame from the battle of New Orleans in 1815, had usurped leadership of the pirate community based there.  

Aury relocated to Matagorda Bay and organized a makeshift base consisting of seven log dwellings and two units for quartering troops. The troops were transported on five vessels with cargoes of armament. According to one of Aury’s slaves, the camp consisted of upwards of 200 soldiers. Two captured merchant ships and three stranded vessels were absorbed into Aury’s collective fleet.  

On June 11, 1817, Antonio Martinez reported the establishment of Aury's camp at Matagorda to the Spanish government based in Mexico City. In Martinez’s report, Aury's fleet is described as consisting of ten two-masted vessels, two three masted vessels, and one ship that had grounded. Following the defeat of Mina at Soto de Marina, on June 18, 1817, Aury decided to abandon his settlement at Matagorda. Later the same month, in a letter dated June 29, Martinez reported the destruction of thirteen vessels at the port of Matagorda. The cause of the destruction remains unknown.  

Aury made his retreat from Matagorda Bay with two vessels. Ennalt Calvin, an escaped slave, stated that Aury burned the living quarters prior to departing. Aury perhaps salvaged valuable items from the settlement and ships and destroyed what was unneeded. The larger vessel, with a cargo of armament and cannon, wrecked on the sandbar upon exiting the bay. The final outcome of this particular vessel is unknown.  

Of the original nine vessels used to transport Mina's expedition, is it unclear as to which remained with Aury and were part of his fleet at Matagorda. The Neptune and
Cleopatra were captured by the Spanish in the defeat of Mina's expedition at Soto de Marina. The author has not ascertained the fate of the remaining vessels.

General Bustamente, 1830

The presidio/garrisons at Béxar, Lavaca and Goliad were in constant need of supplies and reinforcements. During the months of May and June in 1830, the Commandants at Béxar and Bahía were notified of the passage of two vessels, the Constante, a Mexican brig of war, and the sloop General Bustamente with supplies and troops. Two other vessels were expected in the following months, one carrying a regiment from Tamialipas, and another from New Orleans with munitions.

Though the Constante arrived and departed without incident, the General Bustamente wrecked. The wreckage of the vessel was reported in a letter to Mier y Teran, the presiding military commander of the region of Texas. Antonio Elozúa, the commander at Goliad, detailed the loss of the ship, as reported to him by Rafael Chovell, the military commander of Lavaca Bay. The vessel wrecked without loss of life and the survivors were able to reach their final destination. The sloop was transporting the 11th Infantry Battalion of the Mexican Army that had been sent to Lavaca to relieve the soldiers stationed there.

In an appraisal of the 11th Battalion by Rafael Chovell, conducted almost two weeks following the wreck of the vessel, twelve soldiers were listed as comprising the unit. The vessel appears to have wrecked in close proximity to the presidio of Goliad, as this was the origin of the news of the disaster and also the source of the aid provided
The soldiers and crew. The vessel wrecked on the bar or beach as it was proceeding towards Lavaca Bay.

The *General Bustamente* was a vessel of the Mexican Navy. The Mexican Navy, following the Mexican Revolution, consisted of one brig and two launches. Fear of Spanish reprisals induced the Mexican government to purchase six gunboats and two sloops of war in the United States. These vessels comprised the Mexican Navy in 1823. The size of the navy was gradually increased so that by January of 1827 the fleet consisted of one ship of the line (*Congreso Mexicano*), two frigates (*Libertad* and *Tepeyac*), four brigs of war (*Guerrero, Victoria, Bravo, Constante*), a corvette (*Morelos*), a schooner (*Hermon*), four gun boats, four large launches, and two pilot
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*Figure 7. United States Gunboat of the Early 19th Century. Illustration by A. Borgens after Chapelle, History of American Sailing Ships, 98.*
boats. The *Tepeyac* would eventually be sold to Russia in 1828 to pay for repairs, and the *Guerrero* was severely damaged in an altercation with the Spanish vessel *Lealtad* in 1829. The *Bravo* was lost at sea on her way from Matamoras to Veracruz in 1836. In 1829 the Mexican Navy based at Veracruz had only two vessels, one of which was seaworthy (this ship was later to flounder).

The Mexican government decided in 1830 that frigates and brigs were too expensive for the economically pressed country, and that gunboats would have to suffice. A similar observation was made in 1827 regarding the state of Mexico's naval affairs. H. G. Ward, the British Chargé d' affaires in Mexico, remarked that in time the Mexican government would recognize that a few 'light' vessels would be all that they required. The types of vessels that comprised the Mexican Navy upon it expansion in 1834 demonstrated the concern with expense and vessel size, vocalized by Ward and Mexican officials. The Mexican Navy in 1834 had two 12-gun brigs, six 6-gun schooners, and eighteen 2-gun small schooners.

The *General Bustamente*, a small sloop, is the type of small vessel desired by the Mexican Navy. This vessel could be one of the original sloops purchased in 1823 or one of the later gunboats that was to later characterize the Mexican fleet. Gunboats were a variety of vessel types and sizes, including galleys, cutters, sloops and schooners (fig. 7).

*San Felipe*, November 4, 1835

The *San Felipe* was a well-known schooner in Texas due to its decisive military
actions in an encounter with Mexican schooner *Correo* in September of 1835. The *San Felipe* prevailed in what some consider the first maritime 'battle' of the Texas Revolution.

As with many vessels during this time the *San Felipe* was used to transport soldiers and supplies in addition to regular cargo. The vessel had a large central cabin used for dining and lounging, in addition to a few first-class staterooms. The *San Felipe* could accommodate 60 passengers along with two hundred bales of compressed cotton, or similar cargo, could be carried in its hold.

On October 13, 1835 the *San Felipe*, which was partly owned by Samuel McKinney, was expected to arrive at Quintana, the location of the mercantile powerhouse of McKinney and Williams, with a cargo of small arms and canon. The *San Felipe* was to continue from Quintana with a cargo of large artillery. In early November, the *San Felipe* was transporting Stephen F. Austin from New Orleans to Matagorda. Austin had just been released from prison in Mexico. During this trip the Mexican vessel *Montezuma* was seen along the Texas coast. Stephen Austin and other passengers were taken to Matagorda and the ship was reinforced with men and additional arms. The number of cannon was increased from 2 to 7 and the vessel was armed with 70 men. On the 4th of November, the *San Felipe* wrecked six or eight miles east of Pass Cavallo while pursuing the *Montezuma*. Lost in the wreck were cotton, books and some goods on board. There were possibly also muskets on board when the vessel wrecked.

On November 11th, McKinney expressed the belief that the ship could be
refloated. In a letter written the following day to Stephen F. Austin, the San Felipe was described as a 'total loss'. The William Robbins had been sent to retrieve the cannon from the wreck. On January 17, 1836, almost exactly two months later, the vessel was assigned a wreck agent. Following the accident at Pass Cavallo, it disappears from contemporary documentation altogether, which is unusual for a ship of its renown.

*Hannah Elizabeth*, November 18, 1835

The history of the *Hannah Elizabeth* and its role in the Texas Revolution is particularly relevant for it has been identified as the most likely candidate for the ship carrying the artifact assemblage described later. The *Hannah Elizabeth* was built in 1829 in Stoningham, Connecticut. Its enrollment in the New Orleans’s Register describes its general features as being two-masted, with a single deck, and a billethead. Its dimensions were 67 feet 10 inches (length), 20 feet 10 inches (beam) and 6 feet 1 ¾ inches (depth of hold). It was enrolled at 74 tons and at the time of its sinking it was armed with two 6-pounders and one 4-pounder.

In November of 1835, the *Hannah Elizabeth* was overtaken by the Mexican brig of war, Montezuma. The schooner had been chartered by Peter Kerr, Fernando de Leon and Jesus Carbajal to carry trade supplies and contraband munitions from New Orleans to Matagorda. The *Hannah Elizabeth* was chased ashore at the west end of Matagorda Peninsula on November 19, 1835.

According to a deposition statement made by Thomas Pugh, Edward Scrugham,
and Alonzo Marsh, the vessel became stranded on the bar as it was entering Matagorda Bay and the *Montezuma* fired into the vessel as it lay stranded. The crew of the *Hannah Elizabeth*, recognizing that it was to be seized carrying contraband material, threw the cannon, powder, and two boxes of small arms overboard. The cargo, which included 500 muskets, 2 pieces of artillery, and a full equipment of ammunition, was valued at $35,000. Carbajal and De Leon were taken as prisoners and a price crew was assigned to the *Hannah Elizabeth*. During the evening a northern forced the *Montezuma* to retreat to Matamoras. The vessel, *William Robbins*, intercepted the stranded vessel and recaptured the schooner with its prize crew.

Testimony from the captured Mexican Lieutenant, Don Matteos, agrees with the version provided by S. Rhodes to the Texas Provisional Government. According to his statement, two boxes of muskets, rifles and other arms were thrown overboard as well as the cannon and powder.

A complete salvage of the vessel's cargo was thwarted when the vessel rolled her masts into the breakers, severely damaging the deck. The salvaged cargo of the vessel was sold to the passengers and crew of the *Hannah Elizabeth* and *William Robbins*, including the ‘chance’ for guns and ammunition. The auction of the schooner's cargo was disputed by Colonel Fannin, one of the intended recipients of the goods. The problem with the sale and distribution of the cargo is addressed in *The Journal of the Proceedings of the General Council of the Republic of Texas* (1835).
The Mexican vessel *Pelicano* was bound from New Orleans to Sisal and was captured by a Texas privateer in 1836. The vessel was overtaken in the port of Sisal and was to sail to Matagorda as a captured prize. The crew of the *Pelicano* recognized that it was to be overtaken. Military assistance was requested and measures were taken to prevent the vessel from sailing. The Texas privateer crew, in overtaking the vessel, captured not only the crew, but also 20 soldiers “double armed with muskets”. The vessel was described as being 'Baltimore built' and of the first class. At the time of its capture, the *Pelicano* was armed with three large brass guns. As the *Pelicano* was approaching Matagorda Bay with a prize crew, the United States vessels *Natchez* and *Boston* gave chase. The privateer, *Liberty*, succeeded in entering the bay while the *Pelicano* wrecked while crossing the Matagorda bar.

According to an eyewitness account from S. W. Cushing, a member of the prize crew, the vessel broke up quickly. During the recovery of the cargo, it was discovered that the barrels of produce contained munitions. According to Cushing, the cargo consisted of flour, apples, and butter. In addition there was $2,000-$3,000 in gold and silver coin. The barrels of flour were found to conceal 25-pound kegs of gunpowder. Cushing also described trunks with false bottoms containing jewelry. He estimated that the original cargo was valued at approximately $60,000. Another account also describes rifles as being hidden in barrels of flour. The Texas government valued the flour and powder (280 kegs total) at $7,584.05. Through legal action this amount was split between the salvers of the vessel and the privateer crew.
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CHAPTER IV
ANALYSIS OF ARMS MATERIALS

Of the assorted artifacts recovered from the wreck site, firearms represent the largest portion of the collection. There are 53 firearm-associated artifacts. Ten of these artifacts are semi-complete firearms that contain locks. Ten of the artifacts are solely remnants of gun barrels with and without associated stocks and brass furniture. The remainder of the collection is comprised of loose component parts that have no direct relationship with its original weapon.

The firearms from the survey can generally be described as belonging to two major categories; those of either British influenced design or Spanish influenced design. Firearms produced in the colonies generally copied British ‘Brown Bess’ musket patterns prior to the American Revolution; hereafter they were modeled after those produced in Charleville, France.¹ Five of the nine Brown Bess firearms were stamped or etched on the lockplate with a crown, GR, broad arrow, or TOWER mark, denoting that they are British arms. In absence of marks indicating affiliation with the crown or known British manufacturers, the firearms categorized as British could also indicate British copies produced in the American colonies.

The majority of the artifacts are military arms that can be categorized as one of three major patterns or models: the Model 1757 Spanish musket, British Short Land Pattern, or the British India Pattern (fig. 8). The three British pattern military muskets,
Figure 8. Three Patterns of Military Arms in Texas. a) 1757 Spanish musket, b) British Short Land Pattern, and c) British India Pattern. Illustrations by A. Borgens after José Borja Pérez, La Historia, 29 and A. V. B. Norman and G. M. Wilson, Treasures from the Tower of London: An Exhibition of Arms and Armour (Bradford: Lund Humphries, 1982), 108.
nicknamed the Brown Bess, were collectively manufactured between 1717 and 1815.²

Firearm furniture from the Long Land and Short Land Pattern muskets are sometimes indistinguishable from one another. The 1757 Spanish musket was manufactured until it was superceded by two new musket patterns; the Model 1790 and Model 1792 muskets.³

BRITISH SMALL ARMS

British Pistol

There is only one unidentifiable small arm that was located on the wreck and collected in the survey (fig. 9). This arm is also the only pistol found in the survey. This type of flintlock pistol, with the wood fore-end running to the muzzle, was one of the two major types of flintlock produced between 1640 and 1840.⁴ In the early 19th century, the amount of stock extending to the muzzle was reduced, and termed ‘half-stock’.⁵

The pistol is almost complete; the stock terminates near the dorsal edge of the lockplate. The pistol fragment is 15.94 inches (40.50 centimeters) in length. Cloth fragments were concreted to the exterior of the lock and barrel. These fragments are evidence of a multi-fabric, hand-stitched bag, which may have stored the pistol. The fabrics were both plain and patterned; the patterned fabric consists of interwoven pinstripes. The furniture is of cast brass and includes the trigger guard, trigger plate, sideplate, ramrod pipe, and tailpipe. The ramrod itself is made of wood, not iron as the
Figure 9. Pistol Artifact 14946 with Detail of Maker’s Mark. *Illustrations by A. Borgens.*
other arms from the survey. The trigger guard is of an earlier form, with an inward curl to the bow. The pipes, sideplate and trigger plate are all decoratively etched. The form of the finial and the decoration of the bow are almost identical to that of an isolated trigger guard recovered in the survey, 14942. The tailpipe and trigger guard are hand etched. Some of the engraved marks were created with a multi-liner tool generally believed to be in use after 1800.6 The wooden ramrod and belt hook (not pictured in the illustration) are features special to arms issued for naval use.7

The lock is a simpler style with a gooseneck cock and a somewhat rectangular cock comb. The lockplate has an almost straight lower edge, demonstrating the prevailing trend in design evident in the 2nd half of the 18th century. Both the cock and the lockplate are flat. The lockplate is stamped with a mark, ‘SHARPE’ located forward of the cock under the pan (fig. 9). Sharpe and Company, a Birmingham, England based

Figure 10. Lockplate Mark. Tulip design used by Ketland and Company. Photography by A. Borgens.
contractor, manufactured arms from 1771-1823.8

A tulip design (fig. 10) decorates the lockplate at the tail. The tulip design was a Ketland and Company mark used on some of their arms or company products.9 John and Thomas Ketland produced British firearms and component parts from 1745-1800.10 The manufacture of Ketland firearms and components was continued by Ketland descendants until 1831.11 Ketland locks were imported in large quantities by colonists for use in the local production of firearms. John and Thomas Ketland were active in Philadelphia from 1797-1800, where they were contacted to supply American gunsmiths with various imported firearm components from their Birmingham factories.12 Seven different Ketland firms were operating in Birmingham from 1750-1828.13

The British pistol was made by Sharpe and Company using a Ketland Company lock. Due to the degree of engraving and the specialization of both Sharpe and Ketland Companies in the American trade, it is probable that this weapon was made specifically for American trade.14 The weapon has attributes of both British Light Dragoon and Sea Service pistols. It is likely that private contractors incorporated characteristics of British military patterns in the design of their trade weapons.

Long Land and Short Land Pattern Arms

The Long Land firearm was a smoothbore flintlock musket with a 46-inch barrel.15 Though these arms were originally fitted with iron furniture, cast brass furniture would start to be used in 1725.16 Steel ramrods would become standard on British arms beginning in 1768, supplanting the wood ramrods originally used on Long
Table 1. Diagnostic Arms Measurements (in).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>artifact number</th>
<th>14947</th>
<th>14986</th>
<th>14946</th>
<th>14982</th>
<th>14989</th>
<th>14902</th>
<th>14903</th>
<th>14988</th>
<th>14995</th>
<th>14996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>description</td>
<td>SP 1757</td>
<td>SP 1757</td>
<td>GB pistol</td>
<td>GB Short</td>
<td>GB Short</td>
<td>GB India</td>
<td>GB India</td>
<td>GB India</td>
<td>GB India</td>
<td>GB India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overall length</td>
<td>15 ⅓*</td>
<td>10 ¼*</td>
<td>13 3/16*</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>46 5/16*</td>
<td>15 ⅓*</td>
<td>14 ¼*</td>
<td>20 ⅔*</td>
<td>20 4/5*</td>
<td>19 5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>barrel length</td>
<td>9 13/16</td>
<td>5 15/16</td>
<td>9 3/16*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>41 ¾</td>
<td>10 1/2</td>
<td>10 13/16*</td>
<td>15*</td>
<td>15 15/15</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>barrel diameter</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 ½</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>1 ½</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>barrel tang length</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lock plate length</td>
<td>6 ¾</td>
<td>6 ¾</td>
<td>4 ¾</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 ¾</td>
<td>6 15/16</td>
<td>~6 ¾</td>
<td>6 15/16</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lock plate height</td>
<td>1 5/16</td>
<td>1 5/16</td>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>1 5/16</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>~1 3/16</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>steel face height</td>
<td>1 ¼</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>1 15/16</td>
<td>1 ½</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 ⅝</td>
<td>1 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>steel face width</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>throw of cock</td>
<td>1 5/7</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
<td>1 11/16</td>
<td>1 13/16</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
<td>1 13/16</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramrod or channel diam.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>½ CH</td>
<td>¾ CH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramrod material</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
<td>ferrous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tailpipe length</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 ¼</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 9/16</td>
<td>4 11/16</td>
<td>4 11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tailpipe diameter (mouth)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tailpipe to 1st pipe</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>first pipe length</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 ¼</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>first pipe diameter</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trigger guard length</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 ¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6 ¾*</td>
<td>5 ⅜*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 ⅜*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 ⅜*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bow width</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sideplate length</td>
<td>6 9/16</td>
<td>6 ½</td>
<td>2 9/16</td>
<td>6 ½</td>
<td>6 ½</td>
<td>4 ½</td>
<td>4 ½</td>
<td>4 ½</td>
<td>4 1/16</td>
<td>4 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trigger plate length</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 ½*</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 9/16</td>
<td>2 5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trigger plate width</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lock marks</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>YBASETA</td>
<td>SHARPE</td>
<td>TOWER, GR</td>
<td>TOWER</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TOWER, GR</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* incomplete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* GR, WI (int.)*
The decision to shorten the barrel on the Long Land musket initiated the design of a new pattern, the Short Land musket, which began production in 1769. This model, in its first years of production, was essentially the same as the preceding Long Land Pattern, though the barrel was now shortened to 42 inches. Within a few years, several innovations to the Short Land musket would make it markedly different in its appearance from its predecessor. The second ramrod pipe was designed with a fluted, bell-shaped, mouth to prevent damage to the ramrod guide. The lock was restyled and made more durable by the addition of such features as a redesigned top jaw and top jaw attachment method. The top jaw screw was now both pierced and slotted, and the tre-foil of the sear spring was simplified. A third variation of the Short Land musket incorporated further design changes. The third ramrod pipe was now no longer barrel shaped, but had a fluted mouth like that of the forepipe. The tang of the cock was also no longer notched.

Diagnostic measurements of arms in the collection are presented in table 1. Measurements are given in inches for the arms were constructed in that system and most of the comparative data is presented in that system as well.

Two examples of the Short Land Pattern musket were recovered from the wreck, as well as two loose trigger guards, and a single buttplate. The trigger guards and the buttplate are no longer associated with the original weapon.

The two Short Land muskets both have evidence of Board of Ordnance marks and are both furnished with the flat sideplate. Firearms manufactured for the Board of
Figure 11. Short Land Pattern Musket. Left side, right side, and bottom view. Artifact 14989 prior to disassembly. Ramrod and barrel are both bent but in different directions. *Photography by A. Borgens.*
Ordnance had their locks stamped with the broad arrow, a crown, and the GR. The tail of the lockplate was stamped with the name of the maker. The locks on both musket artifacts have evidence of the crown etched under the lockplate. Lock 14982 has a TOWER, mark stamped on the tail, though only the letters OWE are now discernible. Neither gunlock is dated, a practice discontinued after 1764 (table 1).22 Both Short Land muskets have a brass sideplate with a flat surface. The flattened sideplate was a derivation from the sideplate used on the earlier Long Land and earlier Short Land muskets. The sideplates on these arms had a rounded surface. Beginning in 1769 and continuing until the end of production, the Short Land musket was furnished with a sideplate having a flattened surface.23

Musket 14989 (fig. 11) is the most complete longarm from the artifact collection. This musket, when recovered, was almost complete, extending from the small of the stock to the muzzle. The musket is in poor condition. Toredo damage has affected a
The majority of the wood stock. In the area of the stock between the tailpipe and the
nosecap, there is no surviving wood. The third pipe, forepipe, nosecap, and ramrod are
missing. The barrel of the firearm is slightly bent, as well as the ramrod. Though the
lockplate is not well enough preserved to have evidence of a maker’s mark, remnants of
a crown are evident on the lockplate under the flash pan (fig. 12).

The second Short Land Pattern arm, 14982 (fig. 13), is represented only by the
lock and the sideplate. The lockplate is stamped with a TOWER mark, of which only the OWE are now visible. Under the flash pan are the royal crown and a GR. The interior of the plate is stamped with an IM (or WI if inverted). The interior surface of the sideplate is stamped with stylized crown over a crescent (or C). Both muskets used a lead flint cap, shown on top of the gunflint in fig. 11a, to hold the flint in place. Flint caps were used on military muskets, rifles, and pistols.  

The two Short Land Pattern trigger guards are of cast and filed brass (fig. 14). The trigger guards have an inward curvature to the bow. Both the tangs terminate in a rounded nipple. This particular trigger guard was used on both Long Land and Short Land muskets, and is termed the ‘1742 trigger guard’, nicknamed after its year of introduction. Both trigger guards are complete and are stamped with proof marks. One Short Land Pattern buttplate, with the Roman numeral for 41, XLI, is also part of
the artifact collection (fig. 15). The buttplate is of cast and filed brass. There are no interior scratches, notches, or proof marks. The stamped XLI, on the exterior surface of the buttplate tang, is the regimental mark for the 41st regiment. The 41st Regiment was stationed in Canada during the War of 1812. Following the unit’s tour in Upper Canada, the regiment was stationed at Kingston and Quebec in 1814, before returning to Europe in the spring of 1815. The 41st regiment that embarked to England in 1815 consisted of 1,251 troops. In the 1820s and 1830s, the 41st Regiment would see service in France, Scotland, and India.
India Pattern Arms

The India Pattern arm was manufactured in large quantities for, and by, the British Board of Ordnance beginning in 1794. Britain’s involvement in France’s Revolutionary War created an immediate need for firearms. In order to fill this demand, Britain acquired arms from the East India Company. The East India Company manufactured firearms for British and Indian troops stationed in India. These arms were often considered inferior in quality to those arms manufactured in Britain for British troops both localized and abroad. The firearm produced by the India Company and utilized by Britain was the Windus Pattern musket. The Windus Pattern musket was produced by the East India Company since 1771. In order to simplify supply and repair of these arms, the Board of Ordnance began manufacturing a copy of this weapon, fittingly called the India Patten musket, in 1795. At least 676,800 India Pattern muskets were produced by the East India Company and approximately 2,800,000 by the Board of Ordnance. This musket is considered the most produced musket in the history of British arms production.

The India Pattern musket was a .75 caliber, smoothbore musket weighing between nine and ten pounds. The musket was a simplified version of the preceding Short Land Pattern. The brass furniture was simpler in design, the barrel was shortened, and one less pipe was used to retain the ramrod. Generally this weapon was not as skillfully manufactured as earlier British muskets. The demand for these weapons forced the Ordnance to relax inspection criteria in order to expedite production and distribution. Due to the relaxed inspections, India Pattern muskets manufactured under
private contracts are generally marked only with the maker’s name on the lock.\textsuperscript{36}

In 1815, the British Board of Ordnance discontinued the production of the India Pattern musket replacing it with a further simplified musket. This new musket, called the New Land Pattern, discontinued several features common to the India musket and simplified the buttplates and trigger guards. The East India Company made similar modifications to their firearms. None of the characteristics of the latter, simplified firearms occur on any of the arms retrieved from the Pass Cavallo wreck.

More India arms were recovered in the survey than any other arm pattern. There
are 7 musket fragments, 9 loose trigger guards, and 12 loose buttplates. Five of the firearm fragments have locks (three are pictured in fig. 16) and three fragments are of the barrel.

The poor preservation of the arms does not allow for many surviving lock marks. Two muskets have partially discernible crowns located under the flashpan (fig. 17). Characteristic of the crowns (fig. 17c and d) and of the broad arrow (fig. 17d) date the locks to at least 1810.\textsuperscript{37} All the muskets have the reinforced throat-hole cock used on India Pattern and Windus Pattern muskets after 1809 and 1813 respectively.\textsuperscript{38} Several of
the brass furniture items have Roman numeral markings stamped or notched into their surfaces. These marks were placed on most all of the furniture pieces to a single musket, as well as in the stock’s ramrod channel.39

The India Pattern barrel fragments can be identified from the design change in one of the ramrod pipes. Though the redesigned pipe was used on the last variation of the Short Land musket, it was to become a standard feature on India Pattern muskets.40 The new pipe, introduced by William Pratt in 1777, had a fluted mouth (funnel shaped) like that of the forepipe. The reduction in the number of pipes also created a change in the spacing between the pipes and in the distance between the nosecap and forepipe (fig. 18). On the preceding Short Land Pattern, the distance from the mouth of the forepipe to the end of the nosecap was two inches. In the India Pattern muskets, the spacing between the forepipe and the nosecap is approximately four inches. There are three barrel fragments that are tentatively identified as India Patterns due to the occurrence of the Pratt pip and also the pipe spacing.

With the production of the India Pattern musket, several of the brass furniture
items became more simplified in appearance (fig. 19). The elongated sideplate was shortened. The trigger guard was also shortened and its method of attachment was altered. The ‘1742’ trigger guard was attached through the use of two lug/pin attachments and a screw. The India Pattern trigger guard was affixed through the use of two screws and one lug/pin attachment. The inward curvature of the bow and the nipple tang finials, both standard features of the ‘1742’ trigger guard, were absent on the India

Figure 19. British Musket Trigger Guards. a) the ‘1742’ Pattern trigger guard and b) The India Pattern trigger guard. *Illustrations by A. Borgens.*
Figure 20. Diagonistic Measurement Points for Table 2. *Illustration by A. Borgens.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width RT</th>
<th>Width FT</th>
<th>Thickness RT</th>
<th>Thickness FT</th>
<th>D 1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>Bow width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14913</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>6 9/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>¼</td>
<td>¼</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14939</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>8 ¾</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>¼</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14981</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>11 1/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3 1/16</td>
<td>1 9/16</td>
<td>1 ¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14986-2</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>11 5/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3 ¼</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14926</td>
<td>Lawrence?</td>
<td>5 9/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>¼</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14907</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 ½</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14938</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 ¼</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14943</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 9/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14944</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 11/16</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14984</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>10 7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>2 ¼</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14989-2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 9/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14994</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 11/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 ¾</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-7</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 ¾</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>2 7/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14941</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5 7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>2 9/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14942</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5 7/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>1 ³/₄</td>
<td>2 ¼</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>¾</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
trigger guard. With the introduction of the India Pattern, these features were no longer characteristic of the trigger guards. The trigger plate also became shorter and simpler in design. The India buttplate was similar to that of the Short Land Pattern, though shortened and with a less pronounced curvature between the steps on the tang.

There are nine loose India Pattern trigger guards (fig. 20, fig. 21, and table 2). Eight of the trigger guards are broken. Four trigger guards are of the rear tang, four are of the bow and fore tang, and one trigger guard is complete. There are some markings on the trigger guards. Several have ambiguous proof marks such as the ‘C’ or ‘P’.
Many of the trigger guards have notches, often made with files, on the interior surface. These notch marks represent Roman numeral distinctions given to each brass furniture item used on a single musket. When several guns were being disassembled at the same time these marks were essential, as not all the parts were similar enough to be interchangeable.42

There are 13 buttplates having a three-step taper of the India type design (fig. 24, table 3). The surfaces of the buttplate are varyingly eroded or polished smooth due to exposure in the marine environment.

Figure 22. Buttplate Tangs. a) 14914, b) 14915, c) 14916, d) 14917, e) 14918, f) 14929, g) 14930, h) 14934, i) 14998, j) 15000. Photography by A. Borgens.
The East India Company manufactured a variety of firearms furnished with this buttplate. The musket was the largest arm fitted with the India Pattern buttplate though it was also used on the company’s carbines and fusils. The East India fusil was fitted with this plate from 1771-1811 and the cavalry carbine from about 1781 to 1810.43

Buttplate 14916 is marked with a regimental mark, indicating that it was fitted to a carbine. The numbers and letters GBI M5 C N 40 (fig. 22c) are hand etched into the exterior surface of the buttplate tang. This is the mark of the Grenadiers Bombay Infantry, Model 5, Carbine number 40.44

The exterior surface of the buttplate tang on artifact 14917 also has a hand etched mark. The number 52 below a bugle (fig. 22d and 23) is the mark of the 52nd Regiment of Foot, Light Infantry Unit.45 The hand etched mark of the ribbon above a bugle is more simply executed than that depicted on the comparative badge. The 52nd Regiment,
Figure 24. Diagnostic Measurement Points for Table 3.

Table 3. Buttplate Dimensions (in).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Tang length</th>
<th>Hole 1 O. Dia.</th>
<th>Hole 1 I. Dia.</th>
<th>Hole 2 O. Dia.</th>
<th>Hole 2 I. Dia.</th>
<th>D 1</th>
<th>D2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14914</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 ⅜</td>
<td>⅜ x 9/16</td>
<td>7/16 x ⅜</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14915</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 ⅝</td>
<td>3 13/16</td>
<td>1 ¾ x 9/16</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>3 11/16</td>
<td>2 11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14916</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 ⅜</td>
<td>3 7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7/17 x ⅜</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 ⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14917</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 11/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>3 1/16</td>
<td>2 ⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14918</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 11/16</td>
<td>⅜ x 9/16</td>
<td>7/16 x ⅜</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>3 1/16</td>
<td>2 ⅝</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14919</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 1/16</td>
<td>3 7/16</td>
<td>⅜ x 9/16</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>2 15/16</td>
<td>2 7/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14920</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 ⅝</td>
<td>3 5/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 9/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14929</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 5/16</td>
<td>3 ½</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>½ x 7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 5/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14930</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 ¾</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>1.17/1.36</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>3 1/16</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14931</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 ⅞</td>
<td>3 ⅝</td>
<td>⅜ x 9/16</td>
<td>7/16 x ⅜</td>
<td>⅛ x 9/16</td>
<td>7/16 x ⅛</td>
<td>2 ½</td>
<td>2 5/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988-4</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>5 1/16</td>
<td>3 7/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>⅛</td>
<td>9/16 x 5/8</td>
<td>⅛</td>
<td>3 ⅛</td>
<td>2 9/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14998</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>4 ⅝</td>
<td>3 ¾</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>3 1/16</td>
<td>2 ⅛</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14999</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>4 15/16</td>
<td>3 7/16</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>2 11/16</td>
<td>2 ¼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5 1/16</td>
<td>3 9/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>2 15/16</td>
<td>2 7/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
also known as the Oxfordshire Regiment of Foot, was originally composed of two battalions. The first battalion of the 52nd Regiment of Foot would latter be designated the 96th Regiment of Foot in 1803. The second battalion was established as a Light Infantry unit in 1810. The 52nd, 43rd, and the 95th Regiments would all be deployed to fight in Spain during the Peninsular campaigns.

In addition to scratches on the interior surface of the buttplate, representing Roman numeral markings, many of the buttplates are marked with proof marks and rack numbers. Buttplate 14915 is stamped with a D over the number 41 (fig. 22b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firearm feature</th>
<th>Nationality (or Manufacturing entity)</th>
<th>Date/PATTERN of Introduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced ring-neck cock</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>Windus 1813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>India Pattern 1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>Sea Service Muskets 1718, 1738, 1756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘1742’ trigger guard</td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>Pattern 1742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn finial trigger guard</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>Lawrence (pinned) 1760-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windus (screws/pin) 1771-1818</td>
<td>Windus 1771-1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 stepped ‘India’ buttplate</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>Windus 1771-1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>India Pattern 1795-1815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J shaped sideplate</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>Windus 1771-1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramrod pipe with fluted end</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>Windus 1771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt pipe</td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>India Pattern? 1795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long trumpet forepipe</td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>Pattern 1756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailpipe (for steel ramrod)</td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>Pattern 1748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel (or iron) ramrod</td>
<td>East India Company</td>
<td>1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>British Board of Ordnance</td>
<td>Pattern 1748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Artifact 14930 is stamped with mark B 125 on the exterior surface of the tang (fig. 20g). The letter P, a proofing mark, is stamped on the interior of buttplate 14934.

Several artifacts are too fragmentary to identify according to a model or pattern. Though the firearm artifacts are incomplete, innovations and changes in manufacture and design indicate the emergence of certain characteristics. The following table (table 4) details the origin of specific features. One buttplate is of a type not readily identifiable. Artifact 14998 (fig. 22i) has a stepped tang that terminates in a ball. The shape of the tang bears some resemblance to those used on the Royal Foresters Light

Figure 25. British Barrel Fragment. a) Tailpipe from an Ordnance India Pattern musket (Collection of Bill Caruth, Dallas TX), b) Stock with tailpipe and ramrod, 14992-4. Photography by A. Borgens.
Infantry Dragoon carbine which began production in 1776. The tang on this buttplate is crudely fashioned which may indicate that it is a locally produced copy of this design and not an Ordnance weapon.

Some barrel fragments could not be identified as a distinct Pattern because certain diagnostic features were not evident. Because there are no attributes that aid in a more specific identification, these barrels could be of the Short Land or India Pattern. Six barrel fragments are thus categorized as generally British in design. Two of these fragments contain the tailpipe (14992-4 is pictured in fig. 25b). The tailpipe on Long Land, Short Land, and India Pattern arms is the same design (fig. 25a). Another artifact is just the tip of the ramrod and two fragments are solely a barrel and wood stock piece with the impression of a now absent barrel.

Figure 26. British Barrel. Artifact 14947-2 with detail of breech and touchhole and brazing pieces. Photography and illustration by A. Borgens.
A large barrel fragment is completely separated from its wood stock (fig. 26). The barrel fragment is 19 ¼ inches (49.00 centimeters) and includes part of the breech plug. The barrel is a smoothbore with ⅜ inch barrel flats that extend 3 ¼ inches (8.30 centimeters) from the breech. An indication that this musket is of British manufacture is the occurrence of two brazing pieces along the barrel. The copper brazing was used to affix the attachment lugs to the barrel.

British gun barrels manufactured in the 18th century were secured to the wood stock by round iron wire called “pinning wire”, “cross-pin” or pins.51 On the underside of the barrel were a series of iron studs, which were dovetailed and brazed to the barrel. Once the barrel was in the proper position in the stock, holes were drilled through the stock, passing through the pin loop. The pinning wire was inserted through the hole than cut and filed flush with the wood surface. Usually three of these

![Figure 27. British Furniture. a) forepipe and b) trigger plate.](image-url)
attachment studs (lugs) occurred on each barrel. These brazing pieces have been found on several of the India Pattern and Short Land Pattern arms in the collection and measure approximately ¾ by ½ inch (2.0 by 1.25 centimeters).

Two additional brass furniture items, a forepipe and a trigger plate (fig. 27), are unable to be ascribed to a particular pattern or model. The brass forepipe was fitted to both Short Land and India Pattern muskets. The exterior surface is marked between the attachment lugs with the Roman numeral IX (or XI if inverted). The trigger plate is of cast and filed brass. Its dimensions, 2 ⅜ by 9/16 inches (6.00 by 1.40 centimeters) suggest that it was fitted to a pistol.

SPANISH SMALL ARMS

Until the 18th century, arms manufactured in Spain were equipped with a miquelet lock (also called Spanish locks). Several locks on Spanish arms are specific to a given style or area. The first regulation military arm, produced in 1724, had the patilla lock. The a la moda lock was manufactured in Madrid during most of the 18th century. The a la moda lock was French in appearance but Spanish in its internal operation. A second French lock, completely French in its appearance and internal mechanism, was produced to a limited extent on private arms. This lock, the a la francesa, was adopted for military use under the reign of Carlos IV (1788 – 1808).
The Spanish musket with the French lock was a direct copy of the musket produced by France in 1756. Unlike the *a la francesa* lock the lock on this musket had external Spanish characteristics. Two major lock features distinguish the Spanish firearm from the French model. The Spanish influenced French lock on the Model 1757 firearm was of the French type but with a Spanish cock and ring jaw-screw.

The fragility of the French lock eventually led to a reversion to the preceding miquelet lock. The Model 1791 Spanish military firearm, the next standardized military musket type following the Model 1757, was manufactured with the miquelet lock that was typical of the early musket.

Two firearms have the ring jaw-screw and sideplate characteristic of the Spanish firearms with the French type lock; one fragment is of the barrel. In addition, two loose trigger guards also appear to Spanish, but it is difficult to make this association without the rest of the firearm.

Figure 28. Spanish Musket, Artifact 14947. *Photography by A. Borgens.*
Three firearm fragments were recovered in the survey. Two fragments are of the lock; one fragment is of the barrel. Both lock fragments are of the Spanish 1757 model musket. The three musket fragments recovered in the survey are of the Model 1757 military musket. The Model 1757 was a smoothbore flintlock musket with a .60 caliber barrel. The overall length of the musket was 59 inches (150.00 centimeters) with a 43.7 inch (111.00 centimeters) barrel. The longarm weighed 4.14 grams.\(^2\)

The locks on artifacts 14947 (fig. 28) and 14986 (fig. 29) have French internal operating mechanisms. The exterior surface is French in appearance with the exception of the ring jaw screw and the steel. A series of parallel lines are inset in the steel surface as a method to create a better striking surface for the flint.

Lock 14986 is stamped with the maker’s name, YBASETA, inset within a rectangular cartouche (fig. 30). Attempts to identify the maker have not been successful.
Neighboring this mark is a secondary image that is not discernible. Neither lock has any surviving marks in the interior surface. The body of the cock and lockplate are both flat with beveled edges. The cast brass sideplates on both weapons are also unmarked. The trigger plates were manufactured of iron.

The Spanish barrel fragment, artifact 14988-4, is 17 11/16 inches (44.90
centimeters) long and extends from the break just forward of the lockplate to just past the first barrel band. The barrel and stock are in poor condition. The barrel has an exterior diameter of approximately 1 ⅛ inches (2.90 centimeters) at the barrel band. The barrel band is elliptical, with long and short axis dimensions of 1 11/16 inches (4.25 centimeters) and 1 ⅜ inches (3.55 centimeters).

Trigger guards 14913 and 14939 (fig. 31) bear a strong resemblance to those used on Spanish military firearms. Judging from the images provided by Brinckerhoff and Chamberlain, the trigger guard on Spanish military firearms remained generally unchanged for the fifty-year range represented by the three models. The three-tiered tang of the Spanish trigger guards terminates in a rounded ‘nipple’. The outside edges are beveled.

MISCELLANEOUS ARMS

Four trigger guards can not be attributed to a specific firearm type. Two are broken, one is just of the rear finial tip, and the third is complete and belongs to a pistol. Trigger guard no. 14926 (fig. 32a) has a heavily eroded surface. The distinct edges of the trigger guard itself, as well as surface characteristics, are indiscernible. The artifact does bear some resemblance to India Company trigger guards featured on Coote and Windus Pattern arms. The condition of the artifact does not allow for conclusive
identification. Another trigger guard (fig. 32b) appears to be French in design. The trigger guard is of cast brass. There are no marks to aid in its identification. The forward tang has an additional hole for its attachment to a firearm. This hole appears to have been created post manufacture and may have provided a method of attachment as the fore tang lug is broken. The finial tip, no. 14995-5 (fig. 32d), is of cast and filed brass. It is similar to the rear tang finial tip on India Pattern weapons, though much shortened.

The pistol trigger guard, 14942 (fig. 32c) is complete and measures 5 ⅝ inches (14.40 centimeters) in length. The shape of the tang and sideplate are similar to those found on the East India Company Cavalry pistol (1808-1811), the Ordnance Light
Dragoon pistol, and on the Dublin Castle Light Dragoon pistol produced in the late 18\textsuperscript{th} and early 19\textsuperscript{th} century.\textsuperscript{64} The bow of the trigger guard is etched with a decorative design. This design is also etched on the bow of the trigger guard to pistol, 14946.

A small iron ramrod worm (14984-3, fig.32e) was concreted to a sword blade fragment. It is the only artifact of this type recovered from the shipwreck. A small piece of thread was tied around the worm, presumably to tie it to the firearm.

CONCLUSION

Of the collective group of firearms, firearm furniture and bayonets (58 total), 9 artifacts’ origins are unknown, 42 are British or of British design and five are Spanish. Twenty-nine of the British influenced firearm components appear to be of the East India Co. Windus Pattern, or the Ordnance copy of this weapon, the India Pattern. The India Pattern/Windus Pattern firearms would account for half of the collection of firearms recovered from the survey. This is significant, as these were the primary weapons used by the Mexican army during the Texas Revolution and the Mexican-American War. The Texas army used these weapons also, but to a lesser extent.
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CHAPTER V
SMALL ARMS AND ARTILLERY SHOT

Indispensable to the musket-wielding soldier, was the associated firing round, the lead shot. These lead balls are the most common relics recovered from most 19th-century battlefields.¹

The first “hand held” longarms to fire lead balls were the harquebuts, which were developed in the 14th century.² These firearms were fired from supports and required two men to operate. In the following century the Spaniards developed a longer firearm that fired 2-ounce lead balls. This weapon, called the mousquet, was gradually made smaller and lighter and is the origin of the flint musket.³

Lead shot could be both factory-manufactured or cast in the field from lead stock, called pig lead. According to Deanes’ Manual, mid-18th century English lead shot was produced by pouring melted lead through strainers and letting it drop 150 feet into a tub of cold water.⁴ The motion of the melted lead through the air created the cylindrical shape. The lead was ladled from the cold water and placed into polishing machines.⁵

In the field, melted lead was poured into hand held molds that were hinged together. The molds produced superfluous lead attachments, called sprues, which were clipped off. Once the sprues were removed, the remaining burr could be polished off by rolling balls together in a rolling mill.⁶ These sprues are often evident on hand cast shot recovered from archaeological sites. Hand held casts produced marked seams and offset
lead shot if the hinged sides did not meet properly. Lead shot without seams are indicative of armory manufactured shot.

The British Board of Ordnance standardized the English musket caliber as 11 bore, .75 or .76 inch, by the late 17th century. In 1752 it was reduced to 14 ½ bore, .68 inch. The East India Company, similarly, reduced their musket bore following the Ordnance change. The India Pattern musket (Windus Pattern), produced by the East India Company, was a .76 caliber longarm. The musket ball manufactured for these muskets was .68 inch (14 ½ per pound). In 1838, the British Board of Ordnance found that their cast musket balls measured between .680 to .689 inch, and averaged .6835 inch. Balls produced for carbines were .60 inch (20 per pound) and for the pistol, .51 inch (34 per pound).

The regulation Spanish musket (miquelet/Spanish lock) was to have a 38 ½ inch (97.44 centimeter) barrels of .66 caliber. The pistol was to have a barrel not exceeding 10 inches (20.50 centimeters) in length, of .66 caliber. The 1757 model Spanish musket, used in regions of North America, was a .69 caliber weapon with an overall length of 59 ¼ inches.

The Texas government, in 1839, established regulations governing the caliber sizes for their small arms, mainly U. S. produced weapons. In the regulations, these balls were specified by weight. The musket balls were to be 48 per pound (.45 caliber). The carbine, rifle, and pistol caliber were to be caliber 32 per pound (.52 caliber). Musket balls recovered from the site of Post West Bernard were .69 caliber (13 in number), .75 caliber (3 in number), and between .52 and .54 caliber (6 in number).
Post West Bernard was active as an arsenal stores from 1837 to the spring of 1839.\textsuperscript{18} Weapons stored at the arsenal were left over from the Texas Revolution and predated the arms stipulated in the regulations.

At Villita, the location of the Mexican military embankment at the battle of the Alamo, 34 musket balls of .69 caliber (sizes ranging from 1.65-1.80 centimeters) were recovered. The .69 caliber balls were intended for use in India pattern muskets.\textsuperscript{19} Three shot were intended for a rifle or pistol; .49 caliber (1.30 centimeters), .48 caliber (1.25 centimeters) and .44 caliber (1.15 centimeters).\textsuperscript{20}

Eighty-seven lead balls were recovered from the wreck site at Pass Cavallo (fig. 33, table 5). Sixty-six lead shot correspond to the .69 caliber (1.65-1.80 centimeters) shot fired from English muskets. Four shot are of .60 carbine caliber (1.50-1.55 centimeters) and four are for .51 pistol or rifle caliber (1.30-1.35 centimeters). Seven lead shot are of .63 caliber which may correspond to that of the 1757 Model Spanish military musket which had a bore of .69 inch. Five small shot (bird shot) measure .40

![Figure 33. Musket Balls. Artifact 14924. All lead shot types are represented.](Image)

\textit{Photography by A. Borgens}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Art. No.</th>
<th>Diam.(cm)</th>
<th>Caliber (in)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Seams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14993-5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14904-2</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>30.29</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.03</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>31.33</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.17</td>
<td>possibly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14908</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>31.01</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>27.13</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>26.37</td>
<td>no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14924-2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>spall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34/1.36</td>
<td>0.527-.535</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>possibly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>21.47</td>
<td>yes-spall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>possibly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>27.86</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>27.72</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76/1.69</td>
<td>.693-.665</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.70</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>30.85</td>
<td>yes, off-set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.70</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>yes, slight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>slight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>circumference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14927-3</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP/AR-2</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>32.38</td>
<td>possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72-1.79</td>
<td>.677-.704</td>
<td>31.44</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP/AR-1</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.58 (1 1/2 LB)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.57 (1 1/2 LB)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Art. No.</th>
<th>Diam.(cm)</th>
<th>caliber (in)</th>
<th>weight (g)</th>
<th>seams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14933</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>4.541 (~12 LB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14932</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>3.566 (~6 LB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14924</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>3.558 (~6 LB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14940</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>circum., flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>30.89</td>
<td>yes, circumference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>30.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>seam ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>30.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>30.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>offset 2 spalls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>offset, 3 spalls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>29.14</td>
<td>3 spall, off-set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>2 spall offset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.70</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>28.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>3 spall, off-set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>3 spall, off-set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>seam ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>30.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1.75</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>circumference, flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>105.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>106.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes, spall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>26.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible, flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible, flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td></td>
<td>ridge, flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>25.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>not visible, flat spot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Art. No.</th>
<th>Diam.(cm)</th>
<th>caliber (in)</th>
<th>weight (g)</th>
<th>seams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14940</td>
<td>~1.60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~1.62</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~1.70</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>27.06</td>
<td>ridge, off-set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>31.45</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>27.38</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~1.70</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>27.56</td>
<td>not visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~1.55</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>asymmetrical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~1.53</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>21.02</td>
<td>ridge, flat spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988-6</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>.704</td>
<td>31.51</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14989-5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>circumference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>ridge, semi-flat side</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

centimeters. Sixteen of the musket balls had visible seams indicating that they were hand cast.

Eight of these were offset cast, six of which had two to three intact sprues. All the carbine and pistol/rifle had visible seams indicating they were hand cast. Two of the possible Spanish musket shot have seams. All other shot are seamless indicating possible armory manufacture.

FIELD ARTILLERY MUNITIONS

Ease of mobility was a factor in the size of cannon used for field artillery.
Permanent Mexican military fortifications, during the early Republic Period, were outfitted with brass and iron cannon ranging from 16-pounders to 24-pounders. In its campaigns against the Texas colonists, the Mexican army used smaller bore, and thus more lightweight, cannons. The 1st Infantry Brigade was equipped with two 12-pounders, two 6-pounders, and two 4-pounders. The Vanguard Brigade was equipped with two 8-pounders, two 6-pounders, two 4-pounders, and a 7-inch howitzer.

Many varieties in size and make of shot were recovered from Mexican military sites in Texas. Among the artillery recovered from the Mexican embankment at the Alamo were a 10.90 centimeter “9-pounder” (5.00 kilogram) and 10.4 centimeter, possibly “6-pounder” (1.2 kilogram). Over 200 brass light canister shot (2.10 to 2.80 centimeters diameter), over 30 heavy brass canister shot (3.1-3.70 centimeters diameter), 2 iron canister shot (4.70 and 4.80 centimeters diameter), and over 150 lead canister shot (2.17 – 2.64 centimeters diameter) were recovered from archaeological sites associated with the path and campsite of the defeated Mexican army. Two 6-pound iron cannon balls, a solid 6-pound brass cannon ball, and six hollow brass howitzer shells were also abandoned along the route as the Mexican army retreated to the Atacosita Crossing on the Colorado River. The use of cuprous shot instead of iron shot was not uncommon at this time, and was dependant on the availability of iron. In the later Mexican-American War of 1845-1846, brass shot was the principal form of Mexican ammunition, however, Depalo describes the brass shot as being so slow in its trajectory that U. S. soldiers were easily able to avoid the projectiles.

The Government of the Republic of Texas, in 1839, set artillery requirements for
the Texas army. The Texas army was to use 6, 9, and 12-pounder cannon as well as 12 and 24-pounder howitzers as their field artillery. Siege and Garrison troops were to use 12, 18, and 24-pounder cannon, in addition to light howitzers and light mortars. Coastal fortifications were to be equipped with 24, 32, and 42-pounder cannon, 10 inch mortars, 18, 24, 32, and 42-pounder carronades.

SHIPBOARD ARTILLERY MUNITIONS

Shipboard mounted cannon could range in size from 9-pounders to 68-pounders. The 130-ton Mexican naval schooner, *Aquila*, mounted a 32-pounder, in addition to six 18-pounder carronades. The *Guadalupe*, an iron hulled steamer of the Mexican Navy, had two 68-pounders and four 12-pounders. Many of the smaller Mexican naval schooners were armed with 24-pounders or 12-pounders. A United States schooner-of-war operating in the Gulf of Mexico, *Flirt*, was armed with two 18-pounders. A 250-ton U.S. Naval Brig, *Somers*, which capsized near Veracruz in 1846, mounted ten 32-pounders.

The vessels purchased by the Texas government in 1839 were to each mount a compliment of artillery. The ship was to carry 18 24-pounders, the brigs were to have 12 18-pounders, and the schooners were to be armed with 4 12-pounders and a long 12-pounder mounted on a pivot. Each gun, upon completion, was to be equipped with 25 round shot, 13 canister shot, and grape shot for 12 stands. None of the vessels carried
the armament stipulated in the Dawson’s contract.

The ship *Austin* has been described as having mounted a variety of artillery types, including twenty 20-pounders, eighteen medium 24-pounders, and eighteen 18-pounders.37 The brigs *Wharton* and *Archer* had sixteen medium 18-pounders.38 The schooners *San Bernard*, *San Jacinto*, and *San Antonio* had seven 12-pounders and one long 18-pounder.39

Merchant vessels, due to the intrinsic risks involved in maritime trade, were often armed. The *Hannah Elizabeth*, a New Orleans merchant vessel, was equipped with two 6-pounders and one 4-pounder.40 The Texas schooner, *Liberty*, captured a merchant schooner in the port of Sisal in 1836.41 This vessel, the *Pelicano Campeache*, mounted three large brass guns.42

Seafaring vessels also carried shot specifically employed to injure the rigging of an adversary. Anti-rigging shot was made in a variety of differing forms, yet all had the same function. Often this shot was larger in mass, or expanding, in order to destroy another vessel’s sails and rigging. One of the most common forms of anti-rigging shot was composed of two halves of a cannon ball, joined by a bar. This form of bar shot was a standard feature of maritime artillery.43

The British Royal navy had many varieties of bar shot. Double-headed bar shot were either two cannon balls or two half balls joined by a single bar.44 Another form was composed of sliding bars that extended in flight.45 Knife-blade shot had four folding blades that also extended in flight.46 Another form of anti-rigging shot had a grappling hook attached. All these types of shot were manufactured of cast iron and
were found to be too weak. After 1782, anti-rigging shot was two solid half balls connected by a bar made of wrought iron.\textsuperscript{47} French bar-shot of the 19\textsuperscript{th} century had a faceted bar and contoured ends.\textsuperscript{48} The length of the shot was twice the diameter of the end.

Eight examples of large artillery shot were located around the Pass Cavallo wreck site (fig. 34, table 5). The artillery shot from the wreck site is composed of one 12-pounder (11.50 centimeters diameter), two 6-pounders (9.20 – 9.30 centimeters diameter), two heavy iron canister shot (3.99-4.01 centimeter diameter), and two light lead canister shot (2.65 centimeters diameter). The singular example of anti-rigging shot, cast with a faceted bar, weighs 18 pounds and is 22 ½ inches (57 centimeters). The round shot exemplify those found on Mexican and Texan terrestrial sites and is like those of shipboard use.

The 6-pound and 12-pound cannonballs, as well as the canister shot, exemplify artillery used on small vessels or as field artillery. The cannon that fired these rounds were lighter and afforded a greater ease of mobility. As demonstrated, small cannon balls and cannister shot were ubiquitous on the battlefields and presidios of Texas, as well as on merchant and naval craft throughout the Texas Gulf. Mexican and Texas troops alike employed mobile smaller bore artillery. The indistinct nature of these artifacts does not invite speculation as to the national affiliation of such items, especially in the absence of identifying marks. Terrestrial archaeology and historical research has demonstrated that the Mexican military became more dependant on cuprous shot during the Texas Revolution and especially during the following Mexican American War.
There is not, however, enough evidence to indicate the origin or ownership of the artillery and small arms shot. Likewise, it is indeterminable as to whether these items were shipboard cargo, of ship stores, or were rounds fired into the vessel.
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CHAPTER VI
EDGED WEAPONS

Edged weapons represent the smallest (in quantity) category of the munitions recovered from the shipwreck. Four sword fragments and three bayonet fragments were recovered during the survey.

BAYONETS

One of the most undeniable advantages to the use of the musket was the optional attachment of the bayonet. It was this feature which enabled the weapon to be the preferred arm of the Republic of Texas army.\(^1\) Often muskets could be purchased as ‘stands’. A ‘stand’ of muskets referred to the musket and its accompanying bayonet.

The bayonet used on British arms at this time, the socket bayonet, is easily distinguishable due to its unusually long 4-inch socket and its triangular cross-section blade. These British Long Land and Short Land Pattern muskets were standard arms in North America during the French Wars and the American Revolution. The later India Pattern was used in North America during the war of 1812 and the Mexican-American War.\(^2\) Bayonets manufactured for the Long Land, Short Land, and East India Pattern arms remained greatly unchanged between 1760 and 1815. The ‘Brown Bess’ bayonet
was used on all three British patterns. The general dimensions for the socket bayonet are a 16 ¾ inch blade, a ¾ inch to 1 inch shank and a 4 inch socket.³

In the middle 18th century, the original design of the bayonet was reinforced through thickening the shank. The guard for the blade became triangular in shape and the blade curved upwards, away from the barrel.⁴ The shank retained a slightly flattened face. The bayonets produced between 1760-1780 had a slightly longer blade, of 17 inches, and a 4-inch socket.⁵ The bayonets produced for the Short Land Pattern at the end of the 18th century (1780-1800) are almost indistinguishable from those produced for the India Pattern muskets.⁶ With the India Pattern bayonets, the blade was thickened near the point and the guard was reduced to a slight triangular lip. The curvature of the shank was almost identical to the preceding model, though the shank lost its flattened face altogether.⁷ The India Pattern bayonets had a 16-inch blade and a 3.9-inch socket.⁸

The East India Company bayonets produced for the Windus Pattern musket were unlike those used on the Board of Ordnance, India Pattern copy. The East India Company bayonet had a 16 inch blade and a socket with a two-motion, L-shaped, slot and straight spring.⁹ This design was superior to that of the Ordnance bayonet, in that it did not slip back and forth or laterally.¹⁰

American muskets were fitted with bayonets copied from British and French patterns. During the Revolutionary War period, local gunsmiths produced bayonets modeled after those produced for the British ‘Brown Bess’ muskets.¹¹ Towards the end of the Revolutionary War, the bayonets would be modeled after those used on French weapons.
Bayonets produced by American armories at the end of the 18th century were patterned directly after the 1763 French bayonet, though with the locking clasp ring. The French bayonet had a 2 ¾ inch socket with a reinforcing ring clasp. The blade measured 14 3/16 inches in length. The 1795 Model American musket, and all those produced until 1840, had double and parallel locking slots, without the clasp.

Bayonets manufactured by The Virginia Manufactory of Arms muskets conformed to that of the Charlevillle weapons. The bayonets produced by the Virginia Manufactory of Arms, in conjunction with the muskets made between 1802 and 1809, were 28 ½ inches overall with a 3 ½ inch socket with a reinforcing collar. Approximately 14,100 were delivered to the state of Virginia. In 1840, the bayonet was manufactured with the ring clasp, a feature it had abandoned from its French predecessor. The Model 1840 musket was the last of the U. S. military flintlocks. The Model 1757 Spanish military muskets were used largely by Spanish expedition troops in North America. This musket was produced until it was replaced by the next major pattern manufactured in 1815. During this time three other major arms patterns were manufactured for the Spanish military: the Model 1785 carbine, the Model 1790 Light Infantry musket, and the Model 1792 musket. The bayonet for the Model 1757 musket was 19 ½ inches long with a triangular blade and slotted socket. The maximum length and width of the blade was 15 inches and 1 ½ inches. The socket was 3 ½ inches long. The three movement slot was much like that of the ‘Brown Bess’ Bayonet. The placement of the shoulders to the blade was not perpendicular to the neck, but curved forward and outward into the blade.
All of the bayonets that were recovered in the survey are incomplete. The bayonets have the same general characteristics; all are socket bayonets, with triangular blades. Artifact 14992-2 has a complete socket of 4 1/6 inches, though the blade is missing the tip (fig. 35, table 6). This is the most intact bayonet. Bayonet 14910

Table 6. Bayonet Dimensions (in).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14992</th>
<th>14910</th>
<th>14911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>muzzle length</td>
<td>2 1/8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socket length</td>
<td>4 1/16</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socket front outer diameter</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socket front inner diameter (bore)</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 3/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blade length</td>
<td>15 ¾*</td>
<td>17 ½</td>
<td>11 ¾ *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blade width</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length from fuller to shoulder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* incomplete

has an incomplete socket and the locking mechanism is not present. This artifact has a complete blade length of 17 ½ in (44.70 cm). The remaining bayonet, 14911 neither has a complete socket or blade. The long length of the socket on bayonet 14992-2 suggests
this bayonet was fitted to late 18th-century British pattern muskets. This bayonet has a stamped mark on the blade surface, near the shank (fig. 36). The incomplete nature of the artifacts 14910 and 14911, and the absence of identifying marks, do not allow attribution to a musket pattern.

![Figure 36. Bayonet Mark. Detail of crossed mark on blade surface neighboring the shoulder. Photography by A. Borgens.](image)

SABERS

Prior to radiographic analysis the sword blade concretions were perceived to be box straps. The surfaces of the sword blades range in preservation quality from fair to poor. All four sword blades are triple-fullered.

The fragmentary condition of the sword blades, at this point, only allows for speculation as to origin. The closest parallels are American Horseman sabers produced
during the last quarter of the 18th century. Sword blades 14980 and 14995-4 are approximately 1 3/8 inches in width, which is a common measurement for the blades used in the American Horseman sabers.21 The triple-fullered Horseman’s saber pattern was a popular pattern sometimes accommodated to other sword types.22 During the American Revolution the sword was the primary weapon of the mounted soldier.23

Swords manufactured in the 18th century were often the combination of a locally produced sword hilt with an imported sword blade.24 Large manufacturing centers in Soligen, Munich, and Passau (Germany), Toledo (Spain) and Milan (Italy) shipped hundreds of thousands of blades worldwide.25 The imported European triple-fullered blade is common to American sabers of the late 18th century.26

The Pass Cavallo saber fragments (fig. 37) measure between 5 11/16 inches and
14 ½ inches. The widths of the blades vary from 1 ¼ inches to 1 7/16 inches. Artifact blade 14995-4 has the greatest degree of tapering, from 1 3/8 inches to 1 ¼ inches. The fullers measured approximately ¼ inches each. The thickness of the blades at the spine ranged from ⅛ to ¼ inches. Based on the blades general features the fragments are tentatively identified as European saber blades produced for importation around the turn of the 18th and 19th centuries.27
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Artifacts collected in the survey indicate the presence of a ship, although a cursory examination of the site did not expose any evidence of a complete or semi-complete ship’s hull. Examples of hull sheathing, ship’s fasteners, rigging implements and ballast were recovered from several locations around the artifact debris field. The ship’s fasteners and hull sheathing, due to manufacturing technologies, provide some indication of the ship’s date.

COPPER SHEATHING

The survey recovered several fragments of copper sheathing, one of which was still attached to a piece of planking. In addition, many of the copper sheathing fragments still retained the cupreous nails that were needed to affix the sheathing to the hull. The late 18th-century introduction of cupreous sheathing, to reduce or eliminate toredo attack on the exposed, below waterline wood, helped postulate a later date for the age of the ship than that which was originally theorized.¹

Experiments in copper sheathing began in 1761 with the 32-gun British frigate, H.M.S. Alarm.² The schooner La Gorée, in 1767, was the first French vessel to be
coppered. The *La Gorée* was stationed in the colonies. The first American ship to use copper sheathing was the frigate *Alliance* in 1781.

Britain was the leader in developing the technology to produce suitable methods for coppering vessels. A total of eight of the 5th and 6th rate ships were coppered by 1770. By 1777, at least 14 more vessels were to have also been sheathed. The degradation of the iron fasteners, due to galvanic responses to the copper, reduced interest in the use of copper sheathing for the British fleet.

James Keir and Matthew Bolton developed fasteners using a combination of copper, zinc, and iron in the late 1770s. By 1781 it was concluded that the “Keir’s metal” bolts were not adequate and other copper alloy fasteners would have to be developed. New fasteners of a mixed copper and zinc alloy were strengthened by drawing the metal through grooved rollers. Following the introduction of these new mixed metal copper fastenings all British naval vessels were changed over to the new alloy bolts after 1786. By 1812, the use of copper bolts as ship’s fasteners was considered a common practice in the construction of British vessels.

The use of copper sheathing on American naval vessels occurred considerably later, as the United States had to import both the technology and initially the copper sheathing. Britain manufactured and exported copper sheathing and fasteners to European naval powers and United States towards the end of the 18th century. Britain’s importance as a supplier of copper sheathing declined as countries discovered independent sources of copper and developed the technology to manufacture these products. The United States, though able to produce its own sheathing since 1815, was
importing enough copper sheathing from Britain in 1850 to plate 600 vessels. Copper sheathing was routinely used to sheath ships of the early U.S. navy in the beginning of the 19th century.

Due to the expense of this technology, merchant ships were slower to combine the use of copper sheathing with copper fasteners. In the British merchant fleet, the coppering of vessels started to become more common after 1786. The number of vessels to use copper sheathing gradually increased so that by the end of the Napoleonic Wars one in six British overseas merchant vessels was coppered. A majority of British merchant vessels still continued to use wooden sheathing. Over 80 percent of the registered merchant vessels to use copper sheathing conducted trade in Africa, Americas, and the East and West Indies.

As copper sheathing technology was expensive, not all ships were thus sheathed. The use of this technology and its application to coastal merchant ships is not terribly well documented. Only four of the non-military, Gulf Coast vessels researched during this study were described as being copper sheathed. Other less expensive methods of hull protection may have been employed for this class of sailing vessel. A Maine coastal vessel, for example, made in 1834, was not copper sheathed. Instead a thick layer of pitch was applied to the hull.

A superior quality copper alloy sheathing and fastener was patented by G. F. Muntz in 1832. The new alloy was ideal for use in the production of copper sheathing, as it was lighter and stronger than copper and was cheaper to produce. The content of Muntz sheathing was composed of a ratio of 60 percent / 40 percent, copper to zinc,
respectively. The introduction of this new metal into the strong copper market was slow, by 1840 only 200 vessels in London used Muntz metal sheathing. By the middle of the 19th century, the use of Muntz metal began to supercede the use of copper, expanding to foreign and American markets at this time.

The sheathing, along the waterline and bow, on early 19th-century English vessels measured 14 inches (0.356 meter) by 4 feet (1.219 meters), and weighed 32 ounces (.907 kilogram) per square foot (.093 square meter). In other areas of the hull, sheathing of the same size weighed 28 ounces (.794 kilogram) a square foot (.093 square meter). On smaller vessels the sheathing measured 20 inches (50.80 meters) by 4 feet (1.219 meters) and weighed 18 ounces (0.51 kilogram) a square foot.

The copper pieces used to sheath U.S. naval vessels were 14 inches (0.356 meter) by 4 feet (1.219 meters) with an inch of overlap. These dimensions correspond with those produced by British manufacturers.

French sheathing measured 18 inches (0.49 meter) by 5 feet (1.63 meters). Furthermore, each sheet was .075 centimeter thick and weighed 14 pounds (6.87 kilograms) a sheet.

Naval contracts negotiated between the Republic of Texas and Boston shipyards discuss, in detail, the construction of vessels used in the Texas Gulf. The Dawson’s contract detailed construction features and armament for one ship, two brigs and three schooners that were purchased for the Texas Navy in 1839. These were to be, respectively, 125 feet, 110 feet, and 66 feet between perpendiculares. All of these vessels were to be planked with white oak and copper sheathed and fastened.
Aside from the Texas naval vessels, only four other vessels are documented to have had copper sheathing and copper fasteners. These vessels were all manufactured in the early to mid 1830s and ranged from between 67 and 96 feet. All four vessels were used to transport cargoes. Two of these vessels were a Mexican brig and schooner captured by the Texas navy in the late 1830s, the *Fenix* and *Correa Segundo*. The two other vessels, the *Opposition* and *Robert Center*, both schooners, were involved in trade between the United States and Texas. All were copper sheathed and fastened.

Over 10 pieces of copper sheathing were recovered from the wreck site. All the copper sheets were fragmentary, ranging in size from 2 ½ square inches (1.00 squared centimeters) to 28 15/16 inches (74.70 centimeters) in length. The longest panel, though incomplete, measures 28 15/16 inches (74.40 centimeters) in length. This copper sheet, 14925, was still nailed to a piece of wood planking measuring approximately 4 ½ inches

Figure 38. Copper Sheathing from the Pass Cavallo Site. Artifact NP/CU-1. *Photography by A. Borgens.*
(11.50 centimeters) by 6 ¾ inches (16.20 centimeters). The thickness of the plank, 1 7/16 in (3.65 cm), is not complete due to the severe toredo damage. The surface between the plank and the copper sheathing was covered with pitch. Only one fragment, artifact NP/Cu – 1, has a complete measurable height of 13 5/16 inches (33.90 centimeters) which closely corresponds to the 14 inch height of the sheathing used on both British and American ships (fig. 38). The sheathing fastener holes were spaced between ¾ and 2 inch (1.85 to 5.10 centimeters) apart, ¼ an inch (.30 centimeters) inside the edge of the sheet.

The use of the copper sheathing on the Pass Cavallo vessel is diagnostically important as certain ship construction features suggest that the vessel’s use greatly postdates the manufacture of the arms it was carrying. This said, there is some difficulty in using the sheathing to make hypotheses regarding the ship’s years of use. The use of copper sheathing and copper alloy fasteners on a vessel generally corresponds to that vessel’s intended use. Military vessels were sheathed sometimes decades ahead of those conducting trade. The height of the sheathing suggests the vessel wrecked at Pass Cavallo was most likely of British or American manufacture (or repair).

HULL FASTENERS

The size the fasteners was variably based on the nationality and size of the
vessel. Britain, the forerunner of copper sheathing/fastener technology was the first international power to change over the fasteners used on their naval vessels on a large scale. A lasting symbol of Britain’s historic naval dominance, the *HMS Victory*, used 1 ½ inch (3.81 centimeters) long, 5/32 inch (.396 centimeters) diameter sheathing nails with a counter-sunk head.  

An American China trader, the *Rapid*, used sheathing nails 3.00 centimeter in length with a .50 centimeter diameter nail head. The nail shanks were both square and round. Copper sheets on French vessels were attached to the hull with cupreous nails measuring 3.00-3.40 centimeters in length with a .50 cm head diameter. The circular heads of the nails measured 1.40-1.80 centimeters in diameter.  

The sheathing nails from the Pass Cavallo wreck vary in length (many are fragmentary) from 13/16 to 1 ⅜ inches (2.12 –3.40 centimeters) with a ⅛ to 3/16 inch (.26-.43 centimeter) square shank cross-section at the head. The tack nail diameters range from 5/16 to 7/16 inch (.83-1.11 centimeters). In general, the shank at the neck was in good condition for the first .20 - .30 centimeters, after which there was heavy corrosion of the nail shank. The corroded area of the nail would have been in contact with the hull planks, the acidic quality of the wood thus aiding in their deterioration.

Under the copper sheathing, cupreous spikes fastened the planks to the frame. The vessels produced in Boston for the Texas Navy used a combination of treenails and copper alloy spikes. Two treenails and two composite spikes were used for each frame. The composite spikes for the schooners, the smallest of the requested vessels, were to measure five inches (12.70 centimeters) in length. The construction features of these
naval vessels, however, are probably not indicative of the ubiquitous coastal schooners that were so common in Texas in the 19th century.

The spikes on the American vessel, the Rapid were 16.00 centimeters long. Cupreous bolts measured 15.00-25.00 centimeters in length with a shank diameter that measured between 2.00-5.00 centimeters. The bolt heads and ends were hammered into shape.

The composition metal spikes recovered from the Pass Cavallo site (fig. 39) measure between 4 ⅜ to 5 9/16 inches (11.00 to 14.20 centimeters), with an approximate 5/16 inch (1.00 centimeter) square cross-section. There are eight spikes, four of which are complete. The dimensions of the square spike heads range from ½ to ¾ inches (1.00 to 2.00 centimeters).

Figure. 39. Cupreous Spikes. Photography by A. Borgens
RIGGING

Only three artifacts from the survey are of the ship’s rigging. An eyebolt and two eyehooks, one with a thimble, were found in the wreck survey (fig. 40). The eyehooks are of differing sizes and the thimble has evidence of a layered canvas covering, presumably to minimize chafing of the rope. The smaller eyehook had rope fragments concreted to the shank.

These objects were integral in the function of a vessel’s rigging. An iron thimble is fixed to rigging for the attachment of blocks and to also reeve rope through.\textsuperscript{38} The combined thimble and eyehook frequently was attached to a block.\textsuperscript{39}

Figure 40. Rigging Pieces. a) 14997, eyehook and thimble, b) 14983, eyehook, c) illustration of an eyehook (Lever, \textit{A Young Sea Officer’s Sheet Anchor}, 15, fig. 130). \textit{Photography by A. Borgens.}
Historically ships have been ballasted as long as there was a cargo to carry. Most vessels filled their holds with rocks. More efficient methods were devised for ballasting ships, though sometimes this was employed at a greater expense. Aside from rocks, ships could be weighted down with just about anything: cut stone, sand, shingles, even old dilapidated firearms,\(^4\) and broken shot.\(^1\)

The most efficient way to ballast a vessel was through the use of pig iron. Pig iron is one of three types of iron (pig, cast, and wrought) and has a high carbon content. The brittle quality of pig iron is not useful for the manufacture of tools and is generally unheard of, save for its use as ballast on ships. Pig iron, due to its regular faceted shape, could be stacked next to the keel. The efficiency in packing the ballast allowed ships to carry larger cargoes and also placed the center of gravity closer to the keel.\(^2\) This was advantageous, especially for warships that were heavily armed along the gunwale. The pig iron was placed in rows out from the keel in the sections between the ship’s frames (fig. 41).\(^3\) The pig iron was stacked close to the keelson two high, or in some cases three high. The largest quantity of iron was usually placed forward of amidship, though in smaller vessels little ballast was placed forward of the pump well.\(^4\)

Initially in the British navy, iron ballast was cast into plates no less than two inches thick. Beginning with new contracts in 1735, the pig iron was requested to be cast into iron bars that were three feet long and 6 inches square. This iron ballast was relatively heavy, weighing 320 pounds each. By 1779 a smaller size was also in use,
measuring 1 foot long, 4 inches square and weighing 56 pounds.

The use of iron ballast, either in the form of old guns, broken shot, and cast blocks, was a fairly common practice. Only a few examples are needed to demonstrate the uses of pig iron ballast. In 1720, 30 tons of ballast was requested to be transferred from the *H. M. S. Guernsey* to the *H. M. S. Lyon*. Captain Codrington observed in 1795 that his ship had carried 718 pig irons weighing 33-34 tons, instead of the 1100 pig irons his ship was supposed to have been loaded with. The wreck of the *Pomone*, a 38-gun British vessel that sank off the British coast in 1811, held at least 37 iron blocks in the hold.45

Smaller vessels carried proportionally smaller ballast and cargoes. The yacht *Fubbs* requested seven tons of iron ballast, of which the navy had only two tons in stores. The Texas naval vessel *Brutus*, a schooner of 125-130 tons, was weighted with three tons of pig ballast in 1836.46 The schooner was built in Franklin County Maine in 1834.47 The vessel measured 82 feet along the deck between the stem and sternpost, the
depth of the hold measured 8 feet from the bottom of the deck to the top of the ceiling planking and had a beam of 22 feet.\textsuperscript{48}

The pig iron ballast retrieved from the Pass Cavallo survey weighs 196 pounds (98 kilograms) and was one of three pig irons visible above the sediment (fig. 42). The rectangular pig iron ballast measures $28 \frac{3}{4} \times 5 \frac{4}{5} \times 4 \frac{4}{5}$ inches ($74 \times 14.8 \times 12.2$ centimeters). Two holes are at each end of the ballast, presumably to make it easier to move. The pig ballast from the shipwreck at Pass Cavallo does not conform to the English variations used at the end of the nineteenth century.
CONCLUSION

The ship’s artifacts provide no conclusive data that can dramatically aid the identification of the shipwreck. Only general conclusions can be drawn as to the type of vessel wrecked in the pass. The vessel was a small, wood-hulled, copper sheathed sailing vessel, more than likely a small schooner or sloop as these were commonly used to navigate the shallow Texas bay systems. Judging by the surveyed debris field, of no greater than 52 feet (16 meters), the vessel does not appear to have been exceptionally large. The debris field, however, is not the best indication of size. Historically, ships that wrecked in the pass were very efficiently and expeditiously salvaged. The use of pig iron ballast and composite metal hull spikes allude to a higher degree of technical savvy in the construction and use of the vessel. The slow diffusion of copper sheathing use, both in the United States and in the merchant fleet, suggests the vessel dates closer to the 1820s or thereafter.
NOTES
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27 Kauffman, *American Copper and Brass*, 117.
30 The terms nails and tacks have both been used to describe the fasteners used with copper sheathing.
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35 Ibid.
36 Ibid., 42-43.
37 Ibid., 46.
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Numerous artifacts from the collection were too isolated in number to attribute to a distinct type or category. Several artifacts were unable to be identified, including cupreous rods, tool handle, and joined iron pieces.

WROUGHT IRON STOCK

In the late 17th and 18th century, iron was an incredibly valuable resource, especially to the soldiers occupying the Spanish presidios. During the Spanish period, wrought iron was requested with great frequency. The importance of iron at this time was due to its variety of applications and uses. Iron was used to repair damaged arms and artillery, was also molded into cannon balls, used for mounting artillery, made into gun barrels, and fashioned into spurs and bits. The wrought iron stock often came in two forms, as long rectangular 2 inch 'rods', and in sheets.

Governor Martínez was constantly requesting and receiving iron stores. Iron bar stock, arms, and munitions were transported from a Mexican supply depot at the Rio Grande in September of 1817. In June of 1819, 400 arrobas of iron were ordered by Martínez but not delivered due financial constraints. In September of 1819 an
emergency order was sent requesting steel and iron, as there was none available for the repair of a large quantity of unserviceable arms. On February 19, 1820 Martínez reported to Arredondo that there was not a single pound of iron in the artillery arsenal, warehouse or shops. Such was the dire necessity for iron stock in the province of Texas that in 1817 there was a dispute over the salvage of iron fittings from ships which had been destroyed in Matagorda Bay. The colonists at Matagorda city and the presidio soldiers both felt entitled to the iron that could be recovered from the shipwrecks.

Figure 43. Iron Bar Stock. Two of the smaller stock fragments, artifacts 14991 and 14985. Photography by A. Borgens.

Four examples of wrought iron bar stock were recovered from the wreck (two are shown in fig. 43). The most complete examples of iron bar stock weighs between 6 and 10 pounds (14990 and 14987) and measures from 15 1/5 inches (39.40 centimeters) to 85 ¾ in (216.50 cm) in length. The iron stock fragments were all around 1 ¾-2 inches
(4.27-5.04 centimeters) wide with a thickness of 2/5 inches (1.00-1.05 centimeters). As evidenced by a map made of the site (fig. 3), many more remain on the ocean floor. Over 40 pieces of bar stock from the wreck were visible above the sediment. Collectively the iron stock viewed and recovered during the survey weighs at least 400 pounds.

WROUGHT IRON FASTENERS

Several fasteners could not be attributed to the use in the vessel’s construction. There were three wrought iron nails, and a large wrought iron fastener (fig. 44). The

Figure 44. Ferrous Nails, Artifact 14983-1. Photography by A. Borgens.
nails had rectangular shank cross-sections of 3/16 inch (.40-.50 centimeters) and measured between 2 ⅝ inches (6.60 centimeters) and 3 1/16 inches (.75 centimeters) long.

The nail heads were also rectangular in cross-section, measuring approximately ¼ of an inch (.60 centimeters). According to a typology provided by Noel Hume, the iron cut nails date to after 1820. The large iron fastener (fig. 45) appears to be incomplete and measures 17 ½ inches (44.30 centimeters) in length, with a square cross-section of ¾ inch (1.92 centimeters).

![Large Iron Fastener](image)

**Figure 45.** Large Iron Fastener. Artifact 14993 following initial mechanical cleaning. *Photography by A. Borgens.*

**CUPREOUS RODS**

Three cupreous rods, 14921 and 14922 (fig. 46), were recovered from the survey. These rods are 5 ½ to 9 ¾ inches (14.04-23.30 centimeters) in length and have a polished surface. The diameter of the shank is ½ an inch (1.24-1/20 centimeters). It is
unknown as to whether these were some sort of metal stock or possible bolt stock. Cupreous bolts were used to fasten larger elements of a vessel's construction, below the waterline, in areas that would come in contact with iron sheathing. Cupreous bolts on the American merchant vessel, *Rapid*, measured 15-25 centimeters in length with a shank diameter that measured between 2.00-5.00 centimeters. The head and end of the bolts were hammered into shape.¹¹

![Figure 46. Cupreous Rods. a) 14921 and b) 14922. *Photography by A. Borgens*](image)

**OTHER MISCELLENÆOUS**

Several artifacts were singular in their appearance on the site. These artifacts could not be grouped by a type or category.

One of the more unique artifacts from the assemblage is a carved bone tool handle, artifact 14927 (fig. 47a and b). Part of the ferrous tool blade extends ½ an inch (1.20 centimeters) from the handle; however it is incomplete and unable to be identified
as to its function. The handle was constructed in three parts; with the iron blade situated between two carved bone faces, all fastened through and through. The handle halves measure $3 \frac{3}{8}$ by $\frac{7}{8}$ inches (8.50 by 2.25 centimeters, width taken at base) each. The tool blade has a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ of an inch (.25 centimeter). The concretion around the tool blade was filled with an epoxy resin to replica the remaining blade. The epoxy blade has a thickness at the spine of $1/16$ of an inch (.23 centimeter) and tapers to a point. The type of handle is commonly found on table knives.
A small brass hook fastener, artifact 14993-4 (fig. 48), measures ⅜ inch (1.03 centimeter) by ⅜ inch (.90 centimeter). These were often used as clothing fasteners and are common on terrestrial sites. Two hook fasteners were found at the Mexican fortifications at the Alamo, La Villita, and were identified as clothing fasteners from Mexican military tunics. Among other things, these were used to close the collars.

Two pieces of iron, affixed perpendicularly and riveted together (fig. 49) were located on the site amongst a trigger guard and lead shot. Each flat iron pieces measures roughly 24 3/4 inches (23 centimeters) by 1 ¼ inches (3.1 centimeters) by ¾ inch (1.9 centimeters). They are affixed together at the center, forming a cross shape. At the each end of both flat pieces is a hole of ¼ to ⅜ inch (.60 to 1.00 centimeters) in diameter, and located ½ to 11/16 inch (1.20 to 1.80 centimeters) distance from the end. It is possible that this object could have been used as either a brace of some sort or possibly a hatch or window cover.
Affixed to a conglomeration of weapons was an unusual rope object (fig. 50). Two musket fragments, situated side by side were overlapped diagonally a third musket fragment. In the crux between the diagonally placed musket barrel and the neighboring musket fragment was a piece of a saber blade. Situated beneath this object, but atop the musket fragment was a series of rope fragments of varying thicknesses. Three rope fragments were placed side by side and all measure 1 inch (2.52 centimeter) in diameter.

Smaller rattan-like pieces of ¼ inch (.60 centimeter) diameter were underneath the rope, but also extending out from under the rope and to the side. Two of these smaller pieces had coils of iron wrapped around the diameter of the object for a distance of 11/16 inch (1.80 centimeters). The function of the iron wrapped pieces could not be determined.
Figure 50. Rope Anomaly. Sketch of the artifact in-situ. *Illustration by A. Borgens.*
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CHAPTER IX
CONCLUSION

The southeastern edge of Matagorda Island has eroded away substantially. The placement of the various wrecks historically appears to have been off of the historic southeastern tip of Matagorda Island, in Pass Cavallo. Three vessels are documented as having wrecked specifically on the sandbar: the 1817 pirate vessel, the *Hannah Elizabeth*, and the *Pelicano*. The location of the *General Bustamente* is not specifically known but it may have also wrecked on the bar. According to contemporary sources, the *San Felipe* wrecked six to eight miles east of the pass. If these reports were indeed accurate, this would place the *San Felipe* well outside of the range of the wreck site.

The archaeological evidence suggests the arms on the Pass Cavallo wreck were cargo items, packed in boxes padded with dunnage and not entirely items of shipboard use. Of the five vessels that wrecked in the vicinity of the pass, only three are definitively know to have been carrying military supplies and/or armament as cargo: the *Hannah Elizabeth*, the 1817 pirate ship, and the *General Bustamente*. As the musket cargo onboard the *Hannah Elizabeth* was thrown overboard and possibly salvaged, the association of the cargo with the vessel is speculative. Interviews with the wreck survivors suggest the cargo was jettisoned after the vessel became beached and as it was being approached by the Mexican naval vessel. There is the possibility that when the vessel overturned, it sank on top of, or in the proximity, of the arms cargo.
In an overview of firearm importation patterns (see Chapter II), the types of arms recovered from the wreck site would have been circulating in the region of the Gulf of Mexico after 1823. This would make the General Bustamente and the Hannah Elizabeth the best candidates for the wreck, as the wrecks well postdate the importation of these arms, in mass, by the Mexican government in the mid 1820s.

The archaeological site itself measured approximately 52 feet x 26 feet (16 x 8 meters) and implies a vessel of a smaller scale. This site is unexcavated and there is an indication that the ship timbers themselves are no longer extant. Without further examination of the site, it is impossible to determine the scale of the wrecked vessel. If the site size itself is indicative of the true vessel size, again the General Bustamente and the Hannah Elizabeth would be viable candidates. The General Bustamente was a sloop, the smallest of the gulf coastal sailing vessels and the schooner Hannah Elizabeth was 67 feet long, roughly the length of the wreck site debris field.

Though the nature of the cargo indicates a possible Mexican origin for the vessel, comparisons with Mexican military terrestrial sites suggest that the cargo was more than likely to have originated in the United States and may have been intended for the Texan army. The cargo of the Hannah Elizabeth best describes the artifact assemblage recovered in the survey. According to a contemporaneous source, two boxes onboard the Hannah Elizabeth contained a mixed assortment of arms. The wreck site at Pass Cavallo contained a variety of arms types: three patterns of military arms, evidence of two pistols, furniture from both muskets and carbines, and trigger guards from four unidentified arms types. The military pattern arms are known to have been available in
New Orleans, the vessel’s point of origin. The Model 1757 Spanish musket was used by Spanish expeditionary troops stationed in Louisiana. The India Pattern musket, a remnant from the defeat of the British Infantry at New Orleans, may have been the TOWER arms offered for sale to Texas, by New Orlean’s merchants. These weapons may have formed part of the cargo of the *Hannah Elizabeth*.

These factors suggest that the *Hannah Elizabeth*, of the known wrecks, is the mostly likely candidate as the shipwreck surveyed at Pass Cavallo. The cargo, the size, and date of wreckage conform to the information that has been thus learned from the conserved artifacts and historical research.
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APPENDIX I

ARMS MARKS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>lock marks</th>
<th>furniture marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14902</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>TOWER</td>
<td>X (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14903</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>VI (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14946</td>
<td>pistol</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>tulip, Sharpe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14947</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>Spanish 1757</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14982</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>crown, GR, TOWER</td>
<td>stylized crown over 3, D (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14986</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>Spanish 1757</td>
<td>YBASETA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>crown, GR, TOWER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14989</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>crown, GR</td>
<td>B, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>TOWER, crown, GR, broad arrow</td>
<td>XI (interior), C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14996</td>
<td>musket</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>NO:179: (exterior, bow of trigger guard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14947</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988-2</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>II (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988-3</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>Spanish 1757</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14992-2</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>XI (ramrod channel), XIII (forepipe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14992-3</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14992-4</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BB (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14992-5</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14992-6</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14996-2</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14996-3</td>
<td>barrel</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14914</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14915</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>D over 41 (exterior tang)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14916</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>GBIM5CN40 (exterior tang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14917</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ribbon, bugle over 52 (exterior tang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14918</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14919</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>III (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14920</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>XIII (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14929</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14930</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>B125 (exterior tang), X (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14934</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14988-4</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>XLI (exterior tang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14998</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14999</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>buttplate</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14907</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14913</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>Spanish 1757</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14926</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14938</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14939</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>Spanish 1757</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14941</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14942</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14943</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14944</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IIII, C, 3 (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14981</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IC (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14984</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14986-2</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>Short Land</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14989-2</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>VII (interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Part Type</td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14994</td>
<td>trigger guard</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-2</td>
<td>trigger guard</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R?(interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-5</td>
<td>trigger guard</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14995-7</td>
<td>trigger guard</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14935</td>
<td>forepipe</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Italicized letters are not visible
APPENDIX II

GLOSSARY*

barque (bark): a sailing vessel with its two forward masts square-rigged and its rear mast
rigged fore-and-aft.

braze: to solder with a high-melting metal, especially brass.

brig: a two-masted ship with square sails.

brown bess: the nickname for a British military musket produced in the 18th and early
19th centuries.

carabina: Spanish term for carbine.

carbine: a firearm with a shorter barrel, often used for cavalry.

corvette: a sailing warship smaller than a frigate, with one tier of guns.

escopeta: Spanish term for a firearm.

espada ancha: a Spanish short sword.

filibuster: an adventurer who engages in unauthorized warfare against another country.

flintcap: lead piece used to hold the flint in place in a flintlock arm.

frame: in shipbuilding, signifies a number of pieces of timber bolted together, in order
to form the bottom and sides of a ship.

frigate: a fast, medium-sized sailing warship of the 18th and early 19th centuries.

furniture: the necessary equipment of a ship, trade, etc.

fusil: term for a flintlock arm after it was reintroduced into France following the Thirty
year’s war. Fusil is derived from the Italian word for flint, a focile.

galleon: a large, heavy Spanish warship and trader of the 15th and 16th centuries, with
three or four decks at the stern.

gunboat: a small armed vessel of shallow draft, used to patrol rivers, etc.
gunrunner: vessel involved in the smuggling of guns and ammunition.

gunwale: a plank or wale which runs round the vessel’s upper works. In merchant ships it is called the covering board, as it lies on the ends of the top-timbers and stanchions which support the rail which passes through it. The gunwale is also called the Plank Sheer. The upper edge of the side of a ship or boat.

Indiaman: a merchant ship traveling regularly between England and India.

keel: the principal piece of timber of a ship. It extends from the stem to the stern-post and in a small vessel it may consist of one piece throughout. For those of larger size, the keel is formed of two or three pieces, which are scarfed together, and laid on blocks. The other timbers which compose the ship are erected on it.

launch: the largest boat carried by a warship.

lighter: a large open barge used chiefly in loading and unloading larger ships lying offshore.

*miquelet* lock: a Spanish lock similar in its firing mechanism to the flintlock.

*munición:* Spanish term for ammunition.

musket: a smooth-bore, long-barreled firearm used, as by infantry soldiers, before the invention of the rifle.

packetboat: a boat that travels a regular route carrying passengers, freight, and mail.

*patilla:* Spanish term for a gun’s lock.

pitch: tar boiled to a harder and more tenacious consistency.

*piroque:* a canoe made by hollowing out a log.

presidio: a military post, fort, garrison.
rifle: a firearm having spiral grooves in the barrel to spin the bullet and so give it greater accuracy and distance.
schooner: a vessel with two or more masts, rigged fore and aft.
sheathing: thin boards or sheets of copper nailed on the bottom of a vessel, to protect it from worms.
ship: a sailing vessel with a bowsprit and at least three square-rigged masts.
sloop: a small boat with a single mast and jib.
stand: a musket and its a bayonet.
treenails: cylindrical oak pins driven through the plank and timbers to fasten them together.
wale: heavy plank fastened to the outside of the hull of a wooden ship.
APPENDIX III

VESSELS AT THE PORT OF GALVESTON, 1815-1845
The data provided in this appendix is a spreadsheet presentation of the United States consular records for the port of Galveston 1815 - 1845. More specific information for these vessels was derived through cross referencing vessels in the New Orleans Ship Enrollment Registers. The total number of vessels derived from these sources for the years between 1815 and 1845 is 364.

The data presented in the appendix includes vessel dimensions, ports of origin, shipbuilding locations, and dates of manufacture. The tonnage for a vessel enrolled in the United States between 1789 and 1864 was calculated using the formula \((L-3/5B) \times B \times D/95\). When the vessel was afloat the formula \(L-3/5B\) was used. The former formula used the actual keel length of a vessel, which was difficult to ascertain once the vessel was afloat. Length (B) was measured from the fore part of the stem to the afterside of the stern, above the deck. Breadth was measured at the broadest area above the wales to the outside of the planking. The depth of the vessel (D), for single decked vessels, was taken from the underside of the deck plank to the top of the ceiling planks.\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ship Name</th>
<th>Nat.</th>
<th>Ship Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Shipbuilding Date/Location</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abe</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1839, Pittsburg, PA</td>
<td>GCR 6-12/1840, WPA 3:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Gallatin A. Galatin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>127'</td>
<td>18'</td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6-12/1840, WPA 3:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex(ander) Washington</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>79'5&quot;</td>
<td>233&quot;</td>
<td>64&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Accomac, VA</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>68'</td>
<td>20'8 7/16&quot;</td>
<td>5'8 7/16&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Chinds Landg., NJ</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alligator</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allure</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonzo</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalia Guisepina</td>
<td>AUS</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56'6&quot;</td>
<td>173&quot;</td>
<td>611&quot;</td>
<td>1833, Vinal Haven, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 4:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America Free</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 9/8/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Trader</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angeline</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angeline</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apphia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65'5&quot;</td>
<td>20'6&quot;</td>
<td>78&quot;</td>
<td>1833, Stonington, CN</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augustus Lord</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>64' 2 7/16&quot;</td>
<td>19' 3&quot;</td>
<td>76&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Kittory, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>125'</td>
<td>31'</td>
<td>12'6&quot;</td>
<td>~1839, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>NR 15, GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austira?</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balize</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baranger*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bella del Mar*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>73'</td>
<td>23'6&quot;</td>
<td>8'8&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Brook Haven, NY</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belle of Attakapas</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellona</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63'4&quot;</td>
<td>18'4&quot;</td>
<td>7'</td>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817, WPA/1 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Packet*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>73'6&quot;</td>
<td>22'2&quot;</td>
<td>6'4&quot;</td>
<td>1823, Killingworth, CN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839 WPA 3:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bostonian</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>barque*</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>101'2&quot;</td>
<td>24'2 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>12'1 1/4&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Thomastown, ME</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxer*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brace</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>73'7&quot;</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>7'1 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1841, Kingston, RI</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:36-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeze</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>*19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruze?</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibra</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmelita</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cato</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caty and Sally</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceyton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceyton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chadahocha</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1843-1/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62'2&quot;</td>
<td>17'4.5&quot;</td>
<td>8'1 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Duxbury, MA</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62'6&quot;</td>
<td>19'6&quot;</td>
<td>5'5&quot;</td>
<td>1832, Escambia, FL</td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844, WPA 4:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1839, Brownsville, PA</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohanout, Cohannis</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. Hanson</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841, GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. Woods</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>146’</td>
<td>19’6”</td>
<td>5’</td>
<td>1839, Newbury, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel Wigginson</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23’2”</td>
<td>9’2”</td>
<td>3’</td>
<td>1838, Johnstown, PA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>61’6”</td>
<td>18’3”</td>
<td>8’1”</td>
<td>1833, Gloucester, MA</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>64’1”</td>
<td>19’2”</td>
<td>5’10”</td>
<td>1835, Newbury, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842 WPA 4:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>164’6”</td>
<td>22’6”</td>
<td>11’10”</td>
<td>1835, New York, NY</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>73’6”</td>
<td>22’8”</td>
<td>8’4”</td>
<td>1833, Jones Creek, DE</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30’7”</td>
<td>9’10”</td>
<td>3’11”</td>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commanche</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordelia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>772”</td>
<td>22’8”</td>
<td>59”</td>
<td>1831, New York, NY</td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838, WPA 3:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crusoe</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>130?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>177’6”</td>
<td>25’4”</td>
<td>13’</td>
<td>1837, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839 WPA 3:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steampacket</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>136’5”</td>
<td>17’</td>
<td>5’3”</td>
<td>1835, Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba or Carla</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curlew</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>79’11”</td>
<td>20’11”</td>
<td>8’3”</td>
<td>1837, Belfast, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840 WPA 3:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutter</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1843-1/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybelle</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damon</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>83’7”</td>
<td>24’</td>
<td>10’4”</td>
<td>1825, Chatham, CN</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839, WPA 3:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davy Crockett</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53’9”</td>
<td>17’1”</td>
<td>4’1”</td>
<td>1834, Express Island</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840, WPA 3:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>125’4”</td>
<td>17’9”</td>
<td>3’2”</td>
<td>1835, Pittsburgh, PA</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66’11”</td>
<td>21’3”</td>
<td>59”</td>
<td>1833, New Haven, CN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devorador</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diana</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55’4”</td>
<td>17’2”</td>
<td>7’7”</td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817, WPA 3:133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>68’9”</td>
<td>22’6”</td>
<td>6’6”</td>
<td>1837, Stoningham, CN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1838, WPA 3:62-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draco</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dream</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48’</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>5’6”</td>
<td>1838, New Albany, IN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edie Prebble</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Kent (Edie Kent)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza &amp; Betsy</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55’6”</td>
<td>17’9”</td>
<td>5’4”</td>
<td>1820, Dennis, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Messerole</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Nichols (Eliza Nicoll)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62’8”</td>
<td>20’10 1/2”</td>
<td>6’9 1/2”</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsa</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>349</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839, 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elvira</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1836, Saybrook, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emblem</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71’8”</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>6’</td>
<td>1836, New London, CN</td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838, WPA 3:70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerline</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empresario</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>92’8”</td>
<td>17’11”</td>
<td>6’</td>
<td>1838, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endeavor</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32’9”</td>
<td>11’</td>
<td>4’6”</td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841, WPA 4:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>66’6”</td>
<td>20’9”</td>
<td>8’1/2”</td>
<td>1821, Carteret Cty., NC</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esperanza</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esperanza</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>90’</td>
<td>25’</td>
<td>12’6”</td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/18/1817, WPA/1 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugenia</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening Port</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/12/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>67’10”</td>
<td>23’</td>
<td>6’7”</td>
<td>1832, Lodi, NY</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45'10&quot;</td>
<td>17'1&quot;</td>
<td>5'7&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Algiers</td>
<td>GCR 6/1841, WPA 4:96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer Return</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60'7&quot;</td>
<td>19'7&quot;</td>
<td>5'7&quot;</td>
<td>1839, South Kingston, RI</td>
<td>GCR 12/1841, WPA 4:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flirt</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floridan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79'5&quot;</td>
<td>25'2&quot;</td>
<td>6'1&quot;</td>
<td>1841, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>77'</td>
<td>22'6&quot;</td>
<td>6'6&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Westerly, RI</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Amoy*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Ashby</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>80'</td>
<td>22'</td>
<td>8'1&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Stoningham, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1841, WPA 3:83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fur Trader</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47'</td>
<td>12'2&quot;</td>
<td>3'1&quot;</td>
<td>1843, Cincinatti, OH</td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. W. A. Tate</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45'10&quot;</td>
<td>14'6&quot;</td>
<td>3'10&quot;</td>
<td>1843, Algiers</td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, GCR -6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garonne</td>
<td></td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Arismanden</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentile</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>70'3&quot;</td>
<td>21'7&quot;</td>
<td>8'5 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1833, Tauton, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Klotts</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgeanna</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glide</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Wright</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grecian</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>120'6&quot;</td>
<td>18'4&quot;</td>
<td>4'3&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Cincinatti, OH</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839, WPA 3:92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grotton</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerrero</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62'6&quot;</td>
<td>18'3 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>6'3&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Mathews Cty., VA</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriet Louisa</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>65'</td>
<td>24'1&quot;</td>
<td>7'5&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Perth Amboy, NJ</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839 WPA 3:94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriet Porter</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey * Bru*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>63'7&quot;</td>
<td>20'9&quot;</td>
<td>6'4&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Glastonbury</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839, WPA 3:97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry A. Br*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Clay</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>24'4&quot;</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>8'1&quot;</td>
<td>1831, Chatum, MA</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Clay</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermosa</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidalgo</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoagly</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Howes*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>74'4&quot;</td>
<td>20'3&quot;</td>
<td>7'6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Dennis, MA</td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844, WPA 4:130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49'6&quot;</td>
<td>15'4&quot;</td>
<td>4'9 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1832, Mathews Cty. VA</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrepd</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabella</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Madison</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James W. Caldwell</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61'</td>
<td>21'2&quot;</td>
<td>4'1&quot;</td>
<td>1831, Raccoon Creek, NJ</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839 WPA 3:110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>66'</td>
<td>20'7&quot;</td>
<td>7'10&quot;</td>
<td>1834, Steuben, ME</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John B. Woolfred</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67'3&quot;</td>
<td>20'6&quot;</td>
<td>6'9&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Dorchester., MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Bartlet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Bell</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hancock</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McClu*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John S. McKim</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>175'</td>
<td>23'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>1844, Alloway, NJ</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josefinia*</td>
<td>VEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph H. Marsh</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>86'</td>
<td>25'1&quot;</td>
<td>8'6&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Perth Amboy, NJ</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 4:149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juana</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>159'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>78&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Newport, RI</td>
<td>GCR 12/1841, WPA 4:153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kite</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosciusko</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>477&quot;</td>
<td>154&quot;</td>
<td>4'11&quot;</td>
<td>1835, New Haven, CN</td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838, WPA 3:116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koscusko, Koscusko</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lady of the Lake</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>4'11&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Dorchester, MD</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50'6&quot;</td>
<td>16'5&quot;</td>
<td>5'11&quot;</td>
<td>1824, Troy, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancet</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Virginia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>76'6&quot;</td>
<td>21'4&quot;</td>
<td>7'7&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839, WPA 4:156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenora</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36'</td>
<td>14'5&quot;</td>
<td>4'6&quot;</td>
<td>1840, Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>96'</td>
<td>21'</td>
<td>4'6&quot;</td>
<td>1842, Lousiana, MO</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levant</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>barque</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leviathan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewillan (Lewellyn)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57'6&quot;</td>
<td>20'3&quot;</td>
<td>5'6&quot;</td>
<td>1841, East Lyme, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1843/1844, WPA 4:160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lion</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Star</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60'2&quot;</td>
<td>20'2&quot;</td>
<td>4'9&quot;</td>
<td>1844, Middleton, CN</td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844, WPA 4:164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lore</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luda</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51'9&quot;</td>
<td>17'3&quot;</td>
<td>5'6&quot;</td>
<td>1842, Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>GCR 1843/1844, WPA 4:169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marida or Masida</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark, Mar</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>70*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ann</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>78'</td>
<td>23'7&quot;</td>
<td>11'6&quot;</td>
<td>1827, Middleton, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Claire</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61'9&quot;</td>
<td>20'8&quot;</td>
<td>4'8&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843, WPA 4:183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Eliza</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Elizabeth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>87'4&quot;</td>
<td>23'5 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>9'4&quot;</td>
<td>1833, Eastport, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Elizabeth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67&quot;</td>
<td>20'6&quot;</td>
<td>6'10&quot;</td>
<td>1839, Somerset Cty., MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843, WPA 4:183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Washington</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>83'4&quot;</td>
<td>22&quot;</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>1834, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 4:186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>67'</td>
<td>21'9&quot;</td>
<td>10'2 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1808, Dartmouth, MA</td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817, WPA 1/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>137'</td>
<td>18'</td>
<td>4'3&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Pittsburgh, PA</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840, WPA 4:184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masmona</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May (Way)*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>74'2&quot;</td>
<td>21'8&quot;</td>
<td>71&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Dorchester, MD</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteor</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Congresso</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/28/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minambia*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerva or Minera*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, GCR 6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mit*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>156'6&quot;</td>
<td>18'6&quot;</td>
<td>8'4&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning Star</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosquito</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65'</td>
<td>18'2&quot;</td>
<td>6'4&quot;</td>
<td>1815, St. Mary Cty., MD</td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817, WPA1:95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musanetive*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naiad</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namakanta, Nahncakanta</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nantucket</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>65'10&quot;</td>
<td>20'8&quot;</td>
<td>6'5&quot;</td>
<td>1832, Vassalboro, ME</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilus</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54'2&quot;</td>
<td>16'3&quot;</td>
<td>7'10 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1825, Essex, MA</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navace*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamship</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>160'6&quot;</td>
<td>22'6&quot;</td>
<td>10'6&quot;</td>
<td>1837, New York, NY</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Castle</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>126'</td>
<td>24'2&quot;</td>
<td>8'9&quot;</td>
<td>1826, Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70'1&quot;</td>
<td>19'1/2&quot;</td>
<td>7'10&quot;</td>
<td>1831, Freeport, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamship</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>160'6&quot;</td>
<td>22'6&quot;</td>
<td>10'6&quot;</td>
<td>1837, New York, NY</td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845, WPA 4:207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninitta</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomade</td>
<td>FRN</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Bound</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurad or Narad</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Branch</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olynthus</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>62'6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>21'7&quot;</td>
<td>6'10&quot;</td>
<td>1826, Chatham, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839, WPA 3:162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orator</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>65'6&quot;</td>
<td>23'</td>
<td>71&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Brook Haven, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orazaba</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>68'5&quot;</td>
<td>225&quot;</td>
<td>73&quot;</td>
<td>1832, Glastonbury, CN</td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844, WPA 4:216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriole</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ossage</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>70'9&quot;</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>7'10&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Swansea, MA</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagent</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallac*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthor</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patriot</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelican</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistul?</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plume</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poinsett</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priam</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pucelle</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rawland &amp; John</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaper</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>76'9 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>21'8 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>9&quot;</td>
<td>1820, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-7/1844, WPA 4:241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regency</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinze</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relanifrago</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>privateer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11/15/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieve</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rival</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Mills</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert &amp; James</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Center</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>74'</td>
<td>24'7&quot;</td>
<td>4'10&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Saybrook, CN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1840, WPA 3:182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Mills</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolla</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60'3&quot;</td>
<td>176&quot;</td>
<td>72&quot;</td>
<td>1827, Salisbury, MA</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romp</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romp</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufus Putnum</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>127'</td>
<td>16'</td>
<td>4'11&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Mardetta, OH</td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838, WPA 3:187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Houston</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>75'8&quot;</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>7&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Eden, ME</td>
<td>GCR 1843/1844, WPA 3:191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Ingham</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, GCR 1-6/1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Anna</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapphia*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>119*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Foyle</td>
<td>TX?</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scioto Belle</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>118'6&quot;</td>
<td>18'</td>
<td>4'8&quot;</td>
<td>1842, Parkersburg, VA</td>
<td>WPA 4:259, GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorpion</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Serpent</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>71'</td>
<td>21'</td>
<td>7'6&quot;</td>
<td>1838, Dorchester, MD</td>
<td>WPA 4:262, GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakespear</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanondouh (Shenandoah)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77&quot;</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>5'6&quot;</td>
<td>1830, Dorchester, MD</td>
<td>WPA 3:197, GCR 12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situate or Scituate</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skylark</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Patrick</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Republic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangin or Tonquin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33'6&quot;</td>
<td>10'7&quot;</td>
<td>3'1&quot;</td>
<td>1839, New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>WPA 3:208, GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Brothers</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>barque?</td>
<td>273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinsan*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Bowline*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/18/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomochicke (Tomochichi)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>150'</td>
<td>28'1&quot;</td>
<td>8'5&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Charleston, SC</td>
<td>WPA 3:210, GCR 1-6/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Sisters</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncas</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Buren</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertice</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesta</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>123'</td>
<td>16'</td>
<td>4'6&quot;</td>
<td>1837, Brownsville, PA</td>
<td>WPA 4:290, GCR 12/1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victory</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 8/30/1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincennes</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viper</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship name</td>
<td>nat.</td>
<td>ship type</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>beam</td>
<td>depth</td>
<td>shipbuilding date/location</td>
<td>reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtue</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 12/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. A. Tallman</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Watson</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrick*</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 11-12/1838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warsaw</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37'9&quot;</td>
<td>13'5&quot;</td>
<td>4'2&quot;</td>
<td>1838, Philadelphia, NY</td>
<td>GCR 6/1841, WPA 4:294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Witch</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70'3&quot;</td>
<td>20'4&quot;</td>
<td>6'5&quot;</td>
<td>1829, Rochester, NY</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840, WPA 3:223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilder</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 6/1843-1/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Bryan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>79'</td>
<td>22'8&quot;</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>1836, Portland, CN</td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844, WPA 4:299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William E. Jester</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>74'6&quot;</td>
<td>22'9&quot;</td>
<td>79&quot;</td>
<td>1835, Milford, DE</td>
<td>GCR 7/1840, WPA 3:227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Fury</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>barque</td>
<td>264*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 10-12/1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Henry</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>76'4&quot;</td>
<td>20'7 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>9'5&quot;</td>
<td>1836, Nobleboro, ME</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William J. Watson</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Penn</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66'</td>
<td>21'</td>
<td>6'6&quot;</td>
<td>1834, Dorchester, MD</td>
<td>GCR 1-6/1842, WPA 4:301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yew Tree</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50'6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>13'11&quot;</td>
<td>4'10 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1839, New Albany, IN</td>
<td>GCR 12/1839, WPA 3:230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucatan</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCR 5/15/1845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX IV

WRECKED VESSELS IN TEXAS, 1815-1845
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ship name</th>
<th>nat.</th>
<th>ship type</th>
<th>tons</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>beam</th>
<th>depth</th>
<th>built</th>
<th>date and location of wreck</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td></td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1850, near Evadale, Nueces R.</td>
<td>BLK 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer (Galveston, Brazos)</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>110'</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1839-40 Galveston</td>
<td>APP 206, NR, JMD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariel</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>steamer</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65'5&quot;</td>
<td>20'6&quot;</td>
<td>7'8&quot;</td>
<td>1823 1830, San Jacinto River</td>
<td>H 12, Kel 107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65'5&quot;</td>
<td>20'6&quot;</td>
<td>7'8&quot;</td>
<td>1833 1842, Galveston</td>
<td>GCR 7-12/1841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazoria</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>82'</td>
<td>22'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>1834 1837, Galveston harbor</td>
<td>WPA 3:30, LP I:260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardena</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67'10</td>
<td>20'10&quot;</td>
<td>6'1 3/4</td>
<td>1829 1835, Pass Cavallo</td>
<td>LP I:275, WPA 3:92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceres</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87'</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>1826 1837, Galveston</td>
<td>DC 488, WPA 3:71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74'</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>1826 1837, Galveston</td>
<td>J 4:72, D 1:95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeline</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67'10</td>
<td>20'10&quot;</td>
<td>6'1 3/4</td>
<td>1829 1835, Pass Cavallo</td>
<td>LP I:275, WPA 3:92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74'</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>1826 1837, Galveston</td>
<td>J 4:72, D 1:95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Bustamente</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td>sloop</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74'</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>1826 1837, Galveston</td>
<td>J 4:72, D 1:95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Elizabeth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67'10</td>
<td>20'10&quot;</td>
<td>6'1 3/4</td>
<td>1829 1835, Pass Cavallo</td>
<td>LP I:275, WPA 3:92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invincible</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1839 1838, Matagorda</td>
<td>WPA 3:48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lively</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74'</td>
<td>22'7&quot;</td>
<td>1826 1837, Galveston</td>
<td>DC 488, WPA 3:71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1834 1844, Mobile to Sabine River</td>
<td>GCR 12:1839, GCR 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Elizabeth</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1834 1844, Mobile to Sabine River</td>
<td>GCR 12:1839, GCR 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteor</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1840, Galveston Island</td>
<td>GCR 7/30/1840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1835, Brazos Bar</td>
<td>J 3:89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montezuma (Brazo)</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>272&quot;</td>
<td>69&quot;</td>
<td>1834 1836, mouth of Rio Grande R.</td>
<td>WPA 3:160, Gar I:143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>108'6&quot;</td>
<td>272&quot; 69&quot;</td>
<td>1834 1836, mouth of Brazoria R.</td>
<td>WPA 3:160, Gar I:143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposition</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1839, en route to New Orleans</td>
<td>Lin 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1839, en route to New Orleans</td>
<td>Lin 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelicano de Campeche</td>
<td>MEX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1836, Pass Cavallo</td>
<td>C 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>272&quot;</td>
<td>69&quot;</td>
<td>1834 1842, en route to Matagorda</td>
<td>BLK 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufus Putnam</td>
<td>steamer</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>272&quot;</td>
<td>69&quot;</td>
<td>1834, en route to Matagorda</td>
<td>BLK 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66'6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>19' 5'5 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1830 1834, Galveston</td>
<td>C 14, WPA 3:188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio (Asp)</td>
<td>TEX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>66'</td>
<td>21 1/2 8'</td>
<td>1838 1842, Matagorda to Yucatan</td>
<td>APP 211, JMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Croix</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>66'</td>
<td>21 1/2 8'</td>
<td>1838 1842, Matagorda to Yucatan</td>
<td>APP 211, JMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ship name</th>
<th>nat.</th>
<th>ship type</th>
<th>tons</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>beam</th>
<th>depth</th>
<th>built</th>
<th>date and location of wreck</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Felipe</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>Pass Cavallo</td>
<td>J 2:348, DC 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>SPN</td>
<td>galleon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1815</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>AR 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaulepas (Tamaulipas)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>78'</td>
<td>23'</td>
<td>6'5&quot;</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>Velasco/Brazoria Bar</td>
<td>J 4:285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomas Toby (De Kalb)</td>
<td>TEX</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>80'</td>
<td>21'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>Galveston Harbor</td>
<td>WR, BU 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>mouth of Brazos</td>
<td>Bex 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>brig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>GCR 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>Aransas Pass</td>
<td>AP 3:264-5, GC 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Matagorda Bay</td>
<td>TY 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>Galveston Bar</td>
<td>GCR 1/3/1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton (Colorado)</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>brig</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>110'</td>
<td>28'</td>
<td>11'</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>NR 20, APP 206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Cat</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>schooner</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60'10&quot;</td>
<td>19'</td>
<td>5'6&quot;</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>Aransas Pass</td>
<td>LIN 30-31, WPA 3:225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td>steamboat</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sabine R.</td>
<td>BLK 39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX V

LIST OF ITEMS AUCTIONED FROM THE WRECK OF HANNAH ELIZABETH
Document No. 2

List of articles claimed by Peter Kerr

100 barrels Flour at $7.50 ................................................................. $750.00
200 Bales Tobacco, at $7 .................................................................... 1400.00
5 Cask Gin at .................................................................................... 62.00
5 do. Brandy ..................................................................................... 86.00
22 Barrels Whiskey ............................................................................... 243.00

$3.541.00

50 percent on which, (the amount he is to pay is) ................................... 1270.50

Amount of sales per auctioneer’s acct. and numbered 3 ......................... 2843.83

$4114.33

Document No. 3

Account sales of the Cargo of the wreck of the schooner Hannah Elizabeth sold for account of whom it may concern, per order of Captain W. A. Hurd

Josiah Tilley lot of buckets ................................................................. $2.50
A. Robertson, lot of castings .............................................................. 4.00
Dr. Alford, 3 kegs of lard ................................................................. 12.00
A. Robertson, 1 set wheels ............................................................... 30.00
J. M. Shreve, pd. 1 set wheels ......................................................... 28.00
Ditto ditto ditto .............................................................................. 28.00
J. Tillev, 1 set wheels ..................................................................... 23.50

2 William L. Cazneau, Doc. no. 2, Jenkins, Papers, 4:217.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grayson, pd.</td>
<td>stove</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.R. Fisher, pd.</td>
<td>1 carriage and harness</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreeve, pd.</td>
<td>2 jars crockery</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. B. Fleury</td>
<td>1 hogshead ditto</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Desauque</td>
<td>1 box shoes</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Robertson</td>
<td>1 box tin ware</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Robertson</td>
<td>1 plough</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Crookes</td>
<td>1 lot sundries</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Decrow</td>
<td>1 piece rope</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Robertson</td>
<td>3 boxes wine</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. B. Fleury</td>
<td>1 box tea, and axes</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>2 iron posts</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. H. Boyce</td>
<td>1 lot champain</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Alford</td>
<td>1 bag garlic</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Morrison</td>
<td>29 boxes soap</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Johnson</td>
<td>1 lot cassin</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 box cheese</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>ditto 1 trunk Britannias</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>ditto ditto</td>
<td>43.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. H. Boyce</td>
<td>1 trunk plantillas</td>
<td>51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Robertson</td>
<td>2 trunks shoes</td>
<td>61.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. H. Boyce</td>
<td>1 trunk platillas</td>
<td>49.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Stewart</td>
<td>pd., 20 boxes soap</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. B. Fleury</td>
<td>patent balance &amp; saw</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. H. Boyce</td>
<td>5 boxes chocolate</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 box dry goods</td>
<td>41.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Watlington</td>
<td>1 barrel whiskey</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Desauque</td>
<td>10 barrels sundries</td>
<td>62.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. Decrow</td>
<td>7 kegs nails</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Robertson</td>
<td>3 barrels sundries</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Johnson</td>
<td>1 box medicines</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 lot sundries</td>
<td>37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harris</td>
<td>8 barrels flour</td>
<td>59.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Stewart, pd.</td>
<td>15 boxes wine</td>
<td>27.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>14 boxes tobacco</td>
<td>170.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Desauque</td>
<td>17 boxes soap</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 hogshead sundries</td>
<td>31.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 lot sundries</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Crookes</td>
<td>1 lot leather</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Robertson</td>
<td>1 box sugar</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 box dry goods</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. B. Fleury</td>
<td>1 trunk clothing and shoes</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Sharpe, pd.</td>
<td>1 trunk boots</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. H. Boyce</td>
<td>1 trunk brogans</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Desauque</td>
<td>6 bags coffee</td>
<td>62.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Crooke</td>
<td>1 box shoes. &amp;c</td>
<td>32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 box dry goods</td>
<td>166.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto ditto ditto</td>
<td></td>
<td>175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Desauque</td>
<td>1 box dry goods</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Stewart, pd.</td>
<td>9 barrels flour</td>
<td>52.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harris</td>
<td>1 plough</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Grayson, pd.</td>
<td>8 barrels flour</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard &amp; Fleury</td>
<td>1 jar crockery</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>9 barrels flour</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Robertson</td>
<td>1 barrel liquor</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendrick &amp; Alford</td>
<td>1 iron pot</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Shreve, pd.</td>
<td>1 bedstead</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wm. A. Hurd, pd., wreck of vessel and balance of cargo................. 275.00
J. E. Robertson, chance for a drift boat.................................... 20.00
D. Decrow, chance for guns and cannon................................. 5.50
                                  $2993.50
Brought forward............................................................... 1993.50
Charges, my commission. 5 per cent,
    and clerk hire ......................................................... 149.67
                                  $2843.83

Errors excepted.

William L. Cazneau, Auc.

Matagorda, December 18, 1835.
APPENDIX VI

CATALOG
The catalog is an all inclusive list of all the artifacts recovered in the survey. The original number of artifact retrieved from the wreck was 78, including 10 non-provenience items.

All the artifacts were encased, to some extent, in concretions. During the process of degradation, the iron corrosion products react to fluctuations in the pH of the water, dissolved calcium carbonate, and dissolved carbon dioxide to form a calcareous covering around the artifact. This covering ranged from between a few millimeters to a few centimeters in thickness. The concretion can form around, and encase, multiple artifacts. The total number of artifacts, following removal from the concretions, and conservation, numbers over 250. This includes over 20 fasteners, 100 shot of varying sizes, over 60 fragments of dunnage, more than 30 pieces of firearm furniture, and 20 firearm fragments.

The measurements included in the catalog were, in some cases, taken prior to conservation, and in most cases were rounded to the nearest 1/16th of an inch. All the measurements of the firearms were taken following mechanical cleaning, but prior to disassembly. The condition of the firearm artifacts, due to the fragility of the wood and iron pieces was very poor. The illustrations, therefore, are reconstructions of the arms from in-situ analysis and radiographic evidence. Detailed measurements that are not in the catalog are included in tables 1-3 and 5-6.

The degradation of the iron, in some cases, was so great that the concreted artifact was no longer extant. In place of the artifact was a mold of the original, including much of the diagnostic detail. An epoxy resin was used to fill this void in
order to create a cast of the original artifact within the concretion. This method of conservation was used to replicate nails, a sling swivel, an eyehook, and parts of the lock mechanisms of various guns. The measurements and illustrations of these artifacts were taken from the epoxy replicas.

The illustrations in the catalog and elsewhere in this thesis were drawn by the author. The author created all photographic and radiographic images used in the conservation, reconstruction, and illustration of artifacts. The Pass Cavallo artifacts were largely conserved by Helen Dewolf, Amy Borgens, and John Hamilton with Donny Hamilton and Helen Dewolf advising.
MUSKET FRAGMENTS
14902    India Pattern Musket Fragment

Length: 15 ⅜ in (44.50 cm)
Location: 2 m between datums 2 and 3
Condition: poor

Heavy toredo damage to the gun stock. Corrosion of the lockplate is severe, only a partial mark is evident, an ER on the tail of the lockplate. This is part of an engraved TOWER maker’s mark. The reinforced throat-hole cock indicates the weapon was manufactured between 1809 and 1815. Brass furniture consists of a sideplate, trigger plate, and trigger guard. All these items are marked with the Roman numeral X, an assembly mark.
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14903    India Pattern Musket Fragment

Length: 14 ¼ in (36.40 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: fair

Heavy toredo damage to the gun stock. Corrosion of the lockplate is severe; there are no visible lock markings. The reinforced throat-hole cock indicates the weapon was manufactured after 1809. Brass furniture consists of a sideplate and trigger plate. Both are marked with the Roman numeral VI, which are workshop assembly marks. The diameter of the barrel, at the broken tip, is 1 ⅛ in (2.78 cm). There is a barrel lug brazing piece attached to the underside of the barrel. It measures ⅝ x 7/16 in (1.54 x 1.08).
14946  British Pistol Fragment

Length: 13 3/16 in (33.50 cm)
Location: no provenience, recovered in 1st survey
Condition: fair

The wood stock and butt cap at the end of the pistol are not extant. The brass furniture includes a trigger guard, trigger plate, sideplate, tailpipe, and first pipe. The forepipe, trigger guard, and sideplate are decoratively engraved. The ramrod is wood. An iron belt-hook was fitted over the sideplate. The pistol was stored in a fabric bag, hand-made from at least two fabric types; one plain and one pinstriped. The lock is engraved with a ‘tulip’ design on the tail of the lockplate; this is a mark of the Ketland Company. A maker’s mark, ‘SHARPE’ is stamped on the lockplate, under the flash pan. A ramrod worm is fitted to the ramrod.
14947    Spanish 1757 Model Musket Fragment

Length: 15 ⅓ in (36.40 cm)
Location: no provenience, recovered in 1st survey
Condition: poor

Musket fragment has severe toredo damage to the wood stock. The lock has a ring style jaw screw. Furniture items include a brass sideplate and evidence of an iron trigger plate. There are no marks on the lockplate. A single, partial mark is stamped on the top barrel face, near the flash pan.
Short Land Musket Fragment

Length: 7 in (17.76 cm)
Location: midway between datums 1 and 3
Condition: good

Fragment consists solely of the lock as it is fastened into the sideplate. There is no remaining wood stock. The double-bridled lock is stamped with a still partially discernible ‘TOWER’ mark. Only the ‘OWE’ are now visible. Under the flash pan is etched crown over GR. The brass sideplate has a flat surface, a feature introduced in 1769. The interior surface of the sideplate is stamped with an indiscernible symbol, a D, and crown over a C.
14986  

**Spanish 1757 Model Musket Fragment**

Length: 10 \(\frac{1}{8}\) in (25.70 cm)

Location: datum 1

Condition: fair.

The wood stock is severely toredo damaged. The surfaces of the lock are in good condition. A maker’s mark, YBASETA, is stamped within a rectangular cartouche on the tail of the lockplate. Neighboring this mark, to its left, is an unidentifiable shape or symbol. The lock has a ring style jaw screw. Furniture items include a brass sideplate and evidence of an iron trigger plate.
14988       India Pattern Musket Fragment

Length: 20 ⅓ in (48.30 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

The wood gunstock has severe toredo damage. The lock is double-bridled with a reinforced throat-hole cock. Furniture items include a brass sideplate, trigger plate, and tailpipe. The lockplate is marked with a ‘WER’, remains of a ‘TOWER’ mark. There is a single line engraving on the cock body and a double line engraving on the lockplate, under the pan. An etched crown and ‘GR’ are on the lockplate, under the pan. The reinforced throat-hole indicates that the musket was manufactured after 1809.
14989  Short Land Pattern Musket Fragment

Length: 46 5/16 in (104.91 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

This is the most complete musket fragment. There is severe toredo damage of the wood stock. A majority of the barrel has no affixed wood stock. The lock is double-bridled with a swan-neck cock. The surface of the lockplate, centrally located under the pan, is an etched crown over ‘GR’. There is a double line engraving on the body of the cock. The brass furniture includes a broken trigger guard, trigger plate, rounded sideplate, tail pipe and 1st pipe. The ramrod is iron. The interior surfaces of the sideplate and trigger plate are stamped with the letter ‘B’.
**14995  India Pattern Musket Fragment**

Length: 20 4/5 in (53.00 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: poor

The gun stock has severe toredo damage. The double-bridled lock has a reinforced throat-hole cock. The lock has severe surface corrosion, but some marks are partially discernible. The lockplate is stamped on the tail with a ‘W’ and ‘R’, a remnant of what was once a “TOWER” mark. Under the flash pan is an etched crown over a ‘GR’. To the right of the crown is a broad arrow next to a small stylized crown. Single line engraving is evident on the cock body and the tail of the lockplate. Brass furniture includes a sideplate, trigger plate, and fore pipe. All three items are marked with the Roman Numeral XI. The inside of the sideplate also is marked with the letter ‘C’. The ramrod is of iron.
14996  

**India Pattern Musket Fragment**

Length: 19 \( \frac{3}{8} \) in (24.45 cm)

Location: datum 2

Condition: poor

The wood stock has severe toredo damage. The double-bridled lock has a reinforced throat-hole cock, indicating it was manufactured after 1809. Brass furniture includes the sideplate, trigger plate, trigger guard, and tailpipe. The trigger guard is stamped on the bow with ‘NO:179:’. The artifact is not completely mechanically cleaned, much of the lock is still obscured.
**BARREL FRAGMENTS**

**14947-2  Barrel Fragment**

Length: 19 ¼ in (49.00 cm)

Diameter: (3.25 cm), breech

Location: no provenience, recovered in 1st survey

Condition: poor

No outer surface remains. Two cupreous brazing pieces are on the underside of the barrel surface. These measure 9/16 x 13/16 in (1.28 x 1.91 cm) and ½ x ⅞ in (1.24 x 2.05 cm).
14988-2

India Pattern Barrel Fragment

Length: 21 ¼ in (53.70 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment has mild toredo damage. Brass furniture includes an Pratt pipe and forepipe. The forepipe is 4 1/16 in (9.99 cm) in length with a diameter of 7/16 in. The diameter of the funnel shaped mouth is 9/16 in (1.49 cm). The Pratt pipe measures 1 15/16 in (4.85 cm) in length. The diameter of the funnel mouth is 9/16 in (1.42 cm). Both pipes have two file cuts; the Roman numeral mark II, a workshop assembly mark.
14988-3  **Spanish Barrel Fragment**

Length: 17 7/10 in (44.90 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment includes brass barrel band. The barrel band has a height of 1 11/16 in (4.27 cm). The width, of the band across the stock, is 1 ¾ in (3.51 cm). The diameter of the barrel, just in front of the barrel band, is 1 1/16 in (2.67 cm). The condition of the barrel is incredibly poor. The piece is broken into two fragments and there is almost no remaining barrel. No marks are evident on the firearm.
India Pattern Barrel Fragment

Length: 12 ⅛ in (32.70 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: fair

Barrel fragment consists of wood gunstock, barrel, nosecap, forepipe, and ramrod. Inside of the wood gunstock (pictured) has two copper brazing pieces used to affix the lugs to the barrel. The length of the forepipe is 4 1/16 in (10.29 cm). The diameter of the forepipe is 7/16 in (1.07 cm). The forepipe is marked with the Roman numeral XIII. The ramrod channel is marked XI. The width of the stock, at the attachment hole for the sling swivel, is 1 5/16 (3.37 cm). Part of the sling swivel was still evident during initial cleaning; the diameter of the wire was approximately ⅛ in (.40 cm). The nosecap measures 1 ⅜ x 7/8 in (3.00 x 2.40 cm). The distance from the tip of the forepipe to the end of the nosecap is 3 ⅜ in (9.15 cm). The barrel diameter is approximately 15/16 in (2.38 cm).
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14992-3   British Barrel Fragment

Length: 7 ⅛ in (18.50 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: poor

The fragment consists of a barrel, ramrod, nosecap, and wood stock. The diameter of the barrel at the muzzle is approximately ⅞ in (2.15 cm). The ramrod has a diameter of 1/4 in (.62 cm) and extends 7/16 in (1.10 cm) past the muzzle of the barrel. The diameter of the ramrod widens to 7/16 in (1.05 cm) at the tip. The nosecap is of cast brass and measures approximately 1 1/16 x 1 3/16 in (2.68 x 3.00 cm). The barrel extends 4 5/16 (11.00 cm) past the nosecap. A copper or brass plate is affixed to the barrel at the muzzle as a brazing for the site/bayonet stud. The plate measures approximately 7/16 x ¼ in (1.15 x .68 cm) and is located 1 15/16 in (4.93 cm) from the tip of the muzzle. The tip of the neighboring barrel, 14992-6, was spaced 5/16 in (.90 cm) from the gun stock, to the area adjacent the wood stock/nosecap juncture.
14992-4  British Barrel Fragment

Length:  5 ⅝ in (14.22 cm)
Width:  1 ½ in (3.73 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment with tailpipe and ramrod. The tailpipe is 4 ½ in (11.53 cm) long. The diameter of the mouth is ½ in (1.11 cm). There are two file cuts, assembly marks, on the tail of the tailpipe. The interior surface of the tailpipe is stamped ‘BB’. The diameter of the barrel, as measured from the impression in the concretion, is 1 inch (2.67 cm). The ramrod is 1 11/16 (4.34 cm) long and has a diameter of 3/16 in (.50 cm).
14992-5  Barrel Fragment

Length: 3 7/16 in (8.78 cm)
Width: 1 5/16 in (2.40 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment with ramrod channel. The width of the ramrod channel is ¼ in (.54 cm). The diameter of the barrel, as preserved in the concretion, is 1 inch (2.65 cm). The distance between barrel of this musket, and the neighboring barrel, 14992-4 was 11/16 in (1.83 cm)
14992-6  Barrel Fragment

Length: 2 ¼ in (5.80 cm)
Diameter: ⅞ in (2.23 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: poor

The barrel fragment consists solely of the end of the muzzle and the accompanying ramrod. The tip of the barrel is broken and does not have a complete circumference. The diameter of the barrel is ⅞ in (2.20 cm). This measurement was taken 2 1/16 in (5.20 cm) from the end of the muzzle. The ramrod is of steel or iron and is approximately ¼ inch (.62 cm) in diameter. The ramrod extends ⅜ in (.90 cm) past the end of the barrel and tapers to a diameter of ½ in (1.40 cm). A small copper or brass brazing plate, for the bayonet stud, is located 1 ¼ in (3.2 cm) from the tip of the barrel. This plate measures approximately 7/16 x ⅛ in (1.17 x .90 cm) and may be incomplete.
**14996-2  India Pattern Barrel Fragment**

Length: 19 2/3 in (48.80 cm)
Location: datum
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment includes the Pratt pipe, forepipe, and nosecap. The Pratt pipe is 1 7/8 in (4.00 cm) long. The fluted mouth has a diameter of ½ in (1.15 cm). The forepipe is 4 in (10.20 cm long). The fluted mouth has a diameter of 9/16 in (1.40 cm). The pipes are spaced 4 ¾ in (12.10 cm) apart. The nosecap measures 1 x 13/16 in (2.56 x 3.00 cm). The diameter of the barrel, near the nosecap, is 15/16 in (2.45 cm). The diameter of the broken tip of the barrel is ⅞ in (2.20 cm). The width of the wood stock, at the Pratt pipe, is 1 5/16 in (3.40 cm).
14996-3 British Barrel Fragment

Length: 10 ¾ in (26.50 cm)
Location: datum
Condition: poor

Barrel fragment with tailpipe. Width of the stock at the rear tip of the tail pipe is 1 ½ in (3.80 cm). The width of the stock at the tip is 1 ⅛ in (3.05 cm). The barrel diameter is approximately 13/16 in (2.15 cm). The tailpipe is 4 ½ in (11.45 cm) in length. The diameter of the mouth is 7/17 in (1.18 cm). A brazing piece for the barrel lug is in the gunstock at the distal end of the barrel. It measures 7/16 x 11/16 in (1.15 x 2.10 cm).
14914  Cupreous Buttplate

Length: 5 in (12.63 cm)  
Width: 1 ⅞ in (4.80 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: poor

The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.15 to .40 cm). Three faint parallel scratches mark the interior surface above the lower attachment hole; workshop assembly marks that represent the Roman Numeral III. An area of the exterior surface surrounding the upper attachment hole appears brushed.
The surfaces are lightly eroded. The lip between the interior and exterior surfaces widens from \( \frac{1}{8} \) to \( \frac{1}{4} \) in (.20 to .60 cm). This begins in an area parallel to the center of the upper screw hole. The interior surface has a faint scratch or mark under the upper attachment hole. The only visible file marks are those which are at the base of the attachment lug on the tang and on the lug itself. The exterior surface of the tang is marked with a ‘D’ over 4I.
There are several file marks in the eroded surface at the base of the attachment lug. The lip widens from approximately 1/16 to 1/8 in (.10 cm to .35 cm) beginning at the lower edge of the upper attachment hole. The exterior surface of the tang has a possible regimental number scratched into it, GBI M 5 C N 4 0. This mark signifies the Grenadiers Bombay Infantry Model 5 Carbine Number 40.
14917  Cupreous Buttplate

Length: 5 in (12.68 cm)  Location: datum x
Width: 2 in (5.05 cm)  Condition: fair

The surfaces of the buttplate are heavily eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.10 to .50 cm) beginning at the bottom edge of the upper attachment hole. The tang is marked with the number 52 under a bugle. This etched mark is a representation of regimental symbol of the 52 Regiment of Foot, Light Infantry Unit.
14918 Cupreous Buttplate

Length: ~5 in (12.67 cm)  Location: datum x
Width: 1 7/8 in (4.80 cm)  Condition: Poor

The surfaces of the buttplate are heavily eroded. The lip tapers from 1/16 to 3/16 inch (.09 to .49 cm) beginning adjacent the top of the upper attachment hole. There are three scratches, presumably file cuts, on the interior surface between the two attachment holes. This is the Roman Numeral III, a workshop assembly mark. There are file marks evident on the attachment lug and on the interior surface of the tang.
14919  
Cupreous Buttplate

Length: ~5 ¼ in (12.88 cm)  
Width: 1 15/16 in (5.00 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: Poor

The surfaces are completely eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 (.15 to .47 cm). The attachment holes are countersunk. There are three scratches, presumably file cuts, on the interior surface between the two attachment holes. This is the Roman Numeral III, a workshop assembly mark.
**Cupreous Buttplate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14920</th>
<th>Length: 4 ¾ in (12.00 cm)</th>
<th>Location: datum x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width: ~1 ¾ in (4.50 cm)</td>
<td>Condition: fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The buttplate surfaces are both eroded and worn. An X and four scratches are marked between the attachment holes on the interior surface. This is the Roman Numeral XIII (probably a mistake of the number XIV), a workshop assembly mark. The outside top edge of the buttplate is irregular. The lip widens from 1/16 to ⅛ in (.10 to .37 cm) beginning about at approximately the center of the buttplate. The attachment lug is broken before the pin hole.
Cupreous Buttplate

**14929**

- **Length:** ~4 ⅜ in (10.98 cm)
- **Width:** 1 ⅞ in (4.76 cm)
- **Location:** datum x
- **Condition:** poor

The buttplate has substantial erosion. No file marks or proof marks are evident due to severity of surface corrosion. The lip widens to ¼ in (.28 cm) at the very top edge of the buttplate. Both attachment holes are countersunk. The top attachment hole has widened, due to erosion, to the outer hole diameter.
The exterior surface of the buttplate is heavily eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.15 to .48 cm), beginning at approximately the center of the butt. An X is scratched on the interior surface of the buttplate between the attachment holes. The three-step tang terminates in a blunt point. The tang is stamped B 125. Two small marks in the area of the second step may indicate more lettering which has not preserved. No file marks are evident.
The surfaces of the buttplate are completely eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.15 to .50 cm) beginning about the center of the upper attachment hole. A ‘C’ is stamped just below the upper attachment hole on the interior surface. The interior edge around the butt is slightly flattened. There are no visible file marks. The pin hole in the lug is off center.
14988-4  Cupreous Buttplate

Length: 5 ¼ cm (12.89 cm)  Location: datum 1
Width: 2 in (5.10 cm)  Condition: good

Surface of the buttplate is smooth and polished. The tang is hand-etched with the Roman numeral XLI, for the 41st Regiment of Foot. The buttplate widens to a 1/16 in (.15 cm) at the top edge of the buttplate face. There are slight indentations on the surface of the buttplate, as though the weapon was used to strike an object.
The surface of the buttplate is mildly eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to ⅛ in (.10 to .27 cm) beginning about a centimeter below the upper attachment. The tang is asymmetrical. It is a two step tang terminating in a ball, though the tang appears crudely fashioned. File marks are evident on the lip of the tang only. There are four scratches running parallel to one another between the attachment holes on the interior surface. These are workshop assembly marks. The letter ‘P’ is stamped above the upper attachment hole.
14999  
Cupreous Buttplate  
Length: 4 15/16 in (12.59 cm)  
Width: 2 1/16 in (5.25 cm)  
Location: datum 2  
Condition: Poor  

The surfaces of the buttplate are heavily eroded. The attachment lug is bent. The lip tapers from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.16 cm to .49 cm) beginning adjacent the lower edge of the top attachment hole. The interior surface of the buttplate is marked with three scratches, workshop assembly marks. Due to the condition of surfaces, no file marks are present. The tang is marked with a numeral, possibly a rack number. Only the second number, a 1, is readable.
The surface of the buttplate is heavily eroded. The lip widens from 1/16 to 3/16 in (.15 to .40 cm) at a point parallel to the center of the top attachment hole. A few file marks are evident at the base of the lug. The lug itself is broken, through the center of the pin hole. The upper portion of the attachment is gone. There are patches of the exterior surface of the buttplate that appear brushed.
TRIGGER GUARDS

14907  Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 4 ½ in (11.40 cm)  Location: datum x
Width: ~ ½ in (1.33 cm)  Condition: good

The trigger guard fragment is of a rear tang which is broken at the first of two attachment holes. The tang is of cast and filed brass. The tang does not have decorative finial, terminating in a simple rounded tip. The exterior surface is convex, with a flat interior surface. Both attachment holes are countersunk.
The trigger guard fragment is of cast brass. It is broken at the attachment hole of the rear tang. The attachment of the trigger guard to the stock was achieved though the combined use of a lug in the fore tang and a screw in the rear tang, as indicated by the screw hole at the break in the rear tang. The fore tang is a three-tiered taper terminating in a point.
The trigger guard fragment is of cast and filed brass. The trigger guard is preserved from the fore tang through the break at the attachment hole at the rear tang. A lug in the fore tang and a screw hole in the rear tang enable attachment to the stock. The fore edge of the bow, as it approaches the front tang, has a hole for the attachment of a sling swivel.
The trigger guard fragment is broken at the first attachment hole of the rear tang. The trigger guard is of cast and filed brass. A hole at the fore edge of the bow is for the placement of the sling swivel. The attachment lug for the fore tang has two holes, suggesting it was reworked to provide a better fit. The tang tip has two score marks, assembly marks, on its internal surface.
The trigger guard fragment, a bow and rear tang, is of cast brass. The trigger guard is attached to the stock through the use of two screws in the rear tang and an attachment lug in the front tang. The surface is flat with beveled edges and countersunk screw holes.
Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 5 ¼ in (13.75 cm)   Location: datum x
Width: 13/16 (2.17 cm), bow   Condition: fair

The trigger guard was attached to the stock through the use of a screw and lug in the front tang and at least one screw in the rear tang. The fore area of the bow, as it approaches the front tang, has a hole for the placement of a sling swivel. Both screw holes are countersunk. The bow is slightly bent. A file cut is evident on the rear tang and fore tang near the attachment holes.
The complete trigger guard is of cast brass. The attachment of the trigger guard to the stock is enabled through the use of a lug on each tang. The bow and fore tang has an incised decorative line that extends along the edges. A ‘clam’ or ‘basket’ decoration is centrally placed on the bow surface. No file marks are visible. Four small file cuts, assembly marks, are apparent on the internal surface near the tip of the front tang.
The fragment is of the rear trigger guard tang, broken at the first of the two attachment holes. The trigger guard is of cast and filed brass with a convex exterior surface. The tang terminates in a simple rounded tip. The attachment holes are countersunk.
14944  Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 4 7/16 in (11.78 cm)   Location: datum x
Width: 9/16 in (1.40 cm)       Condition: good

The rear tang of the trigger guard is broken at the first of two attachment holes. The trigger guard fragment is of cast and filed brass with a convex external surface. Both attachment holes are countersunk. The internal surface is stamped with the letter ‘C’ and the numeral ‘3’ at the tip of the tang. At the broken edge of the tang are four cuts, representing assembly marks. The tang terminates in a simple rounded tip.
14981  |  Cupreous Trigger Guard
Length: 11 7/16 in (28.10 cm) | Location: midway between datums 1 and 3
Width: ~1 3/16 (2.92 cm) | Condition: good

The complete trigger guard is of cast and filed brass. The attachment of the trigger guard to the stock is achieved through lugs, one in each tang, and a countersunk screw hole in the rear tang. The fore tang lug is stamped with a mark, possibly the letters ‘IC’. A hole on the fore edge of the bow, as it approaches the fore tang, is for the placement of a sling swivel. The rear tang is bent downward at an angle of 55°.
The complete trigger guard is of cast and filed brass. It was attached to the stock through two countersunk screw holes on the rear tang and a single lug in the fore tang. A hole on the fore edge of the bow, as it approaches the fore tang, is for the placement of a sling swivel. There are six file cuts on the rear tang and three file cuts at the tip of the fore tang.
254

14986-2 Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 11 ¾ in (28.85 cm) Location: datum 1
Width: 1 ⅜ in (2.88 cm), bow Condition: good

The complete trigger guard is of cast and filed brass. The attachment of the trigger guard to the stock is achieved through lugs, one in each tang, and a countersunk screw hole in the rear tang. A hole on the fore edge of the bow, as it approaches the forward tang, is for the placement of a sling swivel. A ‘P’ has been stamped into the attachment lug of the forward tang.
14989-2  
**Cupreous Trigger Guard**

Length: 5 9/16 in (14.06 cm)  
Width: 1 in (2.57 cm), bow  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

Cast and filed trigger guard is the fragment of the fore tang and bow. It is broken at the first of the two attachment holes on the rear tang. The surface is smooth and polished, no pitting, or corrosion is evident. On the interior surface of the fore tang are file cut marks of the Roman Numeral VII. This is a workshop assembly mark.
Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 4 11/16 (11.88 cm)  
Width: 9/16 in (1.37 cm)  
Condition: good  
Location: datum 1

The rear tang of a trigger guard is broken at the first of the two attachment holes. The trigger guard is of cast and filed brass. The surface is smooth and polished, no pitting, or corrosion is evident. The tang terminates in a simple rounded tip. Both attachment holes are countersunk. A partial screw fragment was removed from one of the holes.
14995-2  

Cupreous Trigger Guard

Length: 4 15/16 (14.35 cm)  
Width: 1 in (2.52 cm)

Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

The India Pattern trigger guard is broken at the first attachment hole of the rear tang. The rounded surface is polished. The tang terminates in a simple rounded tip. Both attachment holes are countersunk. The fore tang lug is marked with two letters; an ‘R’ and a second which is not readable.
14995-5  
**Cupreous Trigger Guard**

Length: 1 ⅛ in (2.71 cm)  
Width: ⅝ in (1.50 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

Cast and filed trigger guard fragment is the tip of the rear tang. The break occurs at the attachment hole. The surface is smooth and polished, no pitting, or corrosion is evident. Thickness of the trigger guard is ⅛ in (.35 cm).
14995 – 7  

**Cupreous Trigger Guard**

Length: 5 in (12.71 cm)  
Width: 1 in (2.50 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: fair

Cast and filed trigger guard is the fragment of the fore tang and bow. It is broken at the first of the two attachment holes on the rear tang. The surface is smooth and polished, no pitting, or corrosion is evident. On the interior surface of the fore tang are file cut marks of the Roman Numeral XI. This is a workshop assembly mark. The trigger guard is severely bent at the front of the bow.
MISCELLANEOUS FURNITURE

14935 Brass Forepipe and Iron Ramrod

Length: 4 in (10.40 cm) Location: datum 2
Diameter: 7/16 in (1.08 cm), shaft Condition: good

Diameter of funnel end is ⅝ in (1.44 cm). Diameter of pipe distal end is 7/16 in (1.00 cm). Attachment lugs measure 7/16 and ½ in (1.09 and 1.18 cm) in length with a thickness of approximately 1/16 in (.22 cm). The ramrod diameter is ¼ in (.55 cm). On the upper surface, stamped between the attachment lugs, is the Roman Numeral VI. This is a workshop assembly mark.
Brass trigger plate. The plate tapers from 9/16 in (1.45 cm) to 7/16 in (1.00 cm). The slot for the trigger measures 1⅜ x 3/16 in (3.45 x .45 cm). Thickness of the plate, at the insertion point for the screw, is ¼ in (.55 cm). Thickness at the distal end is 1/16 in (.15 cm).
14981-4   Sling Swivel
Length: 1 ¼ in (3.20 cm)   Width: 1 ⅛ in (2.75 cm)
Location: midway between datums 1 and 3

The sling swivel was attached to a Short Land Patten trigger guard. The iron had completely degraded, leaving a void in the concretion that was filled using an epoxy resin. This artifact is a replica of the original object. The thickness of the swivel wire is ⅛ in (.30 cm).

14984-3   Ramrod Worm
Length: 1 ⅜ in (3.65 cm)   Width: 9/16 in (1.47 cm)
Location: datum 1

The ramrod worm was concreted next to a saber blade and an India Pattern trigger guard. The condition of the artifact was incredibly poor. A mold was made of the artifact and filled with an epoxy resin. This artifact is a replica of the original object.
14910 Bayonet Fragment

Length: 20 ½ in (52.20 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: poor

The socket bayonet is almost complete. The remaining socket is the concretion of the internal circumference, no metal surface remains. The preserved internal diameter of the socket is 15/16 in (2.46 cm). The remaining socket is 3 in (7.52 cm) in length and is broken before the locking slot. The triangular blade is approximately 17 ½ in (44.70 cm) long. The blade width is 1 in (2.55 cm).
14911 Bayonet Fragment

Length: 11 ¾ in (29.91 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: poor

The bayonet fragment is of an incomplete blade with no stock. The width of the blade is 1 1/16 in (4.45 cm). No marks are visible.
14992 Bayonet Fragment

Length: 20 ⅛ in (51.62 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: poor

Iron socket bayonet. The bayonet has a complete socket length, though the blade is broken at the tip. The socket measures 4 in long, with a diameter of 1 ¼ in at the muzzle end and 1 inch near the blade shoulder. The blade length, though incomplete is 15 ½ in. The internal diameter of the socket is 15/16 in.
14980 Saber Fragment
Length: 10 5/16 in (25.90 cm)
Width: 1 5/16 – 1 ¼ in (3.45 – 3.22 cm)
Location: midway between datums 1 and 3
Condition: poor

14984-2 Saber Fragment
Length: 14 ⅝ in (41.70 cm)
Width: 1 ¼ in (3.11 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

The saber blade has triple fullers on both sides of the blade. The preservation of the original blade was incredibly poor. A mold was made of the blade during mechanical cleaning. An epoxy replica of the blade was created with the mold.

14988-9 Saber Fragment
Length: 5 ¾ in (14.50 cm)
Width: 1 ½ in (3.66 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

Saber blade in poor condition. Object was cast, only one side had remnants of the original surface. There are three fullers.

14995-4 Saber Fragment
Length: 8 9/16 in (21.90 cm)
Width: 1 ¼ in (3.17 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor
The saber blades have triple fullers on both sides of the blade. The preservation of the original blade was incredibly poor. A mold was made of the blade during mechanical cleaning. An epoxy replica of the blade was created with the mold.

**ARTILLERY SHOT (see table 5)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14901 | Bar Shot | Length: 22 ½ in (57.00 cm)  
Width: 2 ¼ in (5.7 cm)  
Location: datum 2  
Condition: fair |

Cast iron bar shot with a hexagonal shaft. Each facet of the hexagonal shaft measures 1 ¼ in (3.10 cm). Length of the central shaft is 10 ½ in (26.50 cm). At the end of the shaft, on each side, the shaft tapers outward an additional 1 ⅜ in (3.40 cm) before again straightening outward an additional ½ in (1.20 – 1.30 cm) to the end. The larger ends of shot are hexagonal until the final ½ in lip at the ends of the shot. At this juncture the shot has an almost cylindrical diameter of approximately 5 in diameter (12.90 x 13.20 cm). The bar shot weighs 18 lbs (8.16 kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead Shot (7)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14904-2 | Diameter: .68 -.69 in (1.72 – 1.74 cm)  
Weight: (30.21 - 31.33 g)  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: good |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lead Shot (8)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14908 | Diameter: .65 -.69 in (1.65–1.76 cm)  
Weight: (25.86 – 32.53 g)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: good |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14924</td>
<td>Ferrous Cannon Ball</td>
<td>3.56 in</td>
<td>~ 6 lb</td>
<td>datum x</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14924-2</td>
<td>Lead Shot (13)</td>
<td>.52 - .69 in</td>
<td>(13.80 – 30.79 g)</td>
<td>datum x</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14927-3</td>
<td>Lead Shot</td>
<td>.69 in</td>
<td>.29.95 g</td>
<td>datum x</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14932</td>
<td>6 Pounder, Ferrous Cannon Ball</td>
<td>3.57 in</td>
<td></td>
<td>midway between datum 2 and 3</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14933</td>
<td>12 Pounder, Ferrous Cannon Ball</td>
<td>4.54 in</td>
<td></td>
<td>midway between datum 2 and 3</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14940</td>
<td>Lead Shot (49)</td>
<td>.53 – 1.03 in</td>
<td>(14.35 – 105.08 g)</td>
<td>datum x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Condition: good

**14988-6**  
Lead Shot  
Diameter: .70 in (1.79 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Weight: 31.51 g  
Condition: fair

**14989-5**  
Lead Bird Shot (2)  
Diameters: .16 - .17 in (.41, .42 cm)  
Weight: (.41 - .49 g)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

**14993-5**  
Lead Bird Shot (3)  
Diameters: 3/16 in (.39, .40, and .41 cm)  
Weight: (.36, .38, and .42 g)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

**NP/AR-1**  
Iron Cannister Shot (2)  
Diameters: 1.57 – 1.58 in (3.99 – 4.01 cm)  
Weights: 1 ½ lbs  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: fair

**NP/AR-2**  
Lead Shot (7)  
Diameters: .68 - .70 (1.73-1.79 cm)  
Weights: (30.7 – 31.44 g)  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: fair
COPPER SHEATHING

14904    Copper Sheathing (3)

Length
Sheet 1: 17 11/16 in (45.10 cm)
Piece 2: 5 ¾ in (14.30 cm)
Piece 3: 3 ⅛ in (8.00 cm)

Width
Sheet 1: 5 ¼ in ((13.20 cm), folded
Piece 2: 2 ⅝ in (6.60 cm), folded
Piece 3: 2 ⅝ in (6.80 cm)

Location: no provenience
Condition: fair

14925    Copper Sheathing

Length: 21 ½ (54.8 cm), folded
Width: 11 7/16 (29.00 cm), folded
Location: datum x
Condition: good

Copper sheathing has one preserved edge. There are 17 holes along the edge that have been punched for the sheathing tack. These holes are placed an average of ⅛ inch (.20-.40cm) from the edge. These nail holes are spaced 1 - 1 ½ in (2.6-3.9 cm) apart. The length of the sheet, unfolded, is 28 15/16 in (74.70 cm). The thickness of the sheathing, at the edge, is ⅛ in (.20 cm). A small fragment of planking, 14925-2, was attached to the sheathing.

14925-2    Plank

Length: 6 ¾ in (16.20 cm)
Width: 4 ½ in (11.50 cm)
Thickness: 1 7/16 in (3.65 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: poor
Hull plank, still affixed to copper sheathing, artifact 14925, with 3 cupreous nails. An additional nail, spaced ⅜ in (1.5 cm) from the edge of the copper sheet, was used to attach the neighboring sheet. The surface of the plank, facing the sheeting was coated with a thin layer of pitch.

**14931  Copper Sheathing**

Length:
- Sheet 1: 11 13/16 in (30.00 cm)
- Sheet 2: 14 3/8 in (36.51 cm)
- Sheet 3: 12 3/16 in (30.96 cm)
- Sheet 4: 9 9/16 in (24.29 cm)

Width (Height):
- Sheet 1: 3 5/16 in (8.41 cm)
- Sheet 2: 5 1/6 in (12.86 cm)
- Sheet 3: 5 1/2 in (13.97 cm)
- Sheet 4: 5 7/8 in (14.92 cm)

Location: datum x

Condition: fair

Four fragments of copper sheathing. One large piece fastened to an adjoining piece. One corner is in three layers. Two pieces of sheathing were joined together with seven cupreous nails. The nails were approximately ¼ in inside the edge of the sheet and spaced between 1 ⅛ and 1 ½ inches apart. The sheet overlap 1 ⅛ in.

**NP/CU-1  Copper Sheathing**

Length
- Sheet 1: 17 1/16 (43.40 cm)
- Sheet 2: 7 ½ in (19.30 cm)

Width (Height):
- Sheet 1: 13 5/16 (33.90 cm)
- Sheet 2: 12 13/16 (32.60 cm)

Thickness: 1/16 in (.09 cm)
Two copper sheet fragments, fastened together. The width (height) of sheet 1, where is attaches to sheet 2, is 12 ¼ in (31.30 cm) Sheets overlap by 2 5/16 to 3 in (5.8 to 7.5 cm). Most nail holes were poorly preserved. Those that could be defined were spaced between ¾ in and 2 in apart (1.85 to 5.10 cm). Nail holes are placed approximately ⅛ in (.30 cm) from the outside edge. Seven fasteners remain.

**NP/Cu-2**  
Copper Sheathing  
Length: 13 in (32.90 cm)  
Width: 2 9/16 (6.50 cm), folded  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: fair

Copper Sheathing fragment. Folded three times. No fastener holes.

**NP/Cu-3**  
Copper Sheathing  
Length: 4 13/16 in (12.23 cm)  
Width: 4 ⅜ in (11.2 cm)  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: fair

**RIGGING**

**14983**  
Ferrous Eyehook  
Length: 7 in (17.70 cm)  
Width: 2 13/16 in (7.14 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: fair

Original artifact extant. A void in a concretion was filed with an epoxy resin. The resulting object was a replica of the original artifact. The inner diameter of the eye is 1 ¾ in (4.54 cm).
The exterior diameter of the eye is 2 13/16 in (7.14 cm). The thickness of the shank is greatest at the top of the eyehook, in this area the thickness is ⅞ in (2.12 cm).

**14983-2**  
**Rope Fragment**

Length: 2 7/16 (6.20 cm)  
Diameter: 13/16 (2.10 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

Rope fragment was concreted to the shank of eyehook 14983.

**14993-2**  
**Eyebolt**

Length: 5 ½ in (13.92 cm)  
Width: 4 ¼ in (10.79 cm), eye  
1 ½ in (3.71 cm), shank  
Thickness: 1 ¼ in (3.09 cm), eye  
1 3/16 in (2.93 cm), shank  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: fair

Eyebolt is incomplete and is broken at the shank. The inner dimensions of the eye are 1 13/16 x 2 1/16 in (4.19 x 5.14 cm).

**14997-1**  
**Ferrous Eyehook**

Length: 8 13/16 in (22.40 cm)  
Width: 4 9/16 in (13.05 cm)  
Thickness ⅝ - 1 ¼ in (1.56 - 3.09 cm)  
Location: datum 2  
Condition: fair

Outer eye width is 3 13/16 in (9.64 cm), though this area is damaged. Inner eye dimensions are 2 11/16 x 3 3/16 in (6.79 x 8.17 cm).
14997-2  Ferrous Thimble
Length:  4 3/16 in (10.57 cm)
Width: 1 ⅝ in (4.17 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: poor

Diameter of the thimble is not complete, only about 2/3 of the original diameter is extant. There are no original edges. A two layered canvas piece was attached to the outer surface of the thimble.

14997-2.1  Canvas
Length: 8 ¼ in (21.00 cm)
Width: 9/16 in (3.98 cm)
Thickness 1/32 in (.13 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: fair

Double layered canvas piece. Canvas followed interior circumference of ramrod thimble.

IRON STOCK

14985  Ferrous Bar Stock
Length: 14 5/16 (39.4 cm)
Width: 2 in (5.04 cm)
Thickness: 7/16 in (1.05 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: Incomplete, broken at both ends.

14987  Ferrous Bar Stock
Length: 85 ¼ in (216.50 cm)
Width: 2 in (5.03cm)
Thickness: 6/16 in (1.06 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: fair

14990    Ferrous Bar Stock
Length: 46 in (117 cm)
Width: 2 in (5.02 cm)
Thickness: 7/16 in (1 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: Incomplete, broken at both ends, bent.

14991    Ferrous Bar Stock
Length: 17 ⅛ in (43.50 cm)
Width: 1 ¾ in (4.27 cm)
Thickness: 7/16 in (1.00 cm)
Location: 1.00 m from datum 3
Condition: Broken at both ends, incomplete.

FASTENERS

14903-3    Cupreous Nail
Length: ~ ½ in (1.33 cm)
Width: ¼ in (.39 x .43 cm)
Head: 7/16 in (1.11 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: fair

14905    Cupreous Spike
Length: 5 ¼ in (13.20 cm)
Shank Width: 5/16 x 5/16 (.75 x .75 cm)
Head Dimension: ½ in (1.15 x 1.20 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: fair

Complete mixed alloy spike. Head is flat and square in cross section measuring ½ in (1.20 x 1.15 cm). Shank tapers to ⅛ x 3/16 in (.3 x .5 cm).

14906    Cupreous Spike
Length: 5 9/16 in (14.10 cm)
Shank Width: ⅜ x ⅜ in (.95 x .95 cm), neck
Head Dimension: 1 3/16 x ⅞ in (2.00 x 2.10 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: fair

Large mixed alloy spike. Head of spike is square in cross-section with slightly rounded edges and slightly convex head. Tapers to approximately ⅛ x ⅛ in (.42 x .39 cm).

14909-2    Cupreous Tack
Length: ½ in (1.23 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.34 x .34 cm), neck
Head Diameter: 7/16 in (.99 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: fair

14925-1.2    Cupreous Nails
Length
Nail 1:  1 ⅛ in (2.79 cm)
Nail 2: 1 1/16 in (2.61 cm)
Nail 3: 1 in (2.46 cm)
Nail 4: ¾ in (2.21 cm)
Nail 5: 13/16 in (2.12 cm)
Shank Width
Nail 1: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.25 x .25 cm)
Nail 2: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.29 x .29 cm)
Nail 3: ⅛ x ⅛ in (31 x .28 cm)
Nail 4: 1/16 x ⅛ in (.23 x .25 cm)
Nail 5: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.26 x .26 cm)

Head Diameter
Nail 1: ⅜ in (.94 cm)
Nail 2: ⅜ in (.92 cm)
Nail 3: ⅜ in (.95 cm)
Nail 4: 5/16 in (.83 cm)
Nail 5: ⅜ in (.95 cm)

Location: datum x
Condition: fair-good

14928  
**Cupreous Spikes (4)**

Length
Spike 1: 4 7/16 in (11.12 cm)
Spike 2: 3 in (7.56 cm)
Spike 3: 2 7/16 in (6.10 cm)
Spike 4: 1 ⅜ (3.43 cm)

Shank Width
Spike 1: 5/16 x 7/16 in (.77 x 1.00 cm)
Spike 2: ¼ x ¼ in (.64 x .64 cm)
Spike 3: ¼ x 5/16 in (.64 x .67 cm)
Spike 4: ¼ x ¼ in (.57 x .57 cm)

Head Dimension
Spike 1: ½ x 9/16 in (1.23 x 1.34 cm)
Spike 2: NA
Spike 3: NA
Spike 4: NA

Location: datum x
Condition: fair

Four mixed alloy spikes; one is complete the remaining three are fragments. The fragments are of the tip of the spike.

**14927-2 Cupreous Nail**
Length: 15/16 in (2.41 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.33 x .35 cm), neck
Head: (.83 cm)
Location: datum x
Condition: fair

**14935-2 Cupreous Spike**
Length: 5 ¼ (13.20 cm)
Shank Width: 5/16 x ⅜ in (.79 x .91 cm), neck
Head: ½ x ½ in (1.15 x 1.22 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: fair

A complete mixed alloy spike. The spike is bent at an angle of 10º, slightly above the middle. Spike head is in poor condition, not maintaining a fine edge, but instead is jagged and damaged.

**14936 Cupreous Spikes (3)**
Length
- Spike 1: 5 in (13.25 cm)
- Spike 2: 4 ¼ in (11.15 cm)
- Spike 3: 2 ⅛ in (5.35 cm)
Shank Width
- Spike 1: ⅛ x 7/16 in (.87 x 1.00 cm)
- Spike 2: ⅛ x ⅜ in (.90 x .95 cm)
- Spike 3: ¼ x ¼ in (.65 x .65 cm)
Head Dimension:

Spike 1: ½ x 9/16 in (1.30 x 1.37 cm)
Spike 2: ½ x ½ in (1.25 x 1.27 cm)
Spike 3: NA

Location: datum x
Condition: fair

Three mixed alloy spikes; a large spike, a small spike, and fragment of the tip. Spike 2 is slightly bent towards the neck, at an angle of 4°.

14981-3   Ferrous Nails (3)

Length

Nail 1: 3 in (7.65 cm)
Nail 2: 3 in (7.65 cm)
Nail 3: 2 ⅜ in (6.67 cm)

Shank Width, Neck

Nail 1: 3/16 x 3/16 in (.48 x .51 cm)
Nail 2: 3/16 x ¼ in (.45 x .52 cm)
Nail 3: 3/16 x 3/16 in (.46 x .51 cm)

Head Dimensions

Nail 1: NA
Nail 2: NA
Nail 3: ⅜ x 5/16 in (.90 x .76 cm)

Location: midway between datums 1 and 3
Condition: poor

14989-4   Cupreous Nails (5)

Length

Nail 1: 1 5/16 in (3.25 cm)
Nail 2: 1 5/16 in (3.30 cm)
Nail 3: 1 in (2.55 cm)
Nail 4: ¾ in (2.23 cm)
Nail 5: 1 3/16 in (3.00 cm)

Shank Width, neck

Nail 1: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.32 x .32 cm)
Nail 2: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.32 x .32 cm)
Nail 3: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.32 x .32 cm)
Nail 4: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.28 x .28 cm)
Nail 5: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.35 x .37 cm)

Head Diameter

Nail 1: ⅜ in (.93 cm)
Nail 2: ⅜ in (.96 cm)
Nail 3: 5/16 in (1.06 cm)
Nail 4: NA
Nail 5: 5/16 in (.78 cm)

Location: datum 1
Condition: fair

**14989-7**  
Ferrous Nail

Length: 1 3/16 (3.05 cm)
Shank Width: ~3/16 x 3/16 in (.45 x .45 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

**14989-7**  
Cupreous Pins (2)

Length: 1/8 in (1.39, 1.35 cm)  
Diameter: 1/16 in (.07, .08 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

Two pins, incomplete. Both fragments are of the tip.

**14992-7**  
Cupreous Nail

Length: 1 ⅛ in (2.74 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.29 x .29 cm), neck
Head Diameter: ⅛ in (.87 cm)
Location: datum 6
Condition: fair

14993-1  Ferrous Fastener
Length: 16 7/16 in (41.8 cm)
Shank Width: 13/16 x ¾ in (1.80 x 2.04 cm, neck)
Location: datum 1
Condition: poor

Fastener square in cross-section slightly bent. Fastener tapers to ¾ x ¾ in (1.78 x 1.80 cm). Head of fastener is in poor condition.

14993-3  Cupreous Nail
Length: 1 ⅜ in (3.40 cm)
Shank width: ~ 3/16 in (.36 x .36 cm), neck.
Head Diameter: 3/8 in (.95cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: good

14995-3  Cupreous Nail
Length: ⅝ in (1.54 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.35 x .35 cm)
Head Diameter: 3/9 in (.95 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: good

NP/Cu-1.1  Cupreous Nails (7)
Length
  Length
  Nail 1: ¾ in (2.22 cm)
  Nail 2: ⅝ in (1.58 cm)
  Nail 3: 13/16 in (2.13 cm)
Nail 4: 1 ¼ in (3.20 cm)
Nail 5: 1 ¼ in (3.13 cm)
Nail 6: 1 ⅛ in (2.83 cm)
Nail 7: 13/16 in (2.13 cm)

Shank Width
Nail 1: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.32 x .33 cm)
Nail 2: 1/16 x ⅛ in (.21 x .25 cm)
Nail 3: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.29 x .33 cm)
Nail 4: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.28 x .30 cm)
Nail 5: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.30 x .30 cm)
Nail 6: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.27 x .30 cm)
Nail 7: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.30 x .32 cm)

Head Diameter
Nail 1: ⅜ in (.89 cm)
Nail 2: ⅜ in (.87 cm)
Nail 3: ⅜ in (.92 cm)
Nail 4: ⅜ in (.92 cm)
Nail 5: ⅜ in (.91 cm)
Nail 6: ⅜ in (.92 cm)
Nail 7: ⅜ in (.88 cm)

Location: no provenience
Condition: good

NP/CU-3.1 Cupreous Nail
Length: 1 ¼ in (3.20 cm), slightly bent
Shank Width: 3/8 in (.35 x .35 cm), neck
Head Diameter: 7/16 (.95 cm)
Location: no provenience
Condition: fair

NP/CU-4: Cupreous Nail
Length: ¾ in (2.35 cm), slightly bent
Shank Width: ⅛ in (.30 x .30 cm), neck
Head diameter: ⅜ in (.92 cm)
Location: no provenience
Condition: fair

NP/CU-5  Cupreous Nail
Length: 1 ⅛ in (2.90 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.35 x .35 cm), neck
Head Diameter: ⅜ in (.90 cm)
Location: no provenience
Condition: fair

NP/CU-6  Cupreous Nail
Length: 15/16 in (2.30 cm)
Shank Width: ⅛ x ⅛ in (.28 x .28 cm), neck
Head Diameter: ⅜ in (.85 cm)
Location: no provenience
Condition: fair

NP/CU-7  Cupreous Spikes (2)
Length
   Spike 1: 4 7/16 in (11.27 cm)
   Spike 2: 2 ¾ in (6.95), incomplete
Shank Width
   Spike 1: 5/16 x ⅜ in (.77 x .88 cm)
   Spike 2: ¼ x 5/16 in (.60 x .70 cm)
Head Dimension
   Spike 1: ½ x ½ in (1.20 x 1.27 cm)
   Spike 2: NA
Location: no provenience
Condition: fair
Two spike fragments, mixed metal alloy. One spike is complete; the other is incomplete and consists of the mid-section to the tip.

**NP/Fe-1  Ferrous Rose Head Nail**

Length: 3 13/16 (10.05 cm)  
Shank Width: 7/16 x 7/16 in (.95x.95 cm), neck  
Head Dimension: 11/16 x ¾ in (1.73 x 1.77 cm)  
Location: no provenience  
Condition: poor

Iron nail is in poor condition. Only the head had some ferric properties, the shank was cast with epoxy. Multi-faceted nail head only has two complete edges. Head has five facets with beveled edges. Shank tapers to 5/16 x 5/16 (.71 x .77cm).

**MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIC**

**14909  Wood Fragment**

Length: 7 ½ in (19.00 cm)  
Width: ¾ in (6.00 cm)  
Thickness: 15/16 in (2.30 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: poor

**14925-2  Wood Plank Fragment**

Length: 6 9/16 in (17.20 cm)  
Width: 4 7/16 in (11.20 cm)  
Thickness: 1 7/16 in (3.65 cm), incomplete  
Location: datum x
Condition: poor

**14927**  
**Bone Handle**  
Length: 3 5/16 in (8.50 cm)  
Width: ⅞ in (2.36 cm), base  
Thickness: 9/16 in (1.50 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: fair

**14983-2**  
**Rope**  
Length: 2 ¾ in (6.03 cm)  
Diameter: ½ in (1.67 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: poor

**14988-8**  
**Rope**  
Length: ⅞ in (2.30 cm)  
Width: ⅞ in (2.25 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: poor

**14989-6**  
**Dunnage (2)**  
Length: (1.31 cm, 1.34 cm)  
Width: (.05 cm, .16 cm)

Two small dunnage fragments with a small branch-like matrix.

**14903-2**  
**Dunnage (3)**  
Length  
Branch dunnage: 7/16 – 2 in (1.10 - 5.00 cm)  
Straw dunnage: 13/16 in (7.12 cm)
Width
  branch dunnage: 1/32 – 1 3/16 in (.05 - .300 cm)
  Straw dunnage: 1 in (2.62 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: fair

Dunnage concreted to an India Pattern musket fragment.

14981-2   Wood Fragments (6)
Length: 1/16 – 9/16 in (.08 - 1.60 cm)
Width: 1/16 – 1/4 in (.12 - .60 cm)
Location: midway between datums 1 and 3

Six small isolated wood fragments located in the proximity of a Short Land Pattern trigger guard.

14988-5   Dunnage (18)
Length: ⅞ – 4 ¾ in (2.20 - 12.10 cm)
Width: 1/32 – ½ in (.03 – 1.32 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: good

Multiple size dunnage fragments of straw and branch materials. Dunnage was located along side Spanish barrel fragment.

14996-6   Dunnage (53)
Length: 1/32 – ¾ in (.04 - 2.15 cm)
Width: 1/32 – 1/4 (.05 - .68 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: fair

14996-7   Wood Fragment
Length: 2 ¾ in (6.02 cm)
Width: ½ in (1.30 cm)
Location: datum 2
Condition: poor

Small wood fragment. Possibly a gun stock fragment.

**MISCELLANEOUS**

**14993-4**  
Cupreous Hook Fastener
Length: (7/16 in) 1.18 cm  
Width: ⅜ in (.95 cm), head  
Gage: 1/32 in (.07 cm)  
Location: datum 1  
Condition: good

**14921**  
Cupreous Rod
Length: 5 ½ in (14.04 cm)  
Diameter: ½ in (1.27 – 1.30 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: good

**14922**  
Cupreous Rod
Length: 9 ⅛ in (23.30 cm)  
Diameter: ½ in (1.24 – 1.3 cm)  
Location: datum x  
Condition: good

Cupreous rod is not straight, it has an inconsistent diameter. The surface is pitted and the ends are rounded.
14989-3  Lead Fish Net Weights (2)

Length
Weight 1: 1 3/16 in (3.01 cm)
Weight 2: 1 3/16 in (3.07 cm)

Diameter
Weight 1: 5/16 in (.89 cm)
Weight 2: 5/16 in (.84 cm)

Location: datum 1
Condition: good

14912  Ferrous Crossed Bars

Length: 21 in (53.50 cm)
Width: 20 ¾ in (52.70 cm)
Thickness: 7/16 in (1.06 cm)

Location: datum 7
Condition: fair

Two iron pieces set perpendicularly and riveted together. Iron cross piece, no. 1, is 21 in (53.50 cm) long, 1 in (2.54 cm) wide, and between ⅜ and 7/16 in (.99 and 1.06 cm) thick. Iron cross piece, no. 2, is 20 ¾ in (52.70 cm) long, 1 3/16 in (2.98 cm) wide, and between 7/16 in (1.06 and 1.09 cm) thick. The attachment rivet has a diameter of 13/16 (2.10 cm). Each bar end is pierced with a hole of between 3/16 and 5/16 (45 and .74 cm) diameter.

14988-7  Unworked Gunflint

Length: 1/58 in (4.18 cm)
Width: 1 1/8 in (2.80 cm)
Location: datum 1
Condition: good
BALLAST

14945  Ferrous Pig Ballast
Length:  29.13 in (74.00 cm)
Width:  5 13/16 in (14.80 cm)
Weight: 196 pounds (98 kilograms)
Location: between datums 1 and 5
Condition: good

Thickness is 4 13/16 in (12.20 cm). Two asymmetrical holes, running diagonally from the top face outward towards the outward faces at each end. These holes are asymmetrical; slightly larger on the top faces and narrowing towards the outside faces. Hole 1: ovular at top face 1 5/16 x 2 7/16 in (3.40 x 6.20 cm) tapering to a ⅝ in (1.60 cm) diameter hole. Hole 2 is ovular on the top face, 3 ¼ x 1 ¼ in (8.30 x 3.20 cm) tapering to a 1 ¼ in (3.20 cm) diameter end.

14997-3  Stone
Length:  9 ⅞ in (23.90 cm)
Width: 4 13/16 in (12.27 cm)
Greatest Thickness: 2 ¼ in (5.76 cm)
Location: datum 2

14982-2  Stone
Length: 4 ½ in (11.5 cm)
Width: 3 9/16 in (9.05 cm)
Greatest Thickness: 2 13/16 in (7.17 cm)
Location: midway between datums 1 and 3

14985-2  Stones (3)
Length
Stone 1: 4 13/16 in (10.66 cm)
Stone 2: 2 ½ in (6.46 cm)
Stone 3: 5 ⅞ in (14.37 cm)
Width
Stone 1: 2 ⅞ in (6.68 cm)
Stone 2: 2 ¼ in (4.92 cm)
Stone 3: 5 ½ in (14.03 cm)

Greatest Thickness
Stone 1: 1 ⅛ in (4.46 cm)
Stone 2: 13/16 in (2.08 cm)
Stone 3: 3 ⅜ in (8.54 cm)

Location: datum 1

14993-6 Stone
Length: 3 ¼ in (8.24 cm)
Width: 3 in (7.55 cm)
Greatest Thickness: 2 ¼ in (5.83 cm)
Location: datum 1

14996-4 Stones (2)
Length
Stone 1: 3 7/16 in (8.76 cm)
Stone 2: 4 ⅝ in (10.40 cm)

Width
Stone 1: 2 ⅞ in (6.11 cm)
Stone 2: 3 11/16 in (9.36 cm)

Greatest Thickness
Stone 1: 1 ¼ in (3.23 cm)
Stone 2: 2 ¼ in (5.92 cm)
Location: datum 2

14997-3 Stone
Length: 6 3/16 in (15.8 cm)
Width: 4 ¾ in (12.52 cm)
Greatest Thickness: 1 ¾ in (4.52 cm)
Location: datum 2

**NP/ST-1**  Stone  
Length: 5 15/16 (15.05 cm)  
Width: 1 15/16 (4.90 cm)  
Thickness: ¾ - 1 ¼ in (2.0 - 3.2 cm)  
Location: no provenience
APPENDIX VII

NOMENCLATURE
Lock Nomenclature. *Illustrations of artifact 14982 by A. Borgens.*
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